

.

THE MONEY-BOX

BY THE SAME AUTHOR UNIFORM WITH THIS VOLUME

THE PEAL OF BELLS
THE BLUE LION

THE MONEY-BOX

ROBERT LYND





METHUEN & CO. LTD.

36 ESSEX STREET W.C.
LONDON

First Published . . . November 19th 1925 Second Edition . . . 1927

TO ROBBIE LOWRY

CONTENTS

THE	MONE	Y-BC	X	•		•		•	1
THE	LIFE	OF S	ENSA	TION	18		•	•	9
THE	GHOS	T						•	17
THE	NEW	CAT							25
THE	IDEA								32
THE	PROF	ESSO	R				•		39
THE	OLD 7	WOM	AN W	но г	IVED	IN A	м внов		45
RACI	NG A	r dii	PPE.	•					52
THE	HAPP	iest	MAN	ON	EART	H			61
A SE	RMON	ON	SHAV	/ING					69
SEEI	NG TI	ie w	ORLI)			•		76
ON (GOING	ABR	OAD						84
AN A	ACADE	MY (of st	JPER	STITI	еио			91
THE	PLAS	ere:	rs' a	RMS					100
THE	MONE	Y-LE	NDE	RS					107
THE	STRA	NGER	's R	оом					115
ON	BEING	м	CASUI	RED	FOR	A	SUIT	OF	
	CLC	THE	3	•	•	•	•	•	122
THE	LATO	HKEY	?			•			129
SILE	NCE	•		•					136
FIRS	T CLA	.88	•					•	143

GRATIS				151
WHAT KATY DID AT SCHOOL	•	•	•	158
REVELS		•		165
ANIMALS IN ENGLAND .				174
MAKING THE MOST OF LIFE				181
BOND STREET		•		188
RED-KNORS				195

THE MONEY-BOX

The Money-Box

THE elder of my nieces had brought home a money-box from the Christmas tree at a party. It was a charming thing made in the shape of a house, with long windows painted on the front. "How does one open it?" she asked me, turning it upside down, and tugging at floor, gable-ends and roof in turn in the hope that something would give way. "Yes," I said, taking it from her and examining it, "that is the important thing to know about a money-box." "No child," continued my niece, taking it back and shaking it vigorously, "ever put more than twopence into a money-box, unless she knew how to open it." "Do children still have money-boxes? What about the children at school?" I asked her. yes," she said, "but they all know how to open them, or they know how to get the money out with screw-drivers and things. always having money-boxes given to hermostly those ones in the shape of letter-boxes -but, as soon as she has saved twopence, she sees a toffee-apple in a shop and wants them back again. So, of course, she has to break the money-box open. You can easily get the bottom off that kind of money-box with a tinopener." "But the money-box," I protested,

the slit and to glide forth into the light of day. I am afraid I was a poor artificer, for even this usually failed with me. There are few things more exasperating than to have had a penny on the side of your knife time after time for a hundred times, and to see it always, just as it seemed about to behave like a Christian at last, disappear into the tenebrous fastnesses of the money-box. One of the sorrows of Tantalus must have been to possess a moneybox full of pennies, and, when he felt a longing for coconut chips, always to be able to get a penny nearly, but not quite, out. I, for my part, could never endure this sort of thing for very long. The more the money-box defied me, the more determined I became, and, if I could not get at the pennies with a knife, I went over to the cupboard and took out the Young Craftsman's Box of Tools, and armed myself with a chisel. It is my firm conviction that no money-box was ever made that could stand out against a chisel. With a chisel you can either prise the top of the box off or, better still, you can widen the slit till the pennies drop out as easily as pigeons fly out of a pigeon-The box, it is true, never looks the same again in either case. But to prise the lid off ruins it for ever, whereas the enlargement of the slit merely leaves it with a grotesque and irregular mouth. The untampered-with slit of a tin money-box with its prim, tight, cruel, ungenerous lips is reminiscent of Mr. Murdstone. With the help of a chisel it becomes transformed in the course of a few minutes into a mouth that can laugh-generous, Falstaffian, a partner in mischief. It also becomes im-

mensely more useful to the child who owns it. Before, it was a mere nuisance, with all the vices and none of the virtues of an ornament. Now, it is a highly serviceable money-box—a money-box which you can either put pennies into or take pennies out of as you please.

It is a nice point in ethics whether it is dishonest to rob one's own money-box. Obviously, each of us consists of two selves—the self that wishes to save and the self that wishes to spend-and one of them differs as much from the other as a man does from his first cousin. Not only this, but each of them distrusts and is hostile to the other. The self that saves feels himself thwarted at every turn by the self that spends, and the self that spends is irritated by the knowledge that the self that saves is constantly watching him and grudging him every penny in his fingers. When the self that spends sees the self that saves stealthily slipping a penny into the money-box, he longs to cry: "Stop, thief! That belongs to me"; when the self that saves sees the self that spends forcing pennies out of the money-box with a chisel, he, too, feels like crying out in his "Stop, thief! Everything in that box belongs to me." Theirs is, indeed, a tragic position, each of them serving a life-sentence in the other's hated company and as unable to escape from his neighbour as one of the Siamese twins. Luckily, at an early period in life, one of them usually gains predominance over the other, and bullies him into silence. would be intolerable if our two selves were for ever dragging each other into court and laving complaints against each other before that grave

magistrate, conscience. I do not remember at what date the self that spends won a complete victory in my bosom over the self that saves, but I know that it was a Waterloo. I am as avaricious as anybody, and I love money more than can be expressed in prose; but I have no talent for saving it, and the only money I ever hoarded was money that I had had no time to spend within the narrow compass of a twentyfour hours' day. It was in vain that you would have told me, even at the age of ten, that "many a mickle makes a muckle," or that you would have given me an edifying book about a poor boy who became rich because he always remembered the proverb, "Take care of the pence, and the pounds will take care of themselves." I, too, desired to be rich, but I hoped it would happen by a miracle. It would have seemed to me a kind of meanness to denv mv stomach a bar of chocolate or a box of sherbet merely in order that at some future date I might be prosperous and, perhaps, even a millionaire. It would have seemed like saving at the price of the sufferings of a friend. Why. after all, should the stomach suffer in the interests of the pocket? The stomach is human, sensitive and warm. The pocket is inhuman, unfeeling and cold. It is better that the pocket should serve the stomach than that the stomach should serve the pocket. child who has ever broken into its own moneybox knows this.

And yet there must be some pleasure in saving money, for many people would rather do this than go to the theatre or travel or buy books or drink Burgundy. Probably the best people

like doing it, because they are thinking of their children's future or want to help some cause that they have at heart. But there are also people who enjoy saving money for no other reason than the pleasure of saving money. is a passion like drink, and a hobby like collecting old china. It is probably a fairly common passion, and a good many novelists from Balzac to Mr. Arnold Bennett have made it a dominant theme in fiction. Does it usually begin, I wonder, with a money-box? When Cruikshank became a fanatical teetotaller, he drew a series of horrifying pictures, showing the progress of the love of liquor from the cradle to the grave. The first scene, so far as I can remember, represented a child in arms being dosed with liquor by well-meaning but foolish In that early sip was the forecast of the drunkard's doom. Can it be that the early gift of a money-box is as fatal a kindness? Imagine another Cruikshank drawing the Miser's Progress in a score of scenes, with the first scene showing a benevolent grandfather holding out a harmless-looking tin money-box to an infant scarce able to walk. Ten years later, the boy is putting a button into the collectionplate in order to save a penny. In another ten years, he is smoking no cigarettes except those he gets from his friends. By the age of forty he has a substantial banking account, but he persuades himself that he is so poor that he never goes to the theatre, never rides in a taxi, and never invites a friend to dinner. sixty, he is a rich man and is convinced that he is all but a pauper. He gives up his morning paper and goes and reads the papers in the

Free Library for the sake of economy, By eighty, he is as great a wreck through abstinence as it is possible to be through self-indulgencea man who has always had plenty of money and never knew how to spend it—a wastrel who never wasted a penny-a monument of selfish self-denial. It is a sad story, and should be a warning to parents to think twice before placing so perilous a gift as a money-box in the innocent hands of children. At least, if they do, the gift should always be accompanied by a box of tools, containing a chisel, a tin-opener, and a screwdriver. With these, a money-box can do a child very little harm. The only money-box consonant with virtue is a box out of which one can get money when one wants it.

HERE is nothing that destroys the excitement of motoring more surely than good roads and careful driving. Luckily in France—at least, in the part of France in which I have been studying the rainfall during a typical twentieth-century summer - good roads are few and careful drivers would be warned by the police as obstructors of the traffic. The main roads are in a good many places much as they were when Julius Cæsar divided Gaul into three parts. They do not merely contain ruts and hollows as large as a baby's bath. They are also full of deep pits -pits so deep that you dare scarcely look over the edge for fear of feeling giddy. If you did look into one of them, you would need a telescope to see to the bottom, and probably you would then descry the tiny figure of a man who. having fallen in, had been vainly calling for help for days. If you drive over this kind of road even at thirty miles an hour, you enjoy all the ups and downs of the roughest kind of Channel crossing. The very swish of a wheel through one of the flooded pits produces a wave that washes right over the rocking car. As the French chauffeur plunges ahead, his eyes are alight with a fierce excitement, and he keeps calling to you through the roar and rattle of the storm: "C'est très dangereux, monsieurtrès dangereux." You shout back, "Oui," and

hope that, now that he has noticed it, he will slow down a little. But he goes swiftly ahead, shouting things about "les grands trous" and "les bosses" and, as the car is swung sideways by one of them, excitedly screams: "Voilà," and puts on the accelerator. He goes on repeating that these are "très mauvaises routes "and shouting, "très dangereux" in a crescendo till you begin to see the words in capital letters. You flash past a signpost warning you that a crossing is coming. sign looks horribly like the crossbones in a letter threatening death. Again you hope that he has noticed it, but you don't know the French for "crossroads" and so cannot ask him. He puts on speed in the evident determination not to let any car coming in a sideways direction pass the crossing before him. You rise a little in your seat to try to see over the hedges that hide the sideroads from your view. You prick your ears for the sound of approaching wheels or the honk of a horn. You try to make up your mind whether in the event of a collision the car that is going faster is the one that is likely to do the more damage or to suffer it. To do it, you hope. You are now past the crossing in safety, and you sink back in your seat in a luxury of reaction. You begin to take an interest in the needle of the speedometer which swings and sways between 70 and 80. certainly seems very fast, and, as you turn the kilometres into miles in your head, you realize that it is even faster than you feared. You wish the chauffeur would not be quite so reck-Suppose a tyre should burst. As you fly past, an elderly peasant skips out of the way

and falls back against a ditch, waving a stick and cursing. You agree with the elderly peasant. Just then, miles ahead of you along the sand-coloured road, you see a speck no bigger than a midge. The chauffeur sees it too, and puts on the accelerator. Gradually, it becomes about the size of a fly. The chauffeur becomes excited and puts on the accelerator again. You dash forward, at such a pace that you scarcely know whether you are passing dry land or sea, and the speck in the distance increases to the largeness of a man's hand. You now know it that is another motor-car and that you are chasing it. You begin somehow to long to overtake it. The motor-car ahead of you must be going at about sixty miles an hour. You wonder whether your own man couldn't do seventy. Joy, you are catching up. The car takes a flying leap into the air, and you do not know for the moment whether it will fall on its feet or its side or upside down. "Un grand trou," shouts the chauffeur when you have reached the earth again. "Très dangereux," you shout back with enthusiasm, holding on your hat. "Très dangereux," he replies in the same spirit, accelerating the "Chassez," you shout to him accelerator. "Oui, monsieur," he replies, encouragingly, kicking something to see if he can make the car go faster. Happily, he can, and the other car becomes larger and larger as the road becomes hilly, and you pursue it, making a noise like a fleet of battleplanes shaving the roofs of a town. It disappears round a curve and over the crest of a hill. You follow, and perceive it flying down the hill at a pace that

has never yet been achieved outside the pages of fiction. You give chase, the four wheels off the ground, reaching the bottom of the hill in a whirl of resolve either to overtake the enemy or to perish in the attempt, and in another kilometre you are on its heels, the stones flying against your mudguards and the speedometer rocking backwards and forwards as though it were recording the beatings of an exhausted heart. Neck and neck, you pass a crossroad together with its sign of dead men's bones. And, after that, with another access of speed. you honk your horn victoriously, and sweep past, like a Rolls-Royce overtaking a taxi-cab. Your car seems just to kiss the mudguard of the other as it flies past. "Très dangereux," you call out breathlessly. "Très dangereux," the chauffeur agrees with a happy smile. "Très mauvaises routes," you say to him ecstatically. "Oui, oui, très mauvaises routes," he replies, and puts on the accelerator. "Des grands trous," you shout. "Voilà," he cries, as the car, having just escaped from one, rears and bucks.

But, alas, it is impossible in English prose to convey the excitement of motoring in France. It is at once extraordinarily terrifying and extraordinarily pleasant. You keep thinking "If I live through this, it will be great fun." But you never feel quite certain that you will live through it. And, when you come to one of those steep, narrow, corkscrew roads, that go downhill for miles and miles—roads that are marked with a "Z" on the signposts—you are prepared for the worst at every turn of the road. You also wish that the chauffeur did not think

it necessary to take both hands off the wheel and gesticulate every time he speaks. You say to him, "Beaucoup de tournes—très brusques." Immediately, he is waving both hands in the air to express his opinion of the turns, and only takes the wheel again in time to twist round the "Très dangereux," you cry to him, when your heart has recovered from its dropped beat. He again takes both hands from the wheel, waves them above his head, repeating, "Très-très dangereux!" and seizes the wheel just in time to duck under the bow of a suddenly-appearing charabanc. In the end you decide that it is safer not to address him at all, and you do not until he goes bumping over a railway crossing after a sharp turn, while three women in black fly screaming from under the wheels. The chauffeur is indignant, and calls "Je ne l'ai pas vu." You call back, "Très dangereux." "Très dangereux," he yells frantically, and speeds on towards the next crossroads. Luckily, there paratively few people who use motor-cars in France, and most of the cross-roads are bare of hedges, so that one has a good chance of seeing an approaching vehicle before the collision has occurred. Still, so far as I could see, every motorist takes it for granted that the other motorists will take all the steps necessary to avoid the collision. Our chauffeur certainly drove as though there were not another vehicle on the roads of France, and, if we had not an accident, it was only because there was nothing to run into at the really dangerous places. The worst of it was that the chauffeur kept giving me statistics of the various accidents

that had taken place at various "dangereux" corners and that my French is so bad that I could not be sure whether five people were killed at such and such a spot every day, or only every year. I shall really have to learn French before I risk another motor-ride along the French roads. Disciples of M. Coué will realize how unnerving it is to carry on a prolonged conversation, consisting of little more than a repetition of the words, "Très dangereux."

But how safe it felt to be back at dinner in the hotel! How delicious the soup tasted! How mellow the vin ordinaire! After dinner, somebody proposed to tell fortunes by cards. but I firmly refused to be led back out of my sense of sweet security into a life of sensations with aces of spades and dark women casting a cloud over the future. "Then what about planchette?" I was asked. I shrink from dealings with spirits, but I hate being a spoilsport, so consented, and in a few minutes an alphabet had been placed in a ring round the table and we were all pressing a finger lightly on an inverted wine glass in the centre. glass began to stir uneasily, and, on being spoken to and asked who it was, it slowly spelt out the name. "Clemence Dane." It said that it wanted to talk about books, and, on being asked what it thought of Mr. Forster's "Passage to India," replied: "Good, but have not read it." It then became frivolous, and, to every question that was addressed to it, replied with the one word, "Cabbage." If you asked its opinion of anybody, it immediately spelt out either "Cabbage" or "A bad cabbage," as though it were determined on mocking us. As all

present had given their words of honour not to push or pull the glass, it may be assumed that none of them was deliberately trifling, but the nonsense became so monotonous in the end that we bade the spirit farewell and called up another in its stead. We asked the new spirit who it was, and it replied: "A fav." We asked it what it wished to talk to us about, and to our horror the glass immediately spelt out the words, "A bad cabbage." One lady went pale and said: "This may be a warning." Another declared that cabbage was a vegetable never served at the hotel, and that the whole thing was absurd. We pursued our investigations, however, and were told that the cabbage was to appear during dinner on the following evening, and that none of us must on any account touch it. Being of a humane disposition, we asked: "But what about the other people in the hotel? Won't they be in danger, too?" The glass spelt "Yes." "And, if a cabbage appears, must we tell them not to eat it?" The glass spelt: "You must warn." "But surely," we protested, "Madame P."—the hotel-keeper's wife—" will be very much annoyed with us?" The glass replied: "You must vex." It is all very well to mock at human credulity, but I am convinced that every one of us was apprehensive during all that night and all the next day lest a cabbage should appear at the evening meal, and one of us should have to rise and denounce it in public. I certainly was feeling ill at ease during the first two courses of the dinner, though I knew very well that, if a cabbage did appear. the task of denouncing it would be deputed to a woman. Then, as the vegetables were being

brought in, a girl who had a good view of the door suddenly cried: "Look, it is cabbage after all." Everyone at the table started and stared at everybody else with a wild surmise, till someone had the courage to look round and in a moment uttered a cry of joy: "No, it's only runner beans." Once again, as during the motor-ride, we enjoyed the blessed relief of those who have escaped disaster by the skin of their teeth. We called for a vieille cure, and another one, that evening with a good conscience. And after that we went to another room and had a long and interesting conversation with the spirit of Alcibiades.

T is, I fancy, a common enough experience, A after avoiding a man during his lifetime, to feel a sharp pang of regret on hearing that he is While he was alive, ten minutes in his company were an eternity: now that he himself has become a piece of eternity, how pitifully brief his whole life seems in retrospect! A dead bore is no longer a bore: in dying he has done one of the three most interesting things that it is possible for a man to do. We meet other men who avoided him in life, and it is of the dead bore that we all find ourselves talking. We do not, it is true, attempt to defend him or to make out that we ever found his company exhilarat-But our very accusations have a note of guilt in them. We say aloud to each other: "He was really terrible. Ten minutes in his company made you feel you were going mad." But all the time we are thinking to ourselves: "Poor chap! Why on earth didn't I see him the last time he called? If I had known he was going to die so soon, I should certainly have seen him. After all, what are ten minutes in a We begin to feel a little tenderly towards him-to remember him as a rather forlorn and friendless figure. We recall the pathetic pleasure he got from boring peoplehow he never noticed the drawn and haggard faces of those who were listening or doing their best to listen to him, their grimaces of agony

that were meant for smiles, the appeal for mercy in their melancholy eyes. He was sufficiently interested for the whole company, and he laughed enough for everybody present. He was invariably the happiest person in the room-often the only happy person. He did not, apparently, notice that he had no friends: he took it for granted that all of us to whom he talked were his friends. Except just towards the end. He seemed then to have had an inkling of the truth—to have noticed that it was more difficult to find a listener than it used to be and that he was oftener solitary. He had lost the self-confidence of the true bore, and had the beginnings of the air of a broken man. wish to Heaven I had seen him that last time he called." Vain regrets! He is now in better company than he ever made miserable on earth. He is with Helen of Troy and Alexander the Great, and Dr. Johnson. Perhaps, he is boring But no: that would mean that they were in Hell.

I heard lately of the death of a man who was famous in the circle of his acquaintances, not as a bore, but as a borrower. I doubt if he had any other existence except as a borrower. No one who has mentioned him to me for many years has told me a single fact about him except that he borrowed money from them. No breath of scandal touched him. If he had any sins, they were unknown except to himself. If he had any virtues, they were unknown except to himself. Nothing seems ever to have happened to him except that he borrowed money. I am not sure that he even needed the money he borrowed. If he met you in the street and stopped you, I

am fairly sure that, when he asked you for a loan, it was often out of inveterate habit and because he did not know what else to talk about. Or it may have been a game of skill that he played. He saw an acquaintance approaching and said to himself: "Hullo, here's old——. Good joke if I could touch him for a quid." And then began a battle of wits as enjoyable to him as a game of chess to better men, and, if he went away with as much as half-a-crown in his

pocket, he felt that he had won.

When I knew him first, he was working in the same London office with me, and earning a living by drawing horrible pictures of villains threatening honest women and the usual kind of illustrations for serial stories in cheap papers. That was near the beginning of the century. Neither I nor anybody else in the office knew him very well, for, though he was always merry and bright, he lived a life of his own outside office hours. Sometimes he would come into the office in the morning and say: "Had too much beer last night, old man." But he never drank beer with us. "In buckets, my lad, in buckets," he would confide. "D'you know what's the best thing to do after you've had a dozen of Bass?" And he would produce a little glass jar of liver-pills and tap it affectionately. "Take four or five of these before you get into bed, and you'll wake up in the morning as fresh as paint—as fresh as paint, my boy." No one, however, had ever seen him under the influence of alcohol, and most of us believed that his tales of drinking were mere boasting. Ultimately an accident occurred to the paper and I lost sight of him, and he

remained in my memory only as a myth about a dozen of Bass, for he had not at that time begun to play the game of borrowing money. Then, one evening, many years later, when I was jumping on to a bus in the Strand, I felt a hand on my sleeve, and looking round, saw the smiling face that had so often sung the praises of liver-pills. "You don't remember me, old man?" he said. "Of course, you don't! You do? Eh, lad, we've had some times together. Have you a minute to spare? Come over here and tell me about yourself. I've often said to myself: 'I wonder what's happened to old Y. I wonder if he ever remembers his old pal, Jack Let's have a good look at vou, old man. How long is it since I saw you last? Twenty years, and you don't look a day older. Eh, lad,"-holding me by the arms and looking at me admiringly—"this is good. But, tell me, how are things? Doing pretty well?" "Oh, not so bad," I told him, "—scraping along more or less. But tell me about yourself. What have you been doing all this time?" He drew me up against a shop front, then closed one eve and lowered his voice mysteriously. "To tell you the truth, old man," he said, as if imparting a secret not to be shared with the passers-by, "I've been through it. I've been through 'ell. But it's all right now;"-and he winked hard at me again-"I've just had a commission that'll keep me going for the next three months. you know what it is. The money's there all right, and I could get as much as I need by asking for it. But I don't want them to think. 'Old Straw's hard up. Let's cut down his prices.'

So, if you happen to have a loose dollar about you, old man, and if you give me your address, I'll come round and let you have it back by Tuesday."

He undoubtedly kept his word about calling round. When his little straight-backed figure was shown into the room, I was agreeably surprised, for it is not often that people who have borrowed money keep their word about paying "Eh, lad," he said, gazing round with soft-eved admiration, "this looks prosperous. I like to see an old pal getting on. But," he said, sitting down and drawing his chair nearer to mine, "what I wanted to see you about was this, and I don't want you to let it go any further." I promised secrecy. "Well, old man, I thought to myself, 'Old Y. won't mind if I speak to him quite frankly. He'll remember the days when we were pals together.'" I began to fear the worst. hitched his chair still nearer, dropped a solemn and confidential wink, and lowered his voice almost to a whisper. "Fact is, old man, I've the deuce of a lot of money owing to me. matter of a hundred or a hundred and fifty quid. But you know how it is, old man. not policy to ask for it. Looking hard-up doesn't pay. And what I was wondering was, old man, whether you could let me have fifty quid till next Monday. Between you and me, I'm in a bit of a fix. I know, old man, you're the sort of chap who would do anything to help a pal and I tell you quite frankly that, if you can let me have the fifty quid till Monday, you'll be doing me a service, and I shan't forget it. There's nothing like straight talk between pals,

is there, old man?" And he fixed his eves on mine with a smile partly of anxiety and partly of the deepest affection. I could not help laughing, for all the wealth I possessed in the world was a small overdraft at the bank. told him how things stood. I also lied to him because of the disturbed look in his eves. I had fifty pounds," I said, "or fifty shillings, I'd be delighted to lend it to you." He recovered himself and nodded his head sympathetically. "I know you would, old man," he said. "I didn't realize you were so hard up. And do you know what I'm going to do, laddie?" He leaned forward, laid his hand on my knee and shut one eye. "As soon as I draw mv hundred and fifty quid, do you know the first thing I'm going to do with it? I'm going to come round to this office, and you shall have fifty of it." "Oh, no, no," I protested, in embarrassment. "Oh, but you shall," he declared firmly, slapping me on the knee: "what the 'ell's the good of pals if they don't help each other when they can? But look here, old man "-dropping his voice again-"now that that's all arranged-you don't happen to have a spare quid about you?" "Î'm absolutely broke," I assured him. say, old man," he protested, "I wish I had a few quid I could let you have. If ever you're hard up, sonny, come to Jack Straw, and, if he has a guid in the world, you shall have it. You shall, old man. For the sake of the old Next Monday, old man, you shall have that fifty. I wouldn't call you a pal if you refused it." The voice dropped again. "Do you think you could manage half a quid, old

man?" We parted on affectionate terms, he loudly extolling the good old days, when we had had such times together. After that, we met frequently, though not more often than I could help. His conversation was all "old man," "pals," "eh, lads," "the old times," leading inevitably up to the request for a sum that varied from half-a-crown to a pound. He always had the same story—that the tide had at last turned, that he was making pots of money, but that it was bad policy for an artist to press people for payment. And he never took the smallest sum without saying: "Some day I'll do as much for you, old man." One day he called round with a parcel under his arm, and said, "Look here, old man, you're fond of pictures, aren't you?" He opened the parcel, saying, "Something to show you, laddie," took out a water-colour and stood it up against the back of a chair. It was a picture of a pond and water-lilies such as a pavement artist might have painted. He looked from the picture to "Isn't it me with the lovelight in his eyes. bonny?" he asked, cocking his head sideways at it. I said it was a beauty. "How much would you say that little thing's worth, old man?" he asked me. "I don't know much about the price of pictures," I told him. "Five quid, would you say?" he pressed me. I should think so," I said for the sake of peace. "You shall have it for two-ten," he declared nobly. "But I've no room in the house for any more pictures," I protested. "That's all right," he replied with a heavy wink; "you can sell it and make a bit." I met him in the street a few days later. He came up to me with friend-

ship written all over his face. "Look here, old man," he said, "you've been a good pal to me." "Oh, no, no," I repeated the customary formula. "But you have, old man, and, if you forget it, I don't. Now, look here, I would like to do some little thing for you in return. You remember that picture of mine that you said was worth a fiver?" "Yes," I said, hesitatingly. "You shall have it for a quid," said he, laying his hand on my shoulder. I assured him that I hadn't a pound to bless myself with. He looked grave for a moment. "I tell you what I'm going to do, old man," he said, after a pause. "I saw you fancied that little picture, and I'm going to make you a present of it. One

good turn deserves another."

He had a curious talent for making you feel his debtor-offering you large hypothetical loans and loading you with ungiven gifts. But, in the end, like his other acquaintances, I hardened myself against his appeals. When I met him in the street and refused him, however. he laughed and winked at me as if he had only been teasing me, and renewed the request in the best of spirits a few days later. I refused him with all the better conscience because I was convinced that he was earning a living and only borrowed money because he had a kind of habit of borrowing, and preferred spending other people's money to spending his own. And now I am not sure. Was he really hard up? Did he need that last half-sovereign as badly as he declared ? I cannot help regretting that final coldness. I am repentant before his ghost. May he have better luck in his acquaintances among the shades!

The New Cat >

NATS are the enemies of conversation. I have a friend who, after an absence of many years, has lately settled down in London. with a wife, a cat and a garden. Owing to the cat, I doubt if our friendship can continue. I called to see him and was shown into the garden, where he and his wife were sitting in deck chairs. How many things there were that I wished to talk to him about! How happily I looked forward to hearing the names of old friends and old places on his lips and to telling him all the news of the deaths and divorces that had taken place since he had been lost to civilization in Buenos Aires! I even looked forward to meeting his wife, though I do not on the whole like my friends to marry. We had hardly shaken hands and sat down, however, when he glanced at his wife with a look of alarm and said, "Where's Oliver Cromwell?" His wife looked round the garden apprehensively and began calling, "Olly! Olly! Olly!" and, when there was no answer, said: "Where can he have gone?" Then followed an excited dialogue of this kind: "He can't have got through the fence into the next garden." "I saw him only a minute ago." "Perhaps, he's in the He was up there when I came out this morning, and I had to fetch the ladder to bring him down." "Olly, Olly, Olly!" (in "Oliver Cromwell! Oliver woman's voice).

3

The New Cat

Cromwell!" (in a man's shout). "Oh, there he is, coming out of the lupin!" "Naughty Oliver Cromwell, where have you been?"
"Puss, puss, puss, puss, puss!" "Where's the ball, Stella? Here you are, Oliver, here's something to play with. You mustn't interrupt the conversation, you know," and he rolled the ball gently over the grass. The kitten watched it, fascinated. It flattened itself on the grass, stretched out its neck, cocked its ears, stared with wide eyes, and moved its tail in cruel anticipation. Then it dashed towards the ball. and, just as it reached it, made a sideways spring with arched back and avoided it, and sat down and began to lick its right foreleg from the knee downwards, as though it had forgotten all "Well," said my friend with about the ball. self-satisfaction, "what do you think of Oliver Cromwell? Isn't he a beauty?" I agreed that he was. "Look, look," his wife interrupted us, and, as the kitten began to flatten himself into position for another rush at the ball, she gurgled as if to herself: "Oh, he was such a darling! He was such a darling!" This time the kitten did leap on to the ball, caught it in its front paws, lifted it in the air. turned a back somersault with it, rolled on the grass, and then, as if in terror, fled for all it was worth into the Solomon's seal in the flower border and, hidden among the stalks, looked out on its late prey, like a tiger concealing itself in the jungle. These evolutions were received by my friend and my friend's wife with shouts of laughter. My friend said that they ought really to have called the kitten Cinquevalli. The wav it juggled with the ball, he declared,

The New Cat

was simply wonderful. "It was such a clever little cat," his wife began to talk to herself again; "much cleverer than Cinquevalli. Oh, much cleverer," she declared, reaching out her hand and taking the kitten into her lap. As she stroked it, it padded up and down with its paws on her dress, arched its back at every stroke of her hand, and purred. My friend watched it in a state of fatuous and happy idolatry. I half-expected him and his wife to begin purring at any moment, too. It was obvious that the purring of the kitten had a hypnotic effect on them, and I doubt if either of them remembered that I was present.

A housemaid came out with the tea-things. and she, too, when she had put the tray down, looked at the kitten with fatuous and idolatrous eyes. It seemed to be with difficulty that she tore herself away eventually, and, even when she reached the house, she looked back as if she could scarcely bear to leave the wonderful "You remember Jack Robinson's presence. cats?" I said to my friend as a way of getting back to normal conversation, so that I could ask him whether he had heard of poor Jack's death in a yachting accident. "I hope," said his wife, "that you're not going to pretend that anybody ever had such a perfectly wonderful cat as Oliver Cromwell. Because," she added, rubbing the kitten under the chin, "we simply won't believe it. Isn't that so, Oliver?" "Poor old Jack," I began again, "-." "I never understood his passion for cats," said my friend, "—at least, not till we got this little beast." "You mustn't call Oliver Cromwell a little beast," protested his wife. "You heard

about Jack's death?" I said. "Jack dead! How? Look out!" he roared, as the kitten sprang from his wife's lap and made after a bee across the grass. "I always thought kittens had more sense than to chase bees. He'll get stung some day. Poor old Jack!" as the bee-and the kitten-escaped; "this is the first I heard of it." I told him how the accident had taken place—how Jack had been knocked overboard, apparently stunned, for he had sunk like a stone. His wife, I presume, was not listening, for, as at the end of my story he and I were sunk in a momentary silence, she broke in with: "I declare he's caught a bee this time. Poor little pet! Poor, silly little pet!" she cried, hurrying over and fondling the kitten where it was feeling its lower lip with its ankle as if it had been stung. My friend went over and joined her and said, "Let's see if we can see the sting. Perhaps we can pull it out." But just then the kitten saw a cabbage-white butterfly and dashed off out of their hands in pursuit. They laughed delightedly. "I don't believe he was stung at all," said my friend. "Poor old Jack! It's hard to imagine him dead. You remember the day he and Bobbie Stone swam out to the Skerries? What happened to Bobbie?" "He was murdered," I told him, "during a row in India." "Good said my friend. "Ollv! Olly!" called his wife excitedly. "Oh, do go and catch him. Tom, or he'll be into the next garden." Tom rose and bolted across the grass, and was just in time to seize Oliver Cromwell as he had got his head through a hole in the fence. He brought him back and put him into his wife's

lap. "Poor old Bobbie!" he said, obviously moved. "It's extraordinary that no one ever wrote to tell me. I often wondered what had become of him. He seemed such a splendid chap at school." His wife, too, was evidently awed as even strangers are on hearing of a tragedy. "Was he a great friend of yours, Tom ?" she asked gently. "He was, at school," said Tom. "After that we didn't see much of each other." "He was the best all-round scholar and athlete of his year," I told her. "What a terrible thing to happen to him," she said, stroking the kitten. It saw a fly buzzing round her head, climbed up her shoulder in pursuit, and walked round the back of her neck. "Do rescue me, Tom," she cried. "He's got his claws in my neck." Tom seized the kitten by the scruff of the neck, held it up and looked at it reproachfully, and said: "Now look here, old chap, go and play with your ball and leave us in peace for a few minutes. I told you you mustn't interrupt the conversation."

But what cat ever cared what anybody told it? I did succeed in the course of the afternoon in telling Tom how one friend had become a County Court judge, and another a doctor, and how another was making a fortune as a journalist in America. But I did it to a constant accompaniment of "Pussy, pussy, pussy!" "Olly, Olly, Olly!" "He's rolling on the nemophila. Go and take him off, Tom," "I do love a cat when its tail stands up like a note of interrogation," "Naughty Oliver Cromwell! you mustn't try to catch sparrows," that made me feel as exhausted as if I had been shouting for hours to a deaf man in a gale. "Come again

soon," said my friend's wife, as we shook hands.
"Mind, we expect you every Sunday," said
Tom heartily. "Come back, Oliver Cromwell,"
his wife's voice reached us as we disappeared.
"Take care that he doesn't get out of the front
door, Tom."

I am myself an admirer of cats, but I do not like them as part of a conversation. I do not think that cats should be spoken to in the presence of visitors. They should be seen and not talked about. Whether I shall be able to live up to these principles, however, now that a perfectly wonderful kitten has come to live in my house, I do not know. It is so charming, so fearless, so restless, so playful. There were already two small black cats in the house. One of them was a stray, given to us by the butcher. Its ears are three times the ordinary size, and it has a tail like a rat, so that one does not draw the attention of visitors to it, but it is so gentle, so free from malice—except against birds and insects—that one cannot help liking it. other, Mrs. Blacktoes, is very beautiful and very cross. She came into the house one night when we were calling Felix, and she has stayed ever But she never purrs except at mealtimes, and she growls and runs away if you attempt to stroke her. She must have come from a home, I imagine, where no one ever touched her except to pull her tail. But as for the new kitten, Tiger, with his striped body and his white dickie, he is so light as he feels his way about the new world, testing every inch as he advances with his featherweight of a paw. that he seems no more substantial than a thistledown. It is impossible to look at a book while

he is in the room. What chair does he not investigate? How inquisitively he examines the bookshelves, cautiously pressing himself into every vacant space! How he dances after the moths on his hind legs in the evening! How happily he plays by the hour with the ball of paper that swings like a pendulum on a string from the arm of a chair! He examines the string and fights it and bites it. He jumps on to the chair and studies the knot by which it is tied. He lies on his back on the floor and kicks the ball of paper. He sits down and taps it like a tennis-ball with his paw as it passes. He goes to a distance and pounces on it. He seizes it and rolls about like a footballer. think I shall invite Tom and his wife to come and see me, while Tiger is still a novelty. It would be a punishment, and, until I have punished them, I doubt if I shall be able to forgive them.

TF you go to the south of France in January, Lyou will be less than human if you are not charmed by the spectacle of the orange-trees with their brilliant ripe fruits hanging like toys among the little green leaves. The orange-tree seems to concentrate in itself every delightful thing that we mean by the south. It is not only a tree but an image. It is as though each individual fruit hanging in its branches were a small shining sun. If you were writing home, you would only need to say that there was an orange-tree heavy with fruit in the garden below your bedroom-window in order to conjure up a picture of seas and rivers flowing into them, extravagantly blue, of a world that glowed with flowers, of indolence in daylong sunshine, of birds singing the songs of April and May while it is still winter. As for eating an orange freshly plucked from the tree, how desirable it seems! How pleasant to see the little mandarin nestling among its leaves on the fruit-dish! It is as attractive as a fruit out of a legend. You feel that it would be worth travelling hundreds of miles merely to eat such a fruit in such a setting.

And yet, after eating many oranges in such circumstances for the first time in my life, I must confess with regret that an orange fresh from the tree is not half so wonderful as the idea of an orange fresh from the tree. There are

few things in the world more disappointing than these mandarins, or tangerines—for I think a mandarin is only an inferior tangerinethat mean so much to the imagination and so little to the palate. You could buy far better oranges in a London shop. One good Jaffa orange is worth a dozen mandarins. Yet, somehow, the act of eating a Jaffa orange in London does not excite the fancy. A large orange, eaten in town, may satisfy the palate, but it leaves the imagination cold. So delightful is the idea of eating an orange fresh from the tree, however, that not even after a score of disappointments has one the heart to refuse the small crumpled fruit that is served at the end of every meal. Tangerines, it must also be admitted, make an irresistible appeal to the imagination even when one is not in an orangegrowing country. Most of us, I am sure, have loved them from childhood. Perhaps, it is because they themselves are so tiny that they seem properties of the world of childhood. When we are children, we love everything that is small as we ourselves are small. We love Shetland ponies more than thoroughbreds. ducklings more than geese, kittens more than elephants. The larger things, much as we like them, are of a piece with the lives of serious and grown-up people. The little things are natural playthings, and we feel at play when we look at them or touch them. That, I think, rather than their exceptional fragrance, or the sweetness of their juices, is the explanation of our love of tangerines. Their size is so charming, indeed, that, while we remain children, we resolutely refuse to admit that we have been

disappointed just a little in eating them. that age we can still eat with our imaginations, and our palates do not argue with and contradict us. If an orange is small and is wrapped in silver paper, that is enough for us. so much, we can pretend the rest. For us it is the diet of Paradise so long as it looks as if it were the diet of Paradise. I do not, I may say, wish to decry the tangerine as a fruit not worth The flavour is agreeable enough, though not so agreeable as the smell. But, with all its virtues, the tangerine remains one of the inferior oranges, with an excess of seeds and a deficiency of liquor. I am sure there are many people who will contradict me on this point. All children will, and nearly all men and women who have preserved the happy childish gift of enjoying things without judging them—relying on memory and imagination rather than on the palate and supplying all the shortcomings of reality with good-natured make-believe. Heaven forbid that I should destroy their illusions even about tangerines! But we who have been disillusioned must communicate our disillusion to each other. We do not boast: we merely confess. It is to our sorrow that we know with Plato that the perfect orange—the orange of the idea—hangs not on any tree in any earthly garden but exists only in Heaven.

Nor is the orange the only food of man that is more beautiful in the idea than in reality. The enjoyment of many of the things we eat and drink lies in the anticipation. How seldom does a cup of coffee equal the idea of a cup of coffee! Who does not love coffee as an idea? Who is not continually disappointed by coffee as a

fact? If most of us go on drinking coffee, it is because we live in the perpetual pursuit of an idea, not because we have much hope that the next cup of coffee is going to be a good one. We know from experience that there is as much difference between the coffee we drink in restaurants and the coffee we drink in our imaginations as there is between war-time beer and nectar. But such idealists are mortals that we go on drinking it in restaurants, on railway trains, and even in lodgings. We should lose heart, perhaps, and take to milder liquors if it were not that every now and then we do get a cup of coffee in which the idea of coffee seems almost to have been realized on earth. How proud our host is as he explains how perfection was achieved! He talks as a teacher, like the wise men of old. At such a moment, surrounded by listening guests, he would not change places with Socrates. I doubt, however, if even he can make the perfect coffee except occasionally and by a happy accident. He remains a man puffed-up for the rest of his days, but, when we visit him again, the secret has vanished, and, though his guests praise him with lies, they pity him as a man who is living among illusions. If statistics could be compiled on the subject. I am sure it would be found that not more than one cup of coffee in a thousand that are made deserves to be called even tolerable. The rest are but a warm infusion with which we still our cravings. Sometimes, they smell like perfect coffee, and, if coffee were a perfume and not a drink, we should be happy. But, for the most part, they are of such a kind as, if a doctor were present and ordered us to

harden our arteries with the poison no more, would make it easy for us to resolve to obey him.

Luckily for themselves, most human beings do not trust their palates on such matters, but easily find in food or drink the excellence they expect to find. If they are told that the coffee at such and such a restaurant is always good, it will always be good to them. They are the happy victims of suggestion. If they go to France, expecting the cheaper wines to be wonderful, they will praise enthusiastically wines such as you could get cheaply enough in the worst London wineshops. Our pleasure in wine is largely pleasure in an idea. Few of us have a skilled palate in wine; I, for one, have not. I divide wines into two broad categories -wines that are drinkable and wines that aren't. like the Australian burgundy that I bought the other day. But I have heard men saying "Jolly good wine" as they drank a liquor that it would have been shameful to use as a ratpoison-nay, as a weed-killer. These are the idealists of the table in the ordinary sense of the word "idealists." They are people who can mistake the most loathsome reality for the heavenly perfection of an idea. We all share their happiness to some extent, for we are all to some extent slaves of suggestion. I am in the unfortunate position, however, of being one of those people to whom it is easier to suggest that a thing is bad than that a thing is good. You could not make me enjoy eating a stale egg merely by saying, "What a beautifully fresh egg!" But you could stop me from eating a fresh egg by saying, "That egg smells as if it were stale." Imagination can taint food for me

more easily than it can sweeten it. The idea of a bad egg is so disgusting that it would outweigh the reality of the egg's freshness. But I do not find the idea of a fresh egg so intoxicating that it can make me forget that the egg is really stale.

Among foods that belong to the realm of ideas rather than of realities, I think, must be counted cakes of all sorts. I can see no other reason why one who loved cakes so greedily as I once loved them now cares so little for I still like the idea of a cake. I like to look into a confectioner's window. I like to go into a teashop, and, when I do, I have the ancient childish belief that the cakes on the other tables are beautiful. I am enough of an idealist to believe in the excellence of almost any cake that is out of reach. But no sooner is a cake on my own plate than I know it to This is not the fair idea for be a deceiver. which I hungered. This is a base compost of flour and sugar that may be a remedy against starvation, but that does not feed the mind. Some men gild their past with the belief that cakes are not so good as they used to be, but it seems to me more likely that cakes have remained the same, but that it is we who have changed. As children we ate, not cakes, but ideas; now we eat, not ideas, but cakes. We sought perfection and found only commonness. the old story. Gods and men, we are all deluded Go over all the things you think you would like to eat and drink, and you will find that, in most cases, what you would like to eat or drink is not the thing in itself but the idea of the thing. There are few things but oysters

and Peneau's sardines that survive the test of experience. Even salmon, I fancy, dwells in an ambiguous borderland among the things that are almost realities but the beauty of which is too often only the enticing beauty of the idea. The conclusion of the matter is that, if one is really fond of food, it is better to read a cookery book than to go out to dinner. In the cookery-book the most high-minded idealist will never be disappointed.

TXTHEN I read the obituary references to V Sir Samuel Dill, how vividly his figure came before me, pacing the streets of a modern city as though he were a survivor from some older and more gracious civilization! He might have been happy in Athens; he might have been happy even in Oxford; but in Belfast he had the air of a banished man. If he talked to you about yourself, he had but one message -"Escape. Fly while there is time." He spoke again and again of "this borné atmosphere "in such a fashion that I should have been exasperated into a defence of my native city to any less imperious man, for at the time I was more under the influence of Mr. Kipling than of the authors in my Greek course, and I believed that a walk down North Street on Saturday night was quite as interesting as most of the things that used to happen under the shadow of the Parthenon. But there was nothing priggish or lily-handed about Dill's passion for culture. Almost he persuaded you to believe in culture as he surveyed you with melanchov eyes and wistfully murmured the name of Oxford as the city towards which you should bend your steps, burden on back, in search of salva-He never became quite used to a world in which a fair proportion of the men and youths were not scholars and philosophers, or the satellites of scholars and philosophers. Oxford first

then London, with a post in the Civil Service that would leave you plenty of pleasure to read Greek and (if you could) to write Englishsuch was the dream that he held before you to tempt you to spend your evenings over Herodotus instead of in the gallery of the Theatre Royal. Well. I have always been in love with Oxford, and, if posts in the Civil Service were given away for nothing, I should undoubtedly have filled one long ago. But these things, I knew, were not to be had save at the price of great labour, if they were to be had with that. Even so I became sufficiently infected with Dill's enthusiasm to see myself often in a daydream settled in Oxford, having won a rich scholarship without doing any work whatever, and passing on from that into a highly-paid position in the Civil Service which, by a curious coincidence, also came to me without my needing to do any work for it. Few undergraduates have had so brilliant and, at the same time, so easy a career as I had at Oxford, and few Civil servants have had so comfortable a time in arm-chairs so stuffed with rose-leaves. Some day, perhaps, I shall write my reminiscences of Oxford and the Civil Service. They are all part of a dream that I had before I was twenty.

Not that Dill ever meant to encourage such visions of indolence. His view of life was a stern one. He kept his very class-room cold, with open windows that often let in an almost too bracing winter. There was no other room in Queen's in which the students sat in such orderly awe and in which the student who had come unprepared so wished to Heaven that he

had not come at all. Dill spoke sometimes in sorrow, and sometimes in anger, but always he treated any sign of slackness as though it were a violation of sacred things. He regarded it as a crime even to come unprepared on the first day after the Christmas holidays. I was once unfortunate enough to be asked on such an occasion to read a passage from Thucydides that I was then seeing for the first time, and I still remember the mournful gaze he turned on me while he said in accents as if of passionate sorrow: "Unstable as water, thou shalt not excel." He was especially impatient of students who came to his class solely in order to acquire the minimum of Greek that was necessary for a pass degree. There were a number of theological students who regarded a knowledge of Greek merely as a necessary step on the way up to a pulpit. Many of the best scholars were theological students, but there were other ministers in the bud who cared neither for Homer nor for Plato, except for the marks that were to be got out of them. To Dill these were the children of outer darkness. He loathed examinations, and he could scarcely endure human beings who read Homer not for poetry, but for marks. "Why do you come here?" he one day demanded of a young theologian who showed himself unresponsive to the charms of Greek culture. "Do you come here expecting me to cram you for a miserable examination? "I do, indeed, sir," said the student, with a frank and cheerful smile. That, I think, was the only occasion on which anybody ever dared to answer Dill when he was angry. And he could be astonishingly angry, even over such a

seemed to Dill to have decayed. He said to me once that the clergy no longer believe in a future life in the sense in which they once did, immortality was gone as a faith, and that morality was going as a result. Nor did he find any relief from this conviction of decay in laughing irony, as other pessimists have done. He had the gravity of Zeno, and the spectacle of the errors of mortals did not amuse him. doubt if the world in which he believed ever existed outside a book, and I am not sure that I should be at home in it if it did. least, Dill's faith in it-his mournful backward glances to it as to a holy land-moved the imagination and incited reluctant youth to dive with a new excitement into a difficult chorus of Æschylus, and to listen with a new patience and a new delight to the voice of the dying Socrates. One may have been an idle scholar, but here was a man who made one believe in Athens, its poets and philosophers, as the next most real thing to Belfast and its business men. Only a great teacher can so translate a classroom into a porch of the ideal world.

THERE recently appeared among the announcements of births in "Le Temps" the following pleasant paragraph:

Jean-Louis, Denise-Madeleine et Jean-Claude Bloch ont la joie d'annoncer la naissance de leur frère Jean-Pierre, 6 avenue de Malakoff.

Probably the advertisement was sent in with the collusion, or even on the initiative, of a sentimental parent, though we cannot be sure of this, for some children have an extraordinary gift for managing things for themselves. ever may have composed the announcement, it is at least an admirable statement of an eternal truth about human nature. We live in an age of limited families, when men and women are coming more and more to doubt whether a little child is not a great evil. But children themselves are still of the orthodox tradition in the "joy" with which they announce the birth of a new baby. It is true that they show almost equal joy in announcing the birth of a new They would have congratulated the Old Woman who Lived in a Shoe and would have thought her still happier if she had had not only so many children but so many kittens and so many puppies and so many calves and so many foals and so many chickens and so many ducklings and so many lambs that she would have been driven to further and fiercer measures

of desperation. They cannot, fortunately, see the matter from the Old Woman's point of view. They do not realize how terrifying is the problem of bringing up a large family, even in an elastic-sided boot. To them, indeed, there is no problem except the necessity of filling the world as full as possible of children, kittens, puppies, calves, foals, chickens, ducklings and lambs. In this I believe they are æsthetically right. If children and the young of all animals could be created by a wish and be fed and housed and made secure and happy by a wish, how few people there are who would not create for themselves new Edens of the young! Most men feel a happiness for which they could not account at the sight of young animals—even of young pigs. I will not pretend that a baby is beautiful on the first day of its birth. Many a man on being taken in to see his first baby, with its elongated head and its red skin, has an awful moment in which he silently asks himself: "Is it deformed?" "My dear boy," a friend of mine said to me, describing his first glimpse of his first baby: "I thought for a moment I was the father of a monster." No sooner is it in the cradle, however, than the baby has admirers at least in other children. Nurses may play on the jealousy of the older child-a common phrase is, I believe: "Here's someone to put your nose out of joint "-but the infant in the cradle is far too exciting a wonder to be the object of jealousy for long. I cannot say I am myself an enthusiast for other people's babies. I am sure that other people, in so far as they are infatuated with them, are happy. But I

doubt whether babies in long clothes are fit company for strangers. They are embarrassing except when they are asleep-incapable of conversation themselves and interrupters of the conversation of other people. Provided it is asleep, however, I can feel an extraordinary tenderness for a strange baby in a cradle. begin even to feel a curious increase of affection for its parents as I look down at its face. There are few more charming spectacles in the world than a night nursery full of children of all shapes and sizes in their cots and cradles. was shown such a nursery recently, and it seemed as fabulous and bewitching as though it belonged to the fantastic world invented by Sir James Barrie.

Other people's children, however, apart from such agreeable moments for the sentimentalist, are not really attractive until they are able to They need not be able to talk much. If a baby is old enough to pick up a stone with a great effort of stooping and standing erect again, and to toddle across the beach and offer you its treasure, saying the one word, "'tone." it is already a fascinating conversationalist. Later on, when it has acquired greater strength of limb, it learns to smile as it talks and flatters your vanity by insisting on your watching it performing miracles, such as turning sand out of a bucket or kicking a ball. "Look, Mr. Y. look," cries Stephen. "I'm going to kick the ball right down to the bottom of the path." It is a winding, sloping path, and Stephen runs at the ball and takes a tremendous kick at it that sends it rolling along the path at the rate of about a yard a minute. It rolls down the

slope, carried by its own weight, and then nestles into stillness on the grassy verge of the path. Stephen runs down and retrieves it laughing. In a minute he has placed the ball for a new kick and is calling: "Look, Mr. Y., look," with greater excitement than ever. I have never tested myself to see how long I could enjoy going on looking, for a nurse invariably comes and carries the child off for a walk or to play on the beach; but to watch the gambols of the young is extraordinarily satisfying while it lasts. At a still later stage, the child's conversation becomes less openly boastful and more instructive. The things that small children know about-ants and greenflies and such things—are a continual source of amazement to me. I generally forget facts of this kind, and to listen to Ronald on the subject was as good as reading a book of Fabre's. Ronald also taught me many things about caterpillars. I suspect, however, that, finding me credulous, he began to pull my leg, for, when I asked him what certain caterpillars atc. he said, with slow deliberation: "These caterpillars eat fish-bones—codfish-bones. First, they eat all the bones up one side, then they eat through the spine, and then they eat the bones down the other side." I can believe almost anything. but, frankly, I found it difficult to accept Ronald's statement even before he went off into a long and triumphant chuckle. At the same time, many of the fanciful perversions of natural history in which children indulge are matters of good faith. Young Rupert, for instance, who knows everything at the age of ten, came up one day to Charles, who had just

captured a quite ordinary caterpillar. "You should keep that," Rupert told him, "and it will turn into a chrysalis, and the chrysalis will turn into a moth, and the moth will turn into a butterfly." "You young ass," said Charles contemptuously, "don't talk rot. It will do nothing of the sort." "It will," Rupert assured him earnestly; "all chrysalises turn moths, and all moths turn into butterflies." "Don't be an ass," Charles repeated the insult; "no moth ever turns into a butterfly." "Well," replied Rupert, doggedly certain that he couldn't "then butterflies turn into be quite wrong, moths." I am afraid that, after that, Charles began to enjoy laying traps for the omniscient Rupert, not only in natural history, but in all sorts of things. On the last day of the holiday, all the children in the hotel sat up for supper, and most of them had a party at a separate table. Charles was allowed a bottle of cider in honour of the occasion. Mice and mumps (to quote a famous novelist), what cider! lady who tried a glass of it said that it tasted as if it had been made from mouldy apples, and I, who had also tried it, looked on this description as the grossest flattery. Still. Charles enjoyed it like a man as he sat at the head of the table, and, having failed to persuade any of the girls to take any, offered a glass to Rupert. "Do you like cider, Rupert?" he asked."I like all wines," young Rupert lied boldly. Charles filled a glass, but Rupert did not at once drink it. Later, when he thought no one was looking, he put the glass to his lips, but even as he swallowed the first mouthful. he was unable to keep himself from making wry

and sickly faces. Charles's eagle eye was watching him. "I thought you said you liked cider," he said contemptuously. "So I do," declared Rupert, trying to keep his face from screwing itself into new distortions; "I like all wines." "Do you like red cider?" Charles asked him quietly. "Not so much as yellow," said Rupert, "but I like it." "I don't believe you ever tasted red cider," said Charles, warmly. "I did—often," lied Rupert, looking as indignant as he could. "Well, that's where you're caught," said Charles triumphantly, "for there isn't any red cider."

Yes, the young are unscrupulous, but attractive. There are few living things, indeed, that are not infinitely the more charming for being young. The only things that I can think of which appeal to the imagination more strongly in their age than in their infancy are trees. More poems have been written about old trees than about young ones. It is the trees most heavily weighted with years that stir our feelings most profoundly. In most other families of living things, we are in love with the young, the irresponsible, the innocent. Our preference, perhaps, has its roots in the eye rather than in the heart. It is obviously easier to be beautiful as a child than as a man-as a kitten than as a cat. See a grown-up cat sitting on a couch, its tail spread out behind it, and its head tilted to one side as it watches a daddy-longlegs dancing in the corner of the ceiling, and it will give you pleasure. But then see the kitten Jeremy taking his place beside the elder cat and, a fifth of the other's size, spreading his tail out in

exactly the same curve and tilting his head at exactly the same angle to watch the same daddy-longlegs, and the sight becomes entrancing. Jeremy in his attitude is such a pretty parody—such a reduction of his kind to delicious absurdity. If I had been the Old Woman who Lived in a Shoe, I should certainly have kept kittens as well as children. And ducklings. And, perhaps, young pigs. And I should have hired somebody to look after them all.

TX7HEN I saw that there was to be racing V at Dieppe, I knew that, in the American phrase, I was "up against" a temptation that I should be unable to resist. The great merit of horse-racing is that it gives you an excuse for spending an afternoon in the open air, and in the summer of 1924, owing to the unspeakable weather, it was almost impossible to find an excuse for spending an afternoon in the open Never have the coasts of England and France been stormier. Never has the sea broken on colder and grever stones. Hence the crowded condition of the Boule-room at the Casino—that Boule-room so shabby and so stifling compared to the spacious comfort of the Le Touquet tables. I wandered into it because a friend, on leaving France, had bequeathed me a franc with the message that I was to go to the tables and put it on the seven. I can never help believing that a message of this kind means something. I entered the Boule-room spirit of childish certainty that I was going to I had kept the franc in a separate pocket. and, when I laid it on the seven, it came as a staggering blow to me to hear the man with the ball announcing that the eight had won. put another franc down on the seven and received another blow. Then another. another, and another, and another, till I hadn't a franc left in my pocket. Then I began to

play with two-franc pieces, and, in order to vary the monotony, threw one on to the five. On this occasion the seven won. I tried the seven again, and this time the five won. I went on losing like this till all my two-franc pieces were gone, and then, with a bitter oath, "Curse Avlwin Pelham Hereward!"—for it was he who had told me to back the seven-I rose from the table and hurried out for a breath of fresh air. A gale was blowing from the Atlantic, and I was glad of it. I leaned forward against it, a lone pacer of the shore, for some time, and meditated on my future line of action. It may have been the inspiration of the storm, but I began gradually to feel bolder, and, remembering that my pocket was still full of five-franc tokens, I resolved to go in and play, if necessary, till I hadn't a five-franc piece left. I looked for table number seven, and I put my In a few seconds token on the seven there. the croupier was raking it towards him without pity. I tried other tables and even other numbers, but, whatever I tried, my little heap of wealth continued to dwindle till only one piece remained. "That," said I, as I flung it on the seven, "must be the last." What joy, then, to hear "Le sept" called out as the winning number and to see the croupier pushing over towards me a little pink twentyfranc token and three leaden five-franc pieces! I left my original five francs on the seven, and "Le sept" was announced again. After that I could hardly help winning, even though another member of my family kept taking pieces out of my hand and losing them almost as fast as I made them. At Boule, if you win

once in seven throws, you at least keep your head above water. After this point I must have won at least two or three times out of seven. I had occasional spells of loss that brought me down to my last five-franc piece again. But I never staked my last five francs on the seven in vain. Always it happened that the little heap in my hand once more increased itself. When at last the croupier said that his table must close for the afternoon, I staked fearlessly on the seven again and won. Then he said that the table was fermé, but, as some people who had not heard him put on their tokens, he allowed one more round, and summoning all my courage, I flung a pink twenty francs on to the seven, heard the winning call "Le sept," and a pleasant little heap of a hundred and sixty francs was thrust towards me over the baize. Please do not think I am boasting. I am merely writing a true story, which ought to be called "The Vindication of Avlwin Pelham Hereward." I trusted him and he did not betray me. A. P. H.'s franc had multiplied itself by something like three hundred in the course of two hours.

Even so, I do not call this a natural way of passing the time at the seaside. And so, when I read the posters on the walls announcing the Dieppe races, I rejoiced at the prospect of a healthier form of recreation. On Sunday morning the sky was hung with filthy cottonwool clouds and it was in vain that I used my utmost endeavours to persuade either women or children to accompany me from Grandepluieville to the race-course. I sat down to lunch alone at La Sole Dieppoise, poring over

a little pink publication called "La Vie Hippique: Guide Préféré des Sportsmen." Unfortunately, "La Vie Hippique" seemed to be almost entirely concerned with certain races at Deauville, and gave but a line and a half of tips for "Le Meeting de Dieppe." After lunch I found a motor char-à-banc hanging about outside the railway station with a blackboard that said, "Pour les Courses. 2ff." There was nobody but a small sandy-haired boy of eight or nine sitting in it and I got in beside him. The conductor and chauffeur both kept shouting "Pour les Courses" at the top of their voices, but the passers-by only shook their heads, and we did not get a catch for at least ten minutes, when two girls in their teens climbed smiling into the vehicle. We went on rattling our machinery and yelling "Pour les Courses" for several more minutes, but nobody would have anything to do with us and, at last, we set off on a promenade of the streets of Dieppe at a pace something less than that of a garden-slug, calling out "Pour les Courses" to the quiet-looking shops that seemed only to want to be left alone to keep the Sabbath. Sometimes we would pull up in order that the conductor might shake hands with a friend and have a brief and apparently amusing conversa-Sometimes we would stop in order that a man in a blue overall might hurry out of a shop and have a brief and apparently amusing conversation with the chauffeur. If we paused in a crowded part of the traffic, a policeman would come up and order us to move on. we paused opposite a café a waiter from within would begin to exchange back-chat with the

chauffeur to the great satisfaction of both. Then suddenly, just as I was beginning to despair of ever getting to the race-course, the bourgeoisie seemed to spring from the pavement and to take us by storm. There were bourgeois with grey beards, bourgeois with black beards, beardless bourgeois with smiles settled for life under their long pendent noses, a stout ladv who had to be helped in and who still preserved a belladonna look in the eyes that rolled above her faded cheeks, two widows funereal in weepers—as respectable a company, indeed, as any that ever set out on a pilgrimage from Southwark to Canterbury. In England, if you go to a race-meeting, you invariably see a number of people you could not see anywhere else than at a race-meeting, just as, if you go into a public-house, you see a certain number of people such as you never see except in a public-The French have extended the atmosphere of normal life to the café. It seemed to me, as I sat among the jolly bourgeois and the merry widows of Dieppe on the char-à-banc. that they had also extended the atmosphere of ordinary life to the race-meeting.

It was a curious contrast to one's experience of race-meetings in England to arrive at the course a few minutes before the first race, and not to hear the voice of a single bookmaker disturbing the Sabbath peace. Most of the people present were strolling about—many of them with their families—under the chestnuts at the back of the grand stand, waiting for the names of the starters in the first race to appear on the notice-board. Some of them were in the paddock where a few of the sorriest-looking

nags I have ever seen were being walked round and round on the grass. The first horse I saw was a chestnut beast with thick knees called My Lord II. I decided to back it, not because I thought it was going to win, but because I had once lost money on a horse called My Lord in England. There was a little row of ticketoffices, most of them ten-franc offices, at which you gave the number of the horse you wished to back and purchased a ticket, as if at a railway station. I confess, when the horses came out on to the course through a crowd of children. most of them looked to me like abdicated 'bushorses living abroad on a pension. I am, I may say, no judge of horseflesh, but the only animal in the field that looked to me capable of getting over the jumps without dropping dead was a grey animal in blinkers called Gorenflot, and, when the horses cantered round for the last time, he took the lead to the finishing post so easily that even those who had backed him had hardly the heart to cheer. After the race we all went round behind the stand again to see the prices of the winner and the placed horses going up on the notice-board. in France has this added excitement that no one who has backed the winner knows how much he has won until several minutes after the race. He has to wait on the wet grass till the clerks have counted the money and worked out a division sum with the number of the successful betters as the divisor and the total sum bet as the dividend. Then the answer to the sum appears on the board, looking, as someone said, rather like a railway time-table. Gorenflot was, apparently, a fairly hot favourite, and your

ten francs had turned only into about twenty-Then, if you had backed him, you hurried round to the rear of the ticket office at which you had made your bet and took your place in a queue and in time drew your money. I had the pleasure of standing in the queue twice during the afternoon, for I met some people on the course who knew an owner and a trainer, and the owner had given them one winner and the trainer another. Even so, so cautious a gambler am I that I did not risk more than ten francs on either of them. But then I thought both horses would probably start odds-on favourites, and it is lowering to the spirits to back favourites even when you know that they are going to win.

How much more amusing it was to back Soir d'Avril, who was number 13 on the card and was the son of Sea Sick and Source d'Or! lived up to his father's rather than to his mother's name, and, as he hobbled stiffly home on his wooden legs, he looked as if his proper place would be in a merry-go-round rather than on a race-course. In the next race I again backed number 13, a horse called Ballyrack, the son of Rackrent and Ballykill. doubt if he even started. If he did he must have got lost on the far side of the course, for I looked for him in vain among the horses that But there was at least one race during the afternoon that was exciting enough to have stirred the blood of the very spotted cows that grazed in the middle of the circular course. This was the steeplechase won by Seddul Bahr, child of Pilliwinkie and Silent Jennya race in which horses lost their riders or fell

into the water as at the Grand National, and a wounded jockey lay in the ditch unable to rise, and only hobbled off the course when the stretcher-bearers arrived and invited him in vain to take his place on the stretcher. It was not the accidents that made the race exciting. however, but the beautiful fighting between Seddul Bahr and Samalut. Side by side they came over the last jump, and then Samalut shot ahead like a deer and Seddul Bahr seemed to be left two or three lengths behind. By a desperate effort Seddul Bahr's jockey, in his blue blouse with yellow spots, drove him bounding forward till with a spring he had come level with Samalut. horses charged forward, one jockey lashing at a shoulder, the other at a loin, and Samalut again got ahead when Seddul Bahr's jockey seemed to go mad with new energy, whirled his beast onward till within ten yards of the post the two horses were neck and neck, and with a last wave of the arm incited him to gather all his forces for a superequine leap that took him past the winning post a nose in front of the other. How those French men, women and children shouted! I did not think that I could have had my heart quite so completely in my mouth for so small a sum as ten francs. Then there was the tenterhooks excitement of waiting behind the stand to learn how much we had won, and, men, women, and children, we could have shouted again when we saw that we had won a whole twelve francs. After that we formed once more into quiet little queues outside the pay-boxes-old men, steady-going shopkeepers, discreet shop-girls and widows.

I wish Canon Peter Green, who has written so much against betting, had been there. He could not possibly have believed that betting as it is carried on at Dieppe on a Sunday afternoon is a sin. I have seen more unseemly behaviour at a Sunday School soirée and more evil passions on the faces of people playing tiddleywinks.

The Happiest Man on Earth \circ

WINE and spirit merchant, who died recently, had the forethought to write his own epitaph and to leave instructions in his will that it was to be placed on his tombstone. It was even more flattering than the usual epitaph, as was perhaps to be expected. It ran:

Here lies the remains of G. L. Norris, who lived and died the happiost man on earth, who was always busy doing good and trying to do good, and advising and helping those in trouble. G. L. Norris never knew his advice to go wrong.

How many of us could speak so well of ourselves? How pleasant it must be to be able to look back on one's life and to realize that one "was always busy doing good and trying to do good, and advising and helping those in trouble!" I confess, when I scan my past, I have to search very thoroughly before I can discover even one day on which I was "busy doing good and trying to do good." I can remember several occasions on which I meant to "do good," but something, whether business or pleasure, usually intervened, and I seldom went further in the matter. The truth is. I am so out of practice in this business of "doing good" that I should be hard put to it to tell you what "doing good" is. Was I doing good when I gave that pound, years and years ago,

to the Foreign Mission? Or when I gave the two-shilling bit to the Mission for Converting the Jews? Even in these matters I am not sure that I was entirely disinterested, for there was a leading man in the church who, I was told, had begun by saving money as a boy in order to give it to missions, and who was now worth thousands of pounds. Still, parting with the pound—and even with the two-shilling bit -was a wrench, and I see it in the golden light of the past as a reasonably good deed. Even at the present day I cannot bear to hear people attacking missionaries. It may be that, on such occasions something deep down in my subconsciousness whispers: "If missionaries are no good, what about that pound?" Or "If missions are wrong, what about the two shillings you gave to the Jews?" If the attacks on missionaries succeed, one thing is certain. There will have to be deleted from the book of the Recording Angel two entries of some importance:

Credit Y. Y.	£	8.	d.	
To Foreign Missions	 1	0	0	
To Mission for Converting Jews	 0	2	0	

The great thing about contributing money to missions is that it gives virtue a substantial and recognizable form. There are, I believe, various societies of children, such as the Ministering Children's League and, the Boy Scouts, which pledge their members to do at least one kind deed a day. It is not easy to be kind, however, unless you have money in your pocket. You cannot go about the world smiling at people you do not know and giving them good

advice. There are, I admit, a good many opportunities every day for a negative sort of kindness—the kindness of not losing your temper even with the harmless house-holder to whom your telephone call has been put through by mistake, or, when you yourself have been rung up by mistake, the kindness of not losing your temper with the boor at the other end who impatiently snaps, "Ring off!" How you long to shout back at him, "Swinehound! You're not fit to be allowed to use a telephone. Ass, ape, dog-faced baboon! Go and boil your head." But you say none of these things. You wearily put back the receiver in its place, and it may be that in preserving silence you have done a good deed. If you wish to do deeds of a more positive excellence, you will not find it so easy as you think, even in so crowded a city as London. Begin by offering to carry a lady's bag for her. and see the look of horror she turns on you as if you were a thief. Offer your seat to an elderly gentleman in a bus, and, as likely as not, he will glare at you in goggling fury, as much as to say, "How dare you mistake a man in the prime of life like me for a helpless old dodderer? Keep your seat, sir. You need it a deuced sight more than I do." That, indeed was what happened on the last occasion-now some years ago—on which I tried to do a good deed. On another occasion I tried to interfere in a fight between two drunken boys. I plunged into the fight and caught one of them by the arm with the result that the other redoubled the fury of his blows and beat the poor fellow about the face till it was one vast bloodstain. Is it any

wonder that, for some time past, I have given up trying to do good beyond throwing an occasional penny into the cap of a pavement artist? And I doubt if the Recording Angel enters pennies.

Perhaps there are more opportunities for doing good in a small town like Penarth, where the wine and spirit merchant lived, than in London. He was undoubtedly fortunate in being able to do good with so few ill results that he could immortalize himself on his tombstone as "the happiest man on earth." Was he really so happy as this merely as a result of doing good, or may we not suspect that he owed some of his happiness to his digestion or his friends or his home or his love of reading or of the pictures? Or is it certain that he was happy at all in this superlative degree? not easy to decide whether a man is happy because he thinks he is happy. Hazlitt, whom most people thought embittered and rather miserable, said before he died that he had had a happy life. There is clearly no means of estimating the quantity of a human being's happiness. Looking back, the ordinary man remembers his happy experiences more vividly than the pain and wretchedness he has suffered, and he deceives himself into thinking that he has been happier than he really has been. he cannot measure either his own happiness or his neighbour's. He may know that his neighbour is richer, luckier, healthier, cleverer, more famous than he, but he cannot be sure that this means that his neighbour is happier than he. We do not know for certain even whether an optimist is happier than a pessimist—a laughing

philosopher than a weeping philosopher. Each has a different standard of happiness. Pessimists get a great deal of pleasure from the feeling that they are facing the worst that can be known. They exchange the bliss of ignorance for the bliss of knowledge, and they would not change back again. Pessimists as a rule live to a ripe old age. It is questionable if a despairing view of the universe even impairs the digestion as much as a single slice of new bread. The pessimist, indeed, however much he despairs of the universe, seldom despairs of himself, but like the wine and spirit merchant constantly experiences those most exquisite of joys—the joys of self-approval. Most men, it is true, swing like a pendulum between selfapproval and self-criticism, but in the moments of self-flattery all are capable of happiness. After all, every man is to some extent a Malvolio, and we sympathize with Malvolio in his punishment largely because we think the punishment excessive for so venial a fault as self-love. men have ever lived who did not see themselves greater than they were in the mirrors of their vanity. Even a moralist so free from cynicism as Ruskin held that self-love-"the gratification of our thirst for applause "-is the leading motive of conduct among human beings. "That thirst." he wrote. "if the last infirmity of noble minds, is also the first infirmity of weak ones, and, on the whole, the strongest impulsive influence of average humanity: the greatest efforts of the race have always been traceable to the love of praise, and its greatest catastrophes to the love of pleasure." It is difficult to say which is the sweeter—praise from other

The Happiest Man on Earth

people or praise from oneself. Praise from other people, I fancy, is chiefly valued because few of us can praise ourselves with confidence unless we have other people's support. When other people praise us, they tell us only what we have already told ourselves, but we may have needed their endorsement in order to be sure that we were not telling lies. The wine and spirit merchant of Penarth was apparently one of the fortunate few who do not need the applause of the world in order to be able to praise themselves with assurance. He described himself as "the happiest man on earth" as boldly as a poet of our time has described himself as "the modern Homer." And yet, for some reason or other, just as we have remained indifferent to the claims of "the modern Homer," so we are by no means convinced that the wine and spirit merchant was "the happiest man on earth." We can hardly help suspecting that he thought he was happier than he was, and that, even when he wrote "G. L. Norris never knew his advice to go wrong," he was deceiving himself. "never knew," but we, for our part, have our doubts. No man is quite so infallible as to be able to spend his life "advising and helping those in trouble" without an occasional error. Even the Delphic oracle only kept its advice from going wrong by making it so vague that it couldn't go wrong.

Still, a man who writes his own epitaph may be forgiven for trying to cut the best possible figure in it. If you or I had the writing of our epitaphs and were sure that the authorship would never be known, would we be so much more modest than the wine and spirit merchant

The Happiest Man on Earth

of Penarth? We, too, would like to inscribe on the tombstone a few sentences that would bid the stranger pause. Epitaphs are notorious liars as it is. If we wrote them for ourselves. would they be any nearer the truth? In this matter I can speak only for myself, but, frankly, I should prefer to be lied about when I am dead. I should like people to believe such pleasant things about me after death that it would be almost impossible for me to recognize my features in the picture they formed of me in their imaginations. Many children, when they fall into a passion, comfort themselves by imagining themselves dead and a cruel world melted into tears of appreciation when it is too late. To die is to call attention to oneself. The turnkev's son in "Little Dorrit" used to console himself for his miseries in love by imagining himself dead and composing epitaphs for himself before going to sleep at night. How human is the one that begins:

STRANGER
RESPECT THE TOMB OF
JOHN CHIVERY, JUNIOR,
WHO DIED AT AN ADVANCED AGE
NOT NECESSARY TO MENTION.

Here self-love at least makes sure of a prolonged life before retiring into the tomb, an object of

respect to passing strangers.

The more we think of ourselves as dead the kindlier our feelings towards ourselves become. We can then deny ourselves nothing. A few poets have written ribald epitaphs on themselves, but they would probably have been angry if such epitaphs had been written on

The Happiest Man on Earth

them by anybody else. They were, I suspect, merely inoculating their reputations against disparagement. For the average poet, I am sure, thinks quite as well of himself as the wine and spirit merchant of Penarth. He may admit to a few faults, for he likes to let it be known that he is human, but, being a poet, he is a man of imagination, and sees himself a little larger and nobler than life. He would not dare to say how much larger and nobler. Few men are so honest as the wine and spirit merchant, who has surely written the most genuine, and genuinely egotistic, epitaph since Mr. Thomas Sapsea praised his "reverential wife" in her tomb. Requiescat in pace!

O man can shave every morning for twenty or thirty years without learning something. Even if he is too lazy or too incompetent to shave himself, and submits himself to barbers, he can hardly escape learning something about human nature by the time he is middle-aged. For barbers contain in their ranks every variety of human nature. I have known barbers who were angels; I have known barbers who were devils. Some of them have a touch as light as a falling feather; others wield a razor like a weapon of the stone age, and are not content unless they are allowed to flay as well as to shave you. The latter, I confess, are rare in the more expensive hairdressers' shops; but, if you are economical or poor, and go into one of those little shops in which before the War a shave used to cost three-halfpence, you will in the course of time discover a kind of shaving which makes you feel as if a mob were rushing over your face in hobnailed boots. I do not say that the poor man's barber is always, or even usually, so brutal as this, but undoubtedly the barber whose customers often allow their beards to grow for two or three days at a time gets used to a more determined sweep of the razor in order to clear away so stiff a field of stubble. He cannot suddenly alter his methods for a thin skin that looks as if it scarcely needed to be shaved at all. To such a skin his very shaving

brush feels as if it were made of darning-needles, stabbing the flesh at every touch. His charge is so small that he has no time for the delicacies, and at the end of the shave you find yourself with soap in your nostrils, blood on your jaws, and tears in your eyes. Then you rub into your wounds, so as to make them smart, a piece of alum that has been rubbed into ever so many other wounds, and you wipe your face with a dirty towel that has wiped ever so many other faces. And you come out into the air, glad to be alive and resolving never in future to go to

any but the most expensive barbers.

I do not speak as one who is accustomed to being shaved by a barber. I have no longer the courage. In my twenties, however, when I was more indolent, I used constantly to find myself in barbers' shops even though, as the razor touched my face, I was not always free from such apprehensive thoughts as: "Suppose the barber should suddenly go mad?" Luckily, the barber never did, but I have known other and comparable perils. There was that little French barber, for instance, who shaved me during a thunderstorm and who sprang into the air at every flash of lightning. There was also the drunken barber who felt for my cheek with the razor as a drunken man reaches out for something and misses it. Having at last brought the razor down on my face, he leaned on it to steady himself, and, by leaning hard, even succeeded in shaving a certain patch on my right jaw. I did not dare so much as to utter a protest while the razor was on my skin. Even a whisper, I felt, might unnerve and overbalance the man, and my jugular would be

severed before he knew he had done it. No sooner, however, was the razor temporarily withdrawn from my face-reculer pour mieux sauter is, I think the way the French describe it -than in a nightmare voice I gasped out: "No more. No more. That will do, thank you." He looked down at me with stupid, heavy eyes, and swaved gently with the open razor in his hand. "You won't say anything to the boss," he said. "Nasty touch of influenza. Been trying to cure it. Get into trouble if you say anything." I looked at the razor and spoke, like Harold King of the English, under duress. "Right," I said. That happened a good many years ago, and I am still in doubt whether I acted as an honourable citizen either in making or in keeping such a promise. I was so exceedingly frightened, however, while the man was trying to shave me, that I am afraid it never entered my head to consider my duty as a citizen. Self-preservation, they say, is the first law of nature, and at the moment I cared about nothing except escaping at the earliest possible moment from that terrible chair. As I passed out into the street I did not even mind the fact that a piece of my face was clean-shaved while the rest of it was not. I consoled myself for not reporting the barber with the thought that, perhaps, he would not have to shave anybody else that day, that perhaps the next customer would only want to have his hair cut, and that not very much damage could be done during a hair-cut. Still, these very casuistries show that my conscience was pricking me. It continues to prick me till the present day. Life is full of difficulties if

you do not happen to possess the heroic virtues. Never is it more so, believe me, than when you are being shaved by a drunken barber.

It was not, however, perils of this kindperils, surely, worthy of being added to that catalogue with which Othello used to thrill the ear of Desdemona—that finally decided me never, if I could avoid it, to allow a barber to shave me again. If I now shave myself, it is owing to that middle-aged nervousness which disguises itself in such words as "hygienic." dislike being touched with shaving-brushes and razors that have been used on other people's I knew a man who had to grow a beard as the result of a small poisonous cut that he got at a barber's, and I do not wish to have to grow a beard. If one did not mind having a beard, life would obviously be simpler. most of us, even in these days, would rather do almost anything than grow beards. Much as the average man hates shaving, he hates the notion of growing a beard still more. In this he is entirely unreasonable. He does not know why he dislikes beards any more than he knows why he dislikes medium-boiled eggs. clear that a beard is a labour-saving device, but even in an age of labour-saving devices the very laziest of us will have none of it. Again. it is obviously natural to grow a beard, and for a man to shave is to defy nature no less than for a woman to use lip-stick. A beard is also of service in hiding the imperfections of the human face, and a face with an evil mouth and a weak chin may look positively noble in the shelter of a beard. There is, indeed, everything to be said for wearing a beard that could appeal to so

slothful and uncomely an animal as man. Yet we go on shaving, and know not why, and if one of our friends appears with two days' growth of beard on his chin, we regard it as evidence of a deficiency in his character. There is an iron law of shaving. You must either not shave at all or you must shave every day. Here there is no room for the moderate man, the lover of compromise, the good-natured being who likes to make the best of both worlds. If you do not shave at all you will be respected. If you shave regularly every morning you will be respected. But if you attempt to strike a nice balance and shave one day and grow a beard another, both camps will combine to denounce you as though you were something unclean. I have never been able to understand why it should be considered unclean to let the beard grow for three days and clean to let it grow for thirty years. There must be some powerful reason why moderation is praised in every other sphere of conduct but is anothematized in this. It is a matter on which I-possessing, as I have said, none of the heroic virtues—bow to public opinion, and I find myself shaving at the mirror every morning as though I were a slave obeying It is a waste of time. I dislike doing it. But if I did not, I should feel an outcast. Shaving is my daily act of hypocrisy. It enables me to feel a better man without being one.

The sermon I wish to preach on shaving, however, is not a sermon against hypocrisy. It is a sermon against putting your trust in any one thing, as though it alone were necessary to perfection, and it came into my head in this

6

I bought a safety-razor some years ago, because everybody else seemed to have a safetyrazor. For a time it gave me not only the pleasure of a new toy but, I honestly believe, the pleasure of a perfect shave. Months passed, however, and I became dissatisfied. I began to realize that I used to be able to shave better with an ordinary razor. Then I heard somebody saying that, in order to get a good shave, the important thing was not only to have a perfect razor but to have a perfect lather and that So-and-so's soap was the best; and so I went out and bought So-and-so's soap and, for a week or two afterwards, noticed a marked improvement in my morning shave. more, in the course of time, I became dissatisfied, and, on this occasion, when I began to attack So-and-so's razor and So-and-so's soap I was told by my friends: "The great thing is to have a perfect shaving-brush." I immediately bought a good shaving-brush, and applied Soand-so's soap according to the directions on the paper that was wrapped round it, moistening the face with cold water before using the soap, and, with the help of So-and-so's razor, had the first series of satisfactory shaves that I had had since the War. Were it not for the soap, even a sharp razor would not give me a perfect shave. Were it not for the brush, even the soap would be ineffective. Were it not for the razor, of what use would the best brush in the world be? Hence I tell myself: "Do not expect too much from any one thing." We are always putting our trust in one thing or another as though it were the key to perfection, but the truth is we cannot attain to the inner sanctuary of

perfection without a whole bunch of keys. You would imagine that a perfect shave was fairly easy of achievement for a serious-minded man, but it has taken me half a lifetime to discover the secret of it. The perfect life, or the perfect State, is probably even more difficult of attainment, and we make the same mistakes about them, over-emphasizing the importance of one thing and overlooking the importance of others. We attempt to save civilization by means of birth-control or private enterprise or Nationalism or Internationalism, as though any of these things were good in itself except in company with other equally important things. fanatic believes that if he mentions the word "birth-control" or "republicanism" or "communism," he has given you the clue to Paradise. But it is possible to imagine human beings miserable under birth control, miserable in a republic, and miserable under the dictatorship of the proletariat. You cannot build a house with only one wall, and you cannot build a perfect State with only one principle. At least, so I thought as I soaped my face with perfect soap and a perfect brush, and shaved it with a perfect razor. If there had been such a thing as a golden rule, there would have been no need of Ten Commandments. I am not sure that even ten are not too few. And he who neglects one neglects all. This I said to myself emphatically, dogmatically, this morning, while shaving.

Seeing the World ϕ

IT is surprisingly easy to get out of the habit of seeing the world. By "seeing the world" I do not mean sailing in a pirate's junk up the Yoang-ho or wandering among the South Sea Islands and falling in love with a native princess, or even lecturing in the United States. I mean going out of town for a week-end, or, if that is not possible, for a day, and looking at grass and water and weeds and woods and wild beasts, such as rabbits and voles and squirrels. mean even spending an afternoon in a country garden where fruit is not sold by the pound, but grows on trees and bushes. It struck me the other day that I had not seen a gooseberry growing on a bush during the present summer, or a strawberry in a strawberry-bed, or an apple on a tree except over a wall in a London suburb. I had been out of London a good many times. but always to crowded scenes, and never in the solitude of a wood or a field or a garden. must be more than three months since I listened to a nightingale in Surrey in a downpour of rain, and, since then, I might as well have been in prison, for all I have seen of the full procession of the seasons, with its smells, its sounds and its colours.

If any fragments of nature have reached me, it has been for the most part in the form of moths. Every night, as soon as the lights are switched on, moths of all shapes and sizes begin

to flutter in at the window, some of them so huge that they seem almost like bats, some of them no larger than a clothes-moth. Noah is said to have taken two of every kind of living thing into the Ark with him. I find it difficult to believe that he took two of every kind of moth.

There are, we are told, more than two thousand species of moths in Great Britain and Ireland alone, and, no doubt, these are only a fraction of the moths that fill the night air of the five continents. Did Noah, I wonder, find room in his Ark for a Dingy Footman or a Dotted Rustic? Did that noble procession up the gangway contain examples of the Lesser Swallow Prominent or the Shoulder-striped Wainscot? Did a Poplar Lutestring or a Setaceous Hebrew Character escape back to the honeyed earth from the bleak scarp of Mount Ararat? Did Noah even know the names that Adam had given all these pretty creatures of the darkness? Adam, it must be confessed. was in a happy mood when he christened the families of the moths. How fancifully he named the members of the Footman family—so called because, when they fold their wings close to their body, they have a "very elongate and stiff appearance"! There are unfortunately only about fifteen kinds of Footman moth in England, but consider the cha their names. Among them are

> The Red-necked Monthly, The Rosy Footnan, The Four-dotted Protman, The Four-spotted Protman The Buff Footnam

The Dingy Footman, The Common Footman, The Scarce Footman, The Northern Footman, The Pigmy Footman, The Orange Footman.

The suburb in which I live is, I am afraid, too bourgeois to be invaded by creatures of so stiff-backed a dignity. But, when I see a little red-eyed creature making out of the darkness into the lighted room, I always hope that, perhaps, at least, a Dingy Footman, fallen on evil days. may have sought refuge among the houses of the poor. It makes work very difficult if continual broods of unknown moths are coming in through the window all the evening and taking your eyes off your book while you speculate on The longer I live, the less able I their names. am to overcome a childish curiosity to know what things are called, though I have not the patience to learn much more about them and, in a short time, I forget even that. Hence I am always rising from my chair to take down Mr. South's "Moths of the British Isles." in order to identify that brown creature with a daub of white on its shoulders, like a curl of white paint on a palette, that has settled under one of the rings on the vellow curtains. Is it a Silver Y. or a Golden Y, or any kind of Y at all? It is not at all easy to identify moths from the illustrations in a book, because, in a book, all the moths have their wings spread out like flying butterflies, whereas moths at rest as a rule fold back their wings into the shape of a long cloak. The Magpie moth, who has been our commonest visitor, is luckily recognizable

at a glance by his chequered wings, and a single Magpie moth flying above one's head is enough to make a sitting-room alive as a pretty extension of the open country. Even the kitten becomes excited on seeing him, and runs about the room in pursuit, leaping into the air with its forepaws raised, like a Greek girl playing at ball in a picture. The kitten, indeed, is still more fascinated by the moths than I am. His eyes brighten, and his small face goes eagerly from side to side as he watches the commonest Pug. I do my best to save the nobler species from him, such as the Swallow-tail with its fantastic cut-away wings. But for the most part I have to be content with the reflection that, even though one moth perishes, there are a hundred as good as he to take his place. The garden, indeed, has been a brood-farm of Magpie moths. Their caterpillars feed on the dull leaves of the euonymus bushes, and their wasp-coloured chrysalises hang there by the score, awaiting transformation. Strange, I have never been present at the birth of a moth or a butterfly. Even if I kept a cocoon in a matchbox in the hope of one day seeing the miracle of the liberated wings, it was always sure to be thrown out by tidying hands. there cannot be many things in nature more wonderful than the escape of a butterfly from its mummy-cloths into the freedom of the air. Alas! if I ever see it, it will probably be on the cinematograph.

Still, for lack of greater closeness to nature, it is very pleasant to be surrounded at one's work by moths and flies and butterflies already fullgrown. There is the Lacewing fly, with long,

transparent green wings of an exquisite network, and long waving antennæ, which is said to prey upon baser insects. He was still in the corner of the window-frame this morning. There is the Mottled Beauty. There is—But there are more moths on the windows and ceilings than you would see in a collector's case. I cannot discover even the names of most. I can but look at one of them and see in the markings of his folded wings the image of the face of an anonymous Maori god.

These things, however, seem most charming in contrast to walls and streets. You have only to take a train a few miles up the river in order to find a world better worth looking at than a ceiling of moths. You can see most of the things that are best worth seeing in the world from the floor of a punt. I do not know how much the punter himself sees, for I have not the figure for that kind of employment. experimented with the pole but for a few minutes, and then behind the concealment of an island, but it was enough to show me that the difficulty of reaching the bottom of the river with the pole, and of recovering both the pole and one's balance while striking a really effective blow at the mud, was a problem for subtler heads than mine. Besides, just as I was turning the punt round, spectators arrived. The punt was as obstinate as a mule, and, the more the spectators looked, the more obstinate it became.

The inhabitants of the river are polite, but the stare of their languid and curious eyes is embarrassing. Only a few minutes before, I had been admiring the tall white-trousered figure of

a youth, as it gracefully stooped towards the water and gracefully straightened itself again, pole shooting into the air, for another thrust into the stream. With what delicious ease the punt moved through the still water, over mirrored cloud and sky and willow! With what arrowy straightness! I must have hallucinated myself into the thought that I, too, might be just such an Olympic figure, guiding my little bark up the stream with calm and magisterial muscles. Had I been in a tub. however, I could not have felt less like one of the early gods. Not only did I get wet myself, but I made other people wet, and one more dream burst into fragments like a broken glass. There are some people whom it suits to stand on the stern of a punt and There are others whom it suits to lie among the cushions in the hollow of a punt and be punted. This has all the appearance of a lazy life, but at least the eyes are not lazy as you glide past the jungle of the banks and see the coloured flowers reflected in the stream. Here was the full garden of summer—purple loosestrife and willow-herb and campion growing larger than life out of the mud—a forest of August flowers above floating yellow waterlily and arrow-head. Occasionally, another punt passed, with the clothes of campers-out hanging on a line and a gramophone playing foxtrot after foxtrot at the bidding of an idle girl. But most of the punts and skiffs and canoes that one met seemed but part of the peace of the river-almost as much as the dragonflies, brilliant as peacocks, that darted out from the bulrushes over the water. White clouds in the sky, white clouds in the water.

beechwoods if you looked up, beechwoods if you looked down, iris-leaves and flowers in the air, iris-leaves and flowers in the stream-a world at peace, and the shadow of a world at peacethere was seldom any ruder interruption of the stillness than the splash of a jumping fish or the cry of a moorhen. There is always an element of the incredible in such a scene to anvone who has been living the settled life of a townsman. He can scarcely believe it is true, even when he lands on an island and is bitten by insects and eats cold chicken and hears the cork of a claret-bottle being drawn. How cheerful it was to reflect that I might have been at the big fight at the Stadium and was here among the dipping swallows and the waterlilies instead! It is true that when I declined to go to the fight, I did so because I had so much work to do. But the river was a temptation beyond my powers of resisting. " I will do twice as much work on Sunday," I told myself, and, leaving my conscience in London, I was here watching the bronze, inquisitive neck of a dabchick, as it bobbed on the water like a thing of indiarubber and sought refuge by diving among the reflections. "It strikes me," said a ferryman later in the afternoon, "that the river's about done." He longed for the return of the crowds of boats that used to moor under his tea-garden. Looking at the river from a different point of view, I could not agree with him.

To advance into this summer solitude, with a green hill seen above a bend in the river—to see the world changing before one's eyes like a dream as one went on—to smell the

strange smell of water—to lie on one's back in the sun—this is all that most of us who do not possess a tea-garden ask of the river, and we cannot think of this heavenly solitude as a wilderness.

HE worst of going abroad is that the feeling of being abroad does not last beyond a few days unless one goes still further abroad to a new place. How exciting is the first day in Dieppe, with houses of a different shape and a different colour from the houses to which one is accustomed and with the names and the trades of the shopkeepers all seeming novel and fantastical! How much more charming still is Italy, with the shop-fronts painted all over with words ending in "o" and "ia" and "a"! Even such a word as "bottiglieria" seems to speak of a wine-bar in wonderland, and every jeweller's and haberdasher's and silk-merchant's gives as much pleasure to the fancy as if it were a shop discovered under the ocean with a merman for shopwalker and a concourse of mermaids serving at the counters. The look of the streets is so strange that one walks through them with a kind of secret smile. The policemen are different. The cabs are different. selling lottery-tickets on the pavements, the Fascisti lurching along in their black shirts, the monks in their sandals, are all figures that break in with the effect of surprise on common experience, and for a few days one almost mistakes novelty for Paradise. For a few days one even finds oneself assiduously going into churches in a spirit of exaltation simply because they are not the churches of the city in which

one lives. As for the food, how charming, if it is edible, is the first meal after one's arrival in a strange town! I confess I am incapable of criticizing the food in a foreign country-always excepting such dishes as boiled mussels, braised lettuces, etc.—for twenty-four hours after Even the vin ordinaire—which, to be quite honest, is usually no better than the ordinary wine at an English wine-merchant'sseems worth a compliment at the first two meals, and, if one is of a romantic disposition, it may be a month or more before one discovers how bad Time passes, however, and, even though abroad, we begin to feel at home. Things no longer please us merely because they are novel. We pass the shops with as little interest as if they bore above their windows such accustomed inscriptions as "Family Butcher," "Stationer," or "Italian Warehouseman." We cease to notice that the policemen look different from any other policemen. The trams no longer excite us by their unusual colour and design. The streets become our familiar walks. find it extraordinarily easy to pass a church without going inside. The flavour of the food becomes monotonous. Our palate recovers its rectitude, and becomes critical of the wines. We realize that we were the victims of an illusion, and that we could have preserved the illusion only by going further and reviving it in another country or, at least, in another town. I am not sure that the illusion is worth having at the price, but many men have become nomads in pursuit of it, travelling from country to country as though no country could be delightful after it was known. They are lovers of the

surface, easily enamoured of many places, but passionately in love with none. They hanker after China and Arabia, because they were not born there. If they had been born in China or Arabia, they would have hankered after England and a week-end at Brighton would have seemed to them like an episode in a legend. A great deal of travel, indeed, is little more than restlessness—a continual pursuit of novelty of sensation—and springs from the dread of the boredom of custom. It is as if a man wished to sit on a painted horse—and on a new kind of painted horse every day—in a perpetual merry-goround.

There are, I know, profounder pleasures to be got later on from foreign places than these superficial excitements over novelties. they are the same pleasures in kind that are to The senses are no longer the be had at home. supreme means of enjoyment, but the affections are engaged, and we love the things around us all the more because they are familiar. We no longer live in obedience to a guide-book, but have made a new map of the place for ourselves in which many sights that the guide-book exalts are left out and many things not mentioned in the guide-book stand out as prominently as museums and cathedrals. Not that I would speak ill of guide-books. I cannot comfortably go about with one in my hand or consult it in public with eyes that glance backwards and forwards between the book and some ruined temple or great man's tomb. But I like to have one by me for an occasional private hint, and I like, on getting back to the hotel after a morning spent in sight-seeing, to take up the

guide-book and see what I have seen, and also what I have missed. I feel a little humiliated if, after having gone half across Europe and spent a morning in one of the show-places of the world. I have on coming home to answer "No" to the questions: "Did you see this?" "Did you find that?"; "Did you notice that wonderful so-and-so? Oh, what a pity! It's the gem of the whole place." The guide-book judiciously studied will save you from many of these humiliations, though not from all, for the ordinary traveller is a jealous being and will not be content till he has proved that you have overlooked the thing without parallel—that, if you have seen the right picture, you have seen it in the wrong light by going in the afternoon instead of the morning-that your day spent in visiting some famous church was wasted because you didn't see the cloisters, as the cloisters are the only thing that raises above fifty other churches of the same kind. So far as I can judge, it is the object of many travellers to convince some poor fellow-creature just returned from abroad that he might as well have stayed at home, and that he has not used any of his opportunities. They even try to prove that you have eaten in the wrong restaurants, taken the wrong guide-book, and staved at the wrong hotel. They beam with a horrible philanthropy as they condole with you over what you have missed. But you know all the time that they are secretly enjoying your poverty of experience and congratulating themselves on their own riches. When I was younger, and bolder than I am now, I could have stood up to these people better, and told

them with half-truth that I hate sight-seeing, and that, of the famous sights that I have seen, not more than half have given me more pleasure than I could get in a London park. now a sort of cowardly longing to see everything that everybody talks about, though the pleasure of seeing many of these things is little more than the pleasure of curiosity satisfied. The trouble is that the imagination is not a slave that will take orders from us and that will respond as it is expected to respond at all times and in all places. We go in its company to see a great picture, and stand waiting for its verdict. If we held a dialogue with it, we should say on many such occasions: "Come now. one of the great pictures of the world. body says so. At least, everybody says so except the people who always contradict what everybody says. Don't you admire it, too? You don't seem very enthusiastic. Don't you think it very good?" And the imagination would—at least, now and then—reply: don't know whether it's good or not, and to-day I don't care. You dragged me here against my will, when I would rather you had sat down in a chair outside a café and watched the buses passing. Besides, picture-galleries always depress me. The human beings in them never look natural. Many of them look like uneasy ghosts that have wandered into the wrong hell. The ones that are enjoying themselves and expressing their enjoyment aloud are still more disturbing. I can't help listening to them, and one cannot be absorbed in the conversation of one's fellow-creatures and in the Holy Family at the same time. If you had brought me here

yesterday, I might have felt differently, so I shan't go so far as to say that the picture is positively bad. But to-day I simply don't enjoy looking at it. Don't let's bother any more about pictures to-day. Come along to a café."

And how gladly we should go!

When once you have settled down and feel really at home in a new place, you need no longer drag your imagination about in this fashion, seeing the things you ought to see instead of the things you wish to see. The resident alien in London does not visit Westminster Abbey with a guide-book, nor does he even go into the National Gallery except when it is the whim of his imagination to do so. he likes London, it is not because of the things that are marked as important in the guide-books about London. It is because of the things that he discovers capriciously and by accident. He can live in his own London, not in other people's London. London becomes to him a city of personal associations and is no longer a mere capital of famous sights. We are sometimes told that the American visitor sees more of London than the people who live in it. This, I think, is true only in a superficial sense. The American sees more of guide-book London, but the Londoner sees more of the London that is worth seeing. He sees his own house and his friend's houses—buildings that contain far more of the things that make life interesting to him than cathedrals and palaces and museums of the arts. He sees his own garden, which contains more pleasures for him than the greatest of the parks, and he sees his own cat, which surpasses the King's horses or the lordliest

89

beast in the Zoo as the paragon of animals. And do not think that he does not see as many novelties as if he were taxi-ing from church to church and from museum to museum in a foreign city. The seasons alone should give a man all the novelties he needs. The very street in which he lives changes from hour to hour. It is one street when the sun is shining, another street in rain, and another under the full moon. Foreign travel is pleasant chiefly because it makes us realize that we are among novelties, but when we are sufficiently awake to see the constant flow of novelties in the world at our doors, we can enjoy all the excitement of foreign travel along with the pleasure of being at home. The worst of it is that, though I know this, I also know that if I had a fortune I should spend some of it in Florence, and a little in Assisi, and might even be tempted as far as Athens. But no further. I don't mind reading about the ends of the earth in fiction or in travel-books, but I trust that, if I ever see them, it will be many years hence and from a window in Heaven. If I were offered a free trip round the world, I might accept the offer through weakness, but I do not wish to go round the world. Have I not been round the sun once a year ever since I was born? That seems to have satisfied any cravings I may have had for distant travel, or at least to have made a jaunt round this pigmy earth a matter of small consequence. Besides, I should hate to meet all those people who are described in the books by anthropologists. I would far rather go to Southend than to the South Seas. And I don't very much want to go to Southend.

NGLAND is such a disorderly country that Lit does not even possess a written constitution. It is a country with one of the greatest languages in the history of mankind. but it is too anarchistic to appoint an official guardian of its language, as the French have done for theirs, and leaves us at the mercy of privately-published dictionaries when we are in doubt as to the spelling or pronunciation of a word. The dictionaries unfortunately differ. some of them yielding to a mis-pronunciation that has become general, and some of them holding conservatively fast to the best traditions of speech. Thus you will find varying pronunciations of such words as "corollary" and "laboratory" from dictionary to dictionary, and the influx of American dictionaries has added to the confusion with alternative pronunciations of "metallurgy," "schedule" and a host of other words. Even so, I should regret to see the English language made subject to a body of official persons. We saw how the French Academy refused the other day to admit the useful word, defaitisme, to the dictionary. and in English academic circles, no doubt, official bias would play as dangerous a part in the selection and rejection of new words. It is better, perhaps, to leave the English language as a tree of the forest instead of trying to clip

it into an orderly and unnatural shape, like a

sculptured yew-tree.

There is one sphere of English life, however, in which I think an academy might prove extremely useful. I should like to see an Academy of Superstitions established for the classification and, as it were, hall-marking of superstitions. At present the superstitions of western Europe are in such a chaotic state that none of us quite knows what to believe. We are at the mercy of any novelty of belief that is mentioned in casual conversation, and have no guidance in the matter except what we can get from absurd books of dreams and omens. A fellow guest at luncheon, refusing celery, says: "No, thank you. I never eat celery on Tuesday. It's said to be unlucky," and, immediately we make a note of his ridiculous whim and we know that we shall never enjoy eating celery on Tuesday again. Then there is a widespread disagreement about the validity even of some of the most popular superstitions. Most of us, for instance, regard it as unlucky to light three cigarettes from one match. is a vigorous minority, however, which protests that this is not a genuine superstition, but that it was put about during recent years by a leading firm of manufacturers of matches. Others declare that it originated during the Boer War, when it was noticed that, if a match was kept alive long enough to light three cigarettes, a Boer sniper frequently fired at the light and shot one of the three smokers. These rationalists admit that the superstition is valid enough in time of war, but deny that it has any force in time of peace. This is an example of

the sort of difficulty that an Academy of Superstitions ought to be able to clear up once and for all. It could inquire into the origin of the superstition, subpœna the heads of the firm of manufacturers of matches, compile statistics showing the effect of economy in matches (a) during peace and (b) during war, and either perpetuate the superstition as a good one or decree its expulsion for ever from the company of reasonable men. As it is, those of us who do not like to run unnecessary risks have to be constantly on our guard against hosts who do not care what happens to us.

Then there is the superstition which forbids us to walk under a ladder. Most of us accept this without question, though a number of people who like to make the best of both worlds invariably explain that the reason why they do not like walking under ladders is that they are afraid of somebody's dropping a pot of paint on them. I find, however, from a book, "Lucky Charms and Omens-What They Mean," that the only time it is safe to walk under a ladder is when there is somebody on it. "It is very unlucky to walk under a ladder," says this little volume, "unless there is a man on it, when it becomes quite a fortunate thing to do." I confess I had never heard of this reservation before, and I should like to know what authority the editor of the book has for it. Obviously, if he is right, one's attitude to ladders will have to undergo serious alteration. I myself, for instance, am willing to risk a pot of paint in the pursuit of good fortune, and I am sure there are hundreds of other bold spirits who will be willing to encounter the same perils in so good a

cause. But, for want of official guidance, we are at a loss what to believe. We do not know whether the theory just mentioned is in accordance with tradition or is a frivolous invention of recent times. If an Academy of Superstitions were in existence, and publicly announced that the superstition about the ladder with a man on it could be traced back to the Middle Ages, it would do much to set our doubts at rest and would add considerably to the happiness of those of us who have to walk a great deal in the streets.

During the past summer I came on yet another example of the confusion into which superstitions have fallen in modern days. happened to be in Pourville, with nothing to do, on a dismal Sunday afternoon. A barber's shop was temptingly open, and, as I had long been meditating a visit to the barber's, I should undoubtedly have gone in as a relief from the murky sky, if an old superstition had not suddenly come into my mind. I remembered that it was unlucky to cut one's nails on Sunday, and took it for granted that the omen applied equally to hair. When I got back to the hotel, I was describing the miseries of the afternoon and how, by a happy stroke of luck, I had been saved from adding to them by having my hair cut on a Sunday. "Oh, but didn't you know?" a lady interrupted me, and she quoted what she alleged to be an old saying: "Who cuts his hair on Sunday, cuts it to the glory of God." Now. I had never heard the old saying before, and you can imagine what a blow it was to learn of the golden opportunity I had missed. I straightway added a belief in Sunday hair-

cutting to the list of my superstitions, and it has remained there until the present week. During the week, however, I have been reading "Lucky Charms and Omens," which tells me that "Fridays and Sundays are considered lucky days on which to tell one's fortune, but not for cutting hair or nails." Whom am I to believe—the lady or the editor? The lady's proverb had a traditional air about it, and it is difficult to believe that she invented it on the spur of the moment merely in order to give pain. If I were a Gallio, who could achieve an attitude of cold-blooded indifference in such matters. I should be able to laugh both at the lady and the editor. But, as it is, I am simply bewildered and do not know where to look for guidance.

It is the custom to speak of "these enlightened days," but, in these enlightened days, those of us who are superstitious are no better than sheep without a shepherd. We live in a thick fog of ignorance, as impenetrable as it can ever have been in the Dark Ages. Our age has thrown light on many a branch of the tree of knowledge, but, when we advance into the jungle of superstition, we find ourselves surrounded by a secular darkness in which it is impossible to distinguish between nourishing fruit and poisonous berries. It surely provides a melancholy comment on a so-called age of enlightenment that no illuminating ray has ever penetrated into so important a part of our lives as our superstitions. So far as they are concerned, we might as well be living under William Rufus. Add to this the fact that, in an age in which we have been able to invent a

counter to almost every danger (so that we have already a defence against terrors so modern as aircraft and submarines), most of us are as yet as ignorant as savages of the means of averting ill-luck. Yet I am confident that there is not a single evil omen that cannot be turned into a good one by the cunning of mankind. "Lucky Charms and Omens "tells us, indeed, that a means is already known of counteracting misfortune for those who are unlucky enough to see a single magpie flying. "If," it declares, "you turn round once-left wheel, not cartwheel !--you avert misfortune." Unhappily, it is often from the window of a railway carriage that one sees a single magpie, and it is not easy to perform a sudden left-wheel turn in a crowded railway carriage without attracting more attention than the ordinary man desires. An Academy Superstitions would be invaluable in devising counter-charms more suitable to the conditions of modern life. Even the action of throwing over one's left shoulder a pinch of the salt that one has spilt is too public to be entirely pleasant at a dinner-party. I should like the Academy of Superstitions to invent a number of verbal charms that one could mumble under one's breath without being noticed. There already, I believe, many such charms in existence. I have heard a horoscopist, as he passed under a ladder, muttering some kind of trigonometrical formula, and I understood that it was perfectly effective. I should like some charm of the same kind as an alternative to such things as picking up pins, which is becoming quite intolerable as a means of obtaining good fortune in so pin-bestrewn a city as London.

From sheer weariness, I have long since ceased to look at the pavement. But at times the eye wanders. One may even see a pin on the railway-line from the platform of a Tube station, and what is one to do then? If there were a verbal charm for the occasion, one would feel more comfortable. In the absence of such a charm, one can but stare at the pin with a sinking feeling at the heart.

If the Academy of Superstitions is ever established—and, if it is, I suggest that it should consist of twelve men, say, the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Moderator of the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland. the Poet-Laureate, the Home Secretary, Sir Arthur Conan Doyle, Father Ronald Knox, the President of the Rationalist Press Association. Mr. David Kirkwood, Lord Banbury, the head of the Air Force, the oldest inhabitant in Devonshire, and almost any Irishman-it is clear that it will have a programme of work that will keep it busy for many years to come. It will, I trust, in addition to its many other duties, ruthlessly examine all the superstitions that are brought to its notice, and feel not the slightest scruple about abolishing any superstition which seems to it ridiculous or insufficiently supported by the experience of the human race. For instance, I should like to have its report on the superstition, referred to in "Lucky Charms and Omens," that "it is very, very lucky to touch a real sailor's blue collar with the white braid on it." Until I have the authority of the Academy for ignoring this superstition, I shall find it difficult not to accost every sailor I meet and to lay my fore-

finger on his blue collar, though I know that sailors are, for the most part, pugnacious and irascible men. Again, I should be glad of an authoritative ruling on the genuineness of the superstition that "it is considered an omen of ill to sell a piebald horse," and of the, to me, equally novel superstition that "if you wish when passing a farm, and if you see a pig before you have passed the steading, you will obtain vour wish." Until such a ruling has been made public, I can scarcely imagine myself selling a piebald horse, and I fear that most of my country walks will be taken up with the search for pigs round farmhouses. There is another curious superstition mentioned in the book. "Horses," it says, "are mostly lucky." All I can say on this point is that thousands of Englishmen have not found them so. Academy of Superstitions will confer a great benefit on a large and deserving section of the community if it can either expose this superstition as a common delusion or devise some means of making horses as lucky as most of us would like them to be.

Here, then, is something that Mr. Baldwin's Government can set about doing at once. Mr. Baldwin is said to be in difficulties about a constructive programme. Let him establish the Academy of Superstitions in the next session of his Parliament. He might even have a Minister of Superstitions in the Cabinet. After all, he is the head of a party which has always thrived on superstitions, and even to-day certain superstitions about the colour red are more useful to the party than any other item in its creed. But "Lucky Charms and

Omens" does not agree with Mr. Baldwin about colours. It says: "One is said to be in the best of health, if one wants to wear anything red."

The Plasterers' Arms

THERE is a curious notice hung outside a public-house in Seymour Street near Euston Station. The name of the publichouse itself, "The Plasterers' Arms," is curious enough, but I like better still the warning notice "The last suspended outside, which runs: public-house before reaching the Strand-11 miles." I have never been inside "The Plasterers' Arms," for I am usually on the top of a bus when I am in the neighbourhood. But, even when I am on foot, I can read the notice dispassionately enough and pass on. many men are there, I wonder, who have been tempted to go in by the reminder that, if they do not have a drink here and now, it will be in vain to look for one till they have reached the end of the long desert that begins at Euston Road and ends just opposite the office of the "Morning Post"? "Can I hold out?" the stranger from the north asks himself on catching sight of the fatal words, and, as he does, the mile and a quarter stretches out in his imagination till it seems to run half-way across Africa. In ordinary life, it is quite a common experience to walk a mile and a quarter without going into a public-house. But, if you are a stranger in a great city and want to rest the soles of your feet while washing the dust out of your throat, it is extraordinary how long even half a mile seems if there is no "Angel" or "Green

The Plasterers' Arms

Dragon" to be seen anywhere guarding a steert-corner. Then there is the noble company of the pub-crawlers who pass from tavern to tavern in the course of an evening as we pass from birthday to birthday in the course of a life-time. They, too, will be aware of a strange emotion as they read the sign of "The Plasterers" Arms." For them the perfect world would be a world dotted with public-houses at every hundred vards. They like just enough fresh air to blow the fumes out of the brain, just enough exercise to settle the last half-pint or the last whiskey-and-soda into position well under the heart. They are not nature-lovers or athletes that they can face the prospect of longer walks with equanimity. They are men who, if they go to Brighton, have a drink at the station lest there should be no public-houses on the front, and have a drink on the front lest there should be no bar on the pier, and have a drink on the pier out of sheer joy at finding there is a bar on the pier after all, and then go back to the front for another drink because they like a change. Such is one of the many human devices to avoid monotony. After all. there is as much monotony in the drinks themselves as any man could endure, and the only chance of variety is in continual change of place-from the "Dog and Duck" to the "Green Man," and then, after a look in at the "King of Bohemia," on by way of the "Holly Bush" to the "Seven Stars," not forgetting to drop in at the "Camel's Hump," where some of the boys are in great spirits and are singing "Do have a tiddley at the fountain" to a hymn-tune, and so on to the "Spread Eagle,"

the "Slip Inn," the "Gospel Oak," and the "Singing Hen," with just one more or, if there is time, two to end up with at the "Midnight Sun." Did Livingstone himself ever set forth on such travels?

I fear that pub-crawlers of this type are not so common as they used to be. Most of them have perished, having been either run over by motor-buses on their way home or reformed by age or even terrorized into temperance by the high price of post-war liquor. No doubt, they felt that they had lived on into an age that had little sympathy with their foibles. All the world seemed to be marshalled in hostility against them. Many publicans would give them only bad liquor, and an increasing number of people wanted to give them no liquor at all. Only the bravest idealists could have carried on the battle in face of such universal discouragement, but pub-crawlers held their banner aloft gallantly enough till they fell in the last ditch in our own time. Those who survive seem lonely and melancholy figuresblear-eved brothers of the Wandering Jew.

I hope "The Plasterers' Arms" will still continue to display its kindly notice, so that succeeding ages may realize something of the difficulties men had to contend with in the early days of the nineteenth century. There was a similar notice I am told outside a public-house near the Queen's Club athletic ground, which ran: "No other public-house for half a mile." How desolate a half-mile that must have seemed to many a reader of the words! Yet, in spite of the evidence that even a single half-mile becomes a desolation and a desert in such

circumstances, there are people who would gladly see the whole of London turned into a Sahara with the last "White Swan" singing her dying-song to the parched inhabitants. The world is in a curious state. Everybody believes in temperance spelt with a reasonablesized t; but the people who believe in it most strongly believe in Temperance spelt with a capital T, or rather they believe in Teetotalism, which is Temperance spelt with two capital T's. Any schoolchild who spelt Temperance with two T's would be justly reproved by its teachers, but eminent men and women can misspell the word as they please amid the applause of their followers. For such as they there is no pathos in the words, "The last publichouse before reaching the Strand—11 miles." Some of them would even say, "Jolly good thing. I only wish it were 101 miles." Look how in recent years they have treated the bona-fide traveller. In my youth, a man was considered a bona-fide traveller if he had travelled three miles, and all public-houses were open to him even during closing-hours. He was not a traveller, perhaps, in the sense in which Mr. Cunninghame Graham is a traveller. but no one questioned the bona fides with which he set out on his journey and, if his object was a public-house rather than the North Pole or some lost city in central Africa, that was his affair. Then the law decided that a three-mile bus-ride did not give a man the right to be counted the peer of Marco Polo and Mary Kingsley and C. M. Doughty. He was now compelled, as evidence of his good faith on a Sunday afternoon, to travel at least

five miles. He rose to the situation like a man, and travellers from Hampstead went all the way to Richmond with the light of bona fides in their eyes and Richmond men with a thirst for travel went all the way to Hampstead. This, instead of satisfying the reformers that a genuine love of travel was widespread among Englishmen—as, indeed, they might have guessed, for are not the English an Imperial race ?-seems only to have distressed them and they took the first opportunity to strike such a blow at the love of travel as had never been known in history. They abolished bona-fide travel altogether, so that now there is no inducement for the Hampstead man to leave Hampstead on a Sunday at all or for the Richmond man to leave Richmond.

Those who are so much out of sympathy with the common instincts of mankind that they would prohibit travel itself as a vice-prohibit the only kind of travel, indeed, that has ever been officially recognized as bona-fide travel by kings and parliaments—cannot be expected to be interested in asking themselves why their fellow-creatures should respond in great numbers or in small to the appeal of those words, "The last public-house before reaching the Strand -11 miles." Yet it seems to me that the words appeal to something permanent and almost universal in our nature. They appeal not only to a natural physical thirst but to our love of the last chance and our fear of missing it. For some reason or other, we set a special value on things if we know that this will be our last chance of enjoying them. With what excitement we crowd to a concert-hall at which

a great singer is about to make his last appearance in public! How eagerly we book our seats to see a Coquelin or a Duse if we have the feeling that we shall never be able to see them again, and that this is our last chance! Advertisers and auctioneers play upon this weakness of ours, and are never more effective than when they seem to be saying to us: "Hurry up, this is your last chance," and, indeed, the last pause of the auctioneer's hammer on the eve of "Gone!" must have tempted many a man to bid high for an object that he would never have dreamed of buying if he had seen it in a shopwindow and expected it to stay there. Evangelists, too, excite their audiences by suggesting to them that to-morrow may be too late and that, if they miss the opportunity of salvation to-day, they will miss it for ever. Even the Epicureans, with their "Carpe diem," get round us by persuading us that life is a series of last chances of happiness, and that we must seize them now if we are to seize them at all. All the world, whether it is exciting us to good or to evil, seems to cry, "Do not delay. chance may never recur again." No one has ever spoken a good word for dilatoriness, which is an optimistic attitude due to the belief that. rich as to-day is in opportunities, to-morrow will be richer. "The Plasterers' Arma" like the Dean of St. Paul's, sterple swimmer from such benevolent lethagy and aremit to convert us to a reasonable and realistic pessimism. If a man really sets out from Euston Road, believing that he will casin fine a public-house somewhere the ween that and the Strand, it warns him that he is a public heart he is a public

IO

under a delusion. Hotel-bars and restaurant-bars he may find, but, as for public-houses, they are as rare along that route as they are, according to the Salvation Army hymn, in Heaven. Why, then, not make a call at "The Plasterers' Arms"? It is your last chance till you reach the Strand, and, in the present state of the licensing laws, the public-house in the Strand may be closed by the time you get there. All nature cries, "Do it now." There are few sadder words in the English language than "Time, gentlemen, please!" The sign of "The Plasterers' Arms" is a subtle variation on that ancient theme. If Omar Khayyàm had seen that sign, do you think he could have remained outside? I don't.

TT is, I suppose, a fact that far more people have suffered at the hands of moneyborrowers than of money-lenders. Yet it is the money-lender and not the money-borrower whose activities we are always denouncing and trying to curb. I doubt if there is a single law existence against borrowing money. there is, I have never heard of its being enforced. I have borrowed money so often myself that I do not complain of this, but I should like to see it made an offence against the law to borrow money from a person you have never seen before. When I was younger, it was a common enough thing for a perfect stranger who had somehow or other got hold of one's name to call in at the office and announce that he had just been given an excellent job in a town in the far north of England, and that, if one lent him his railway-fare, he would be a made man for In youth one has an ardent faith in people with good jobs waiting for them in northern towns who are so friendless that they have to borrow the railway-fare from someone whom they have never met before. I have long since lost that faith, for never once did I receive so much as a post-card from the north of England explaining that, though the job was a good one, it would take years to save enough money to repay the price of the railway-ticket. That indeed, was all I hoped for. I wanted to be

sure that the man had really gone to the north of England. One does not feel foolish for having lent money that will never be paid back, but one does feel foolish if one has lent it for one purpose and if it is spent on another. there not a story of Addison's lending Steele some money to pay the rent, and of his anger on finding that Steele had laid it out on a drinking-party? So far as I can remember the story, Addison, in his wrath at being fooled, had Steele put under arrest. I should not like to proceed so far against the men who borrow the fare for imaginary railway-journeys. But I resent the fraud on my sentimentality. Yet I must in honesty confess that, if they had not told the story of the job in the distant town, they would not have got so much money from me. If a borrower tries to borrow money with no better excuse than that he is penniless, it is our instinct to put him off with five shillings or half-a-crown. A man, we feel, cannot in decency expect a perfect stranger to give him a pound or anything substantial merely because he is starving. On the other hand, if he can persuade us that he has just been appointed assistant manager of the "Orkney and Shetland Tailors and Cutters' Standard," and that, if he does not set off by the next train, he will lose the job, he can with reasonable confidence ask for a sum large enough to pay not only for his railway-ticket, but for his meals on the train. And, if he approaches the young and innocent, he will get it. Thus we positively encourage borrowers to be dishonest. We are likely to give more to a borrower who tells us a lie than to a borrower who tells us the truth. I do not

know how guileless youth can be protected against the machinations of people who want money for railway fares. The only thing to do is to let them learn by experience that most people who borrow money from strangers are frauds. On the other hand, I know a man who, on finding himself without a penny in his pocket at Charing Cross, and yet under the necessity of getting to Hampstead within twenty minutes, went up to the first policeman he saw and asked him for the loan of his tube-fare. And the policeman gave it to him. That, I think, is one of the noblest incidents in the history of the London police force. It should also be counted to the credit of the borrower that he paid the money back.

Few money-lenders, unfortunately, lend their money in the spirit of the policeman. It is in vain that you will go into a money-lender's office and tell a specious story about your being in want of the fare to Hampstead or to Hawick. The money-lender is not really interested in your needs, but in your possessions. In order to get at his money you must appeal not to his heart but to his greed of gain. I should not mind his doing this if he took any risks in his business. But he will not lend you money if he thinks there is any risk in it. will not lend you a pound unless he is sure that. if you do not pay him back, he will get not only his pound, but considerably more. Do not be misled by his offers of £50,000 on your note of hand. I am not sure what a note of hand is. but the only money-lender whom I ever took at his word assured me that it meant my furniture. I will say this for him, that I never

saw a more attractive advertisement. It had an air of generosity, of devil-may-care philanthropy, that went straight to my heart. I was myself young and generous at the time, and, deciding that it would be unfair to shear so obvious a lamb, I wrote to him, asking not for £50.000, but for £50. Frankly, I thought my letter was a note of hand, and I looked forward to receiving a cheque by return of post. But, instead of this, a man whom I thought, and whom most people would think, an odious little wretch, called at my flat, and made such outrageous proposals that I got rid of him as quickly as possible. It was quite clear that he was thinking, not of how much I wanted, but of how much he could get out of me. So far as I could see, money-lending was a mere business with him, and he had no intention of parting with a penny, unless he could be sure either of his right to seize all I had or of coming down for his money on some of my dearest friends. I was so astounded by his change of front that I had not the heart even to remind him of the terms of his advertisement. His face was simply an ill-shaven sneer. He was the sort of man whom you would not have asked for a crust of bread if you were starving. To tell the truth, he not only destroyed my faith in money-lenders, but he very nearly destroyed my faith in human nature.

The only other dealings I ever had with money-lenders occurred about the same time, and they also were of a kind rather disturbing to the rosy optimism of youth. A poor woman called on me one day and reminded me that I had once known one of her second cousins.

Having established this sentimental link between us, she told me that she had been behaving rather foolishly and, in order to pay her debts, had had to borrow £20. She asked me if I would mind signing a bill for it as a matter of form. I assured her that I hadn't twenty pounds in the world. "It doesn't matter," she told me. "It's only a question of writing your signature. You'll never hear of it again." I accordingly put on my hat and went out with her to a money-lender's, where we both wrote our signatures, and she got the £20.

Everything went swimmingly for the first three or four weeks. I had an enthusiastic letter from her, in which she told me that she was paying off the debt in instalments and offered me a four-leaved shamrock. I wrote back, still more enthusiastically, for I was deeply moved by the offer of the four-leaved shamrock, but I said that I couldn't dream of taking such a precious mascot from her. She replied ecstatically, saying that she was still paying the instalments and that, if at any time she failed to do so, I was at liberty to tell her second cousin. I wrote back, almost on the verge of tears, assuring her that I had the utmost confidence in her, and telling her that I wouldn't dream of saying anything about the matter to her second cousin. A month later I had a letter from the money-lender, saying that the lady whose bill I had backed had fallen into arrears with her payments and asking me what I thought of doing about it. I wrote back urging him to write to her. I even wrote to her myself, expressing the hope that she was well, and explaining that the money-lender

seemed to be getting anxious about his money. Some days later the letter came back marked, "Not known." I wrote again to the address that she had given me, and learned that she had gone away, leaving no address. Meanwhile the money-lender kept sending me letters and calling round on me, and, indeed, harassing me to such an extent that in the end I saw I should have no peace till I paid the money myself. In the result. I borrowed three weeks' salary in advance from the office in which I worked and went round to the money-lender and bought back my It was the highest price, I may signature. say, that has ever been paid for anything I have written. Mr. Arnold Bennett, I believe, gets something between a shilling and a guinea a word. But those two words that I wrote were, even in the illiterate eyes of a moneylender, reckoned to be worth between six and seven pounds each.

In spite of my experiences, however, I am not in entire sympathy with Lord Carson's campaign against money-lenders. At least, I dislike some of the arguments that are being used against them. Some people seem to want to suppress money-lenders merely because they send them circulars through the post. I have seen a man raging when, on opening what looked like an interesting letter, he found that it was only a note from somebody in Bond Street, offering to lend him £50,000. I admit that, after one has passed the first flush of youth, it is a dullish sort of letter to receive, but I had much rather find a money-lender's circular in an envelope than a bill. If you want to purify the post, you should begin by

prohibiting the transmission of bills. Moneylenders' circulars have the one shining merit that they are almost the only sort of letters that there is no need to answer. Apart from this, I am not sure that it is wise to discourage such model members of the community as the majority of money-lenders are. What other profession can show the same immunity from crime? Rarely do you hear of a money-lender committing murder. I do not think any respectable moneylender has ever been convicted of burglary. I doubt even if money-lenders run off with other people's wives as often as other people do. Most of them devote themselves quietly to their business, and are careful never to injure a fellow-creature except for purposes of gain and within the four corners of the law. I see that Lord Haldane was courageous enough to say in the House of Lords that "the moneylender is not always the ruffianly person he is supposed to be." That is a tribute of a kind of which any profession might well be proud. Has Lord Haldane ever paid a similar tribute to the clergy or to the medical or legal profession?

The money-lender, indeed, is a perfectly harmless person if you do not do business with him. And no one but a fool would do business with him. The wise man, if he finds that his debts are beyond his means, will go into the bankruptcy court or to jail or to South America rather than into the office of a money-lender. He knows that, if he cannot afford to pay his debts, he can afford much less to borrow the necessary money from a money-lender. One cannot pay one's debts by doubling them except,

perhaps, in fairyland. No one, indeed, but a millionaire can afford to borrow money from a money-lender. And in the end I should back the money-lender to beat the millionaire. The money-lender combines the genius of a bull-dog with that of a boa-constrictor. He is one of the most fascinating of the lower animals.

THERE are words and phrases to which I never get accustomed, but which I use merely because they are the words and phrases that most other people seem to use. One of these words for a long time was "hassock." I had never known the word "hassock" outside a book till I left school; "boss" was the name by which I knew that enviable extra of the pew and the drawing-room. Legends had come down from an earlier generation concerning a struggle for a boss in a clergyman's pew one Sunday morning between two of the clergyman's children. ("Clergyman" is another word I dislike, though I like it better than "minister," which in that part of the world was more interchangeable with it than it is in England.) The clergyman had paused in his sermon to watch the tussle in the pew between his son and daughter, and then in a voice as from Sinai had ordered the little boy out of the church. Listening to the story, I could almost hear James's small shoes squeaking as with lowered head he passed down the aisle through the still and silent banks of the congregation. I became little James myself for the moment in my imagination, and, feeling his disgrace in every nerve, I could not believe that his father had been right to punish so severely so small a wrong. How stern the world seemed to have been from the expulsion

out of Eden till shortly before the date of my own birth!

A word that I like as little as I like "hassock" is "spare-room." I found myself using it the other day, but instinctively I should have written not "the spare-room," but "the stranger's room." This was the name by which the best bedroom in the house was known to me as a child, and I cannot help thinking that it is a more charming name than the other. Idle the room might lie for days, for weeks, at a time, but it had a gleaming luxury of welcome, of invitation, for the stranger who would succeed the last stranger in the delightful procession. I had always liked strangers -at least, strangers who came on a visit to the house. Perhaps, I had begun early in life to associate them with food-with jellies and creams and with all kinds of dishes that were as beautiful as Mary Queen of Scots in their fantastic paper frills. A crowded house meant a crowded sideboard and a crowded table. Especially happy was the week in June during which the General Assembly sat and clergymen seemed to jump down in dozens from side-cars outside the gate one day after another. preparations were made for them, with an extra cook brought in, and even the sexton. bearded and with shaven upper lip, impressed as a butler! What a paradise was the pantry for a hundred hours! To me at least it seemed as wonderful and as varied as if it had been brought into existence by a special act of creation. was an oblong world worthy of violets and roseleaves. Every separate jelly stood in a dish moulded into as noble a piece of architecture

as the Parthenon. Here was a busy city of ratafias, whipped cream, sugared carawayseeds, custards, jugs, bottles, pies, and a thousand delicacies to sharpen the palate of a child or a clergyman. It was on an occasion of this kind that a cook, with a taste for long words, finding me prying too closely among the shelves and the cupboards, cried fiercely: "Come away, Master Y., come away from them combustibles." Little did she know how impossible it was for me to stay away from the neighbourhood of whipped cream. I think there must be an element of the cat in me, for, at the sound of cream being whipped, I hastened in the direction of it as other people run to the scene of a fire. Was it only greed that was the cause of this early passion? Surely not, for I was happy to be allowed to sit with a bowl in my lap and whip the cream myself till my arm ached for no other reward than to be allowed to lick the fork at the end of my labours. The child who helps to whip cream or to beat up eggs with a patent egg-beater, is not a mere would-be guzzler, but is an assistant at a miracle. He is fascinated by the spectacle of a thing being turned into another kind of thing-of the commonplace being converted into the wonderful by human hands. As for the dinner itself, the table had to be lengthened. and two new leaves of mahogany let into it till it was almost as long as the room, and noble it looked surrounded by strangers from the ends of the only earth in which one was interested. Had they been the Knights of the Round Table, or the Seven Champions of Christendom, or the Nine Worthies of the World, my ears

would not have been wider open for their every word, as they sat, each man with a thin glass of lemonade in which a large block of ice floated at his right hand, and taking part in a babel of conversation about giants (who were Doctors of Divinity) and mighty wars (which were fought on such issues as Home Rule, and the right to sing hymns in the churches). You who have never dined with Presbyterian ministers as a child cannot be expected to know the savour of the finest wit. I listen in vain nowadays for those deft turnings Scriptural phrase, those anecdotes drawn from East of the Sun and West of the Moon, that once rivalled the calves' foot jelly and the Bavarian cream as delights of the table. is impossible for anyone who has dined a great deal with clergymen to go through life an atheist. The clergyman in the pulpit may darken his mind with doubt, but the clergyman at the table renews his faith again.

It was not only at dinner, however, that there were strangers in the house. There were strangers at the breakfast-table, and the last sound one heard at night before going to sleep was the sound of strangers arriving at the door on cars. All day long there was that sense of exhilaration—of pleasant grown-up men talking and laughing and smoking—of clergymen and clergymen's wives, of uncles, second cousins, second cousins once removed, and whatnot. Each of them had come from a different part of the earth, and the ends of the earth seemed to have met in one small house. They were all from "the country," and, because of this, they seemed to me to be scarcely less wonderful than

the horses and cows and hens among which they lived. That, I fancy, is the charm the stranger usually has for us. He comes from somewhere else. If he comes from the sea, he brings the sea with him into the room. he comes from the farm, he brings a landscape with white-pillared gates and sloping fields and ruined stone walls and a ruined mill and animals at pasture. To sit in such company is to go on one's travels across happy rivers, along tree-bordered roads, and by the tumbling The stranger is not only a man but a place—at least, he was in those days when his own name was scarcely better known to us than the name of the parish from which he came. And so, whether from their associations with food or from their associations with the country, I came to love strangers, and the house never seemed perfect until the stranger's room was occupied. A grandmother, an uncle, a cousin, someone unknown-I might have my preferences, but any stranger was better than no stranger at all. If, in my infant prayers, I used to petition for great wealth, it was chiefly in order that I might be able to build a house so large that it would be full of stranger's rooms. each of them occupied by a stranger from one vear's end to the other. To be exact, I had two houses in my mind, one in the town and one in the country. I could point out the sites, and, indeed, I have them both still in my mind, but I have long since lost hope. Nor did I intend to fill them merely with people I knew -with the cousins and friends I loved-but in dreams of so great wealth I foresaw some of my heroes from the great world crossing the sea

as my guests. The best rooms of all were occupied in these dreams by Mr. Joseph Chamberlain and his then youthful son, Austen. who have never worshipped statesmen in their childhood can hardly imagine that mood of adoration in which the name "Chamberlain" seemed to small boys the most beautiful word in the English language. If I had been in a fever, and you had mentioned the name "Chamberlain" to me I believe it would have calmed me. Once, indeed, when I was in an agony of horror after something that had been told me, an older cousin was able to restore me to calm only by describing a meeting at which he had heard Joseph Chamberlain speaking and his extraordinarily beautiful voice. not know whether Chamberlain had a beautiful voice, but it would have sounded beautiful to me. It is as well, perhaps, that he never came to my house, for I had theological as well as hospitable designs upon him. I had a secret hope, indeed, of converting both father and son to a belief in the Trinity. For, devoted disciple that I was, I felt sad that their prospects in the next world should be endangered by their adhesion to Unitarianism. I had no doubt, however, that they had but to pay a few visits as my guests to a Presbyterian church to change all that. It was an unfair advantage to take of a visitor, but who would not save his hero's soul at all costs? In my dreams, Mr. Chamberlain was quite pleasant about the matter—nay, he was ultimately grateful.

Alas, as the world grows older, the houses become smaller, and it may be that the stranger's room will in another century have

become a thing of the past. My friends, when they come to London, stay at hotels, and I do not even know whether I should like to see them at breakfast. I should be glad to know that they were having breakfast downstairs, but I doubt if after boyhood I had ever any genuine taste for nine-o'clock-in-the-morning hospitality except in a dream. Nor should I care to have my house overrun by strangers as by cats from year's end to year's end. I like strangers still, but within reason. If there were a stranger's room in the house, there are not above fifty people I should ever invite to occupy it, and not all of them might accept the invitation. childhood the stranger was as a rule at once strange and intimate. In later life he is usually only strange. And who would be cooped up for more than a few hours at a time with people with whom he has no degree of intimacy? Hence, even if I had the wealth of an Eastern potentate, I doubt if to-day I should ever get further than dreaming about those two large houses warrened with stranger's rooms. I like the name "The Stranger's Room" none the less on that account. It seems to me to recall a world in which time moved slowly and men were hospitable and the stranger entering the house was as welcome as an angel, and often proved to be an extremely amusing angel.

9 121

On Being Measured for a Suit of Clothes

LIOW gravely the words run into the Thythm of blank verse! Milton himself never wrote a line of blank verse that was more impeccable. Emotion, it is said, generates rhythm of speech, and who can enter a tailor's shop without emotion? I have certainly never been able to do so. And I am not sure that this is entirely due to the tailor. The truth is, I seldom call on him except when the only alternative is a quarrel and a lasting breach with my relations. First, there are weeks of mild admonition: "You ought to have some new clothes. You are getting quite shabby." Gradually the tone of command creeps in: "You must have new clothes. Do ask E. V. the name of his tailor." A week later italics make their appearance: "You must get a new suit." Italics quickly give way to small capitals: "You must get a new suit. Will you go and get measured this afternoon?" "No, no," I protest, "to-day is Friday. Nothing would persuade me to be measured for a new suit on a Friday." "Well, then, on Monday." Luckily, Monday is usually the thirteenth or something equally impossible, and I have another good argument for postponement. A few days later there is an appeal to my better nature in the form of an outrageous falsehood: "You know you promised." This fails, as it deserves to fail, but at last there

comes a morning when I find myself in a corner. threatened with both horns of a dilemma: "Will you go and get measured to-day, or shall I call for you in town and take you?" It is tyranny, but I know that I am beaten: "All right, but he's sure to want a deposit, and I haven't any money." "Give him a cheque." "If I'm so shabby as you say I am, he'd probably refuse it." "Well, call in at the butcher's and get him to cash a cheque on your way into town." "I don't know the butcher." "That doesn't matter. He probably knows you. He must often have seen you passing."
"If I'm so shabby as you say I am, he probably took me for a tramp." "Now you see what comes of dressing so badly. You're frightened of your own butcher." "No. I'm not. I'm frightened of bringing disgrace on all of you by being arrested in a butcher's shop for trying to get money by false pretences." "Oh, well, I'll come with you as far as the butcher's." "Don't trouble, I'll cash a cheque in town myself. But really I don't know when I'll have time to go to the tailor's. I promised to lunch with Jones to-day." "I'll ring up Mr. Jones and explain." "Oh, don't trouble. Besides. I'm not sure that he didn't say yesterday that he wouldn't be able to come." Certainly a man would need to be an eel in order to be able to argue with a woman. Women have such a talent for shifting their ground that one has at times to resort to positive invention in order to keep up with them. "Good-bye," I say sullenly, as I put on my coat, for I hate having my day ruined like this; "what did you say the tailor's name

was?" "I think it was Turtle, or Tompkinson, or Tarbutt, or some name like that. Anyhow, you'll be able to find him quite easily. He's Alan's tailor." "What's his number?" I ask gloomily, for I know at least the name of the street. "I don't know his number, but Alan said his shop was at the wrong end of the street." "Which is the wrong end of the street?" "I don't know. Go and look at it and see." "But in what way is it the wrong end? Is it wrong morally, or architecturally, or socially? Does he mean that it's the dear end or the cheap end?" "Oh, the cheap, I'm sure." "Honestly, I think I ought to put off going till we've seen Alan again and got some information about his tailor."

Excellent though my reasoning was, I found myself later in the day walking along a street which seemed to be mainly inhabited by tailors, and turning over in my mind the problem of how to find the right shop in the wrong end of it. I walked up and down it twice till an idle policeman began to look at me. I felt that I must dive before long into some shop or other if only in order to escape his scrutiny. The nearest approach to Turtle or Tompkinson or Tarbutt that I saw among the names on the shops was Pigeon, so I opened the door and went in. "I want to be measured for a suit," I said. "Thank you, sir," he said; "what colour?" I said dark grey, for I had been told to say dark grey. As he looked among the rolls of cloth, he asked, "Any recommendation?" "Mr. Hereward gave me your name," I said. "We always like," he said. "to know the name of anyone who sends

us a new customer so that we may write and thank him." I had a curious feeling of elation at that. Here was I who had been called disgracefully shabby, and had been talked to as if I were a scarecrow or an old rag-and-bone man, and yet a respectable tailor was about to write and thank a man of fashion for having introduced me to him. "Either," I thought, "I cannot be so shabby-looking after all, or the tailor sees that beneath a shabby waistcoat

there possibly beats a purse of gold."

I warmed to the tailor to such a degree in consequence that, when he unrolled a length of dark grev cloth, I said. "Yes, that will do excellently." He said, "There's another cloth I'd like to show you," and he showed it to me. I looked at it, and said: "I think I'll have that one." "But," he declared, "if you prefer something darker, here's something that might suit you," and spread a third cloth on the top of the other two. "Yes," I said, looking at it, "I think that's the nicest of the three." "But," said the tailor, producing yet another roll of cloth, "if you want something that will last for ever, I have a pattern here that I think will please you." "Yes," I agreed, "I like that very much. I think I'll have that." "But." cried the tailor, "if you think you'd like something else better-" "No, no," I pleaded, "don't show me any more. It only makes it more difficult to choose." "Well," he agreed, "I don't think you'll be disappointed in any of those that I have shown you. Which did you say you preferred?" I took up one of the cloths between my finger and thumb, and said with an air of decision, "That one." "Oh,"

he said, tugging one of the others into view, "you would rather have it than this one?" I looked at this one, hesitated and was lost. "No," I said, "I think I'll have this one." "Of course," he said, watching my face closely and then pointing at the third of the cloths, "you'll not get the same wear out of any of them as out of that." I nodded consideringly. "Well, perhaps, after all," I said, "I'd better have that." As to which of the cloths I chose in the end, I know no more than Adam. It was an exhausted frame that the tailor led off into an inner room to undergo the ordeal of tape measurement.

I stood in the midst of a superfluity of mirrors while he measured me as unemotionally as if I had been a corpse. As he measured, he and an invisible man outside the door kept talking in that strange jargon of numbers that nobody but a tailor can understand. "411," called the tailor loudly. "411," came the far-away answer of the ghost. "18½," declared the tailor "18½," repeated the ghost piano. "12¾," lustily insisted the tailor. " $12\frac{3}{4}$," weakly wailed the ghost. "By the way," I interrupted this extraordinary conversation after a time, "I suppose you have noticed that I carry a good many things in my pockets. I hope you won't have the clothes too well cut, for I should only spoil them." "I see what you mean," said the tailor, "you would like them a little loose." "Loose," I assented, "but not too loose." "I see," said he, "and the trousers?" "Oh, just ordinary trousers." "Ordinary trousers." he called out to the ghost. "Trousers ordinary," piped the ghost. Noting

a certain disappointment in his voice, I asked him: "What is the opposite of ordinary in trousers? Do you mean turned-up or do you mean the sort of trousers 'Punch' makes fun of?" "Well, trousers are being worn a little wider this year. I think wider trousers would suit you." "Well," said I, looking in the glass, and catching a glimpse of a pair of legs in ordinary trousers, "if you think so, I don't mind having them a little wider, so long as you don't make them as wide as the trousers in 'Punch.'" "Oh, no," he said; "the great art in dress, as in everything else, is not to run to extremes." I rather suspect that the origin of the Oxford trousers was the mistake of a tailor who got his numbers mixed and put the waist-measurement in the wrong place. tailor told me that he had recently cut a pair of trousers for an undergraduate which measured forty-four inches round the foot. I have no head for figures, but I think he said forty-four. Having pledged him to attempt no extravagance of this kind on me, I permitted him to aim at a decorous compromise. This, I fancy, pleased him. for when, as I was coming away, I offered to pay him some money in advance, he brushed the offer aside lightly. "Oh, no," he said, "we don't require anything of that kind from anyone with a good recommendation." As I came out of the door, I looked up down the wrong end of the street and asked myself: "Can this be London or am I in Heaven?"

Since then, I have been back to have the suit "tried on" for the first time. It did not, perhaps, look at its best as the tailor covered

it with chalk-marks and ripped away a temporary canvas collar. "How do you like the sleeves?" he asked me. "I think they're exactly right," I said. "Just a shade on the long side?" he suggested. "Well," I agreed, "perhaps just a shade." And he took a piece of chalk and drew a line round the cuff, assuring me that they would look much better half an inch shorter. "And the waistcoat-does the opening come down far enough?" "Yes, I think it's just right." "Perhaps, just another inch or so?" "Well, perhaps." "And the coat—is it quite long enough?" And he turned a mirror so that it reflected another mirror which in turn reflected my back. was, I confess, a view to humilate even a proud man. Impossible to describe it as the back of a Greek god or to pretend that it was as straight as an arrow. Then the bald patch at the back of the head—the last time I had seen it was at a tailor's when it was no larger than a baby's palm. Since then it had spread till it was now a very pool of atrichia. "God knows," thought I. as I surveyed the back of the strange figure in the glass within the glass, "for such as you any old suit would serve. You and your new clothes." I reflected bitterly. "Bald and ambitious dreamer, go back to your shabbiness. You are mortal, and the very crown of your head bears evidences of your decay." I came out into the street, pondering on the brevity of human life.

I walked slowly till I came to the right end of the street. Then, as I turned the corner, I suddenly remembered something. "I must get a new hat," I said.

HAVE been reading some letters from fathers and mothers in a newspaper correspondence on the subject of latchkeys. I gather from this that a latchkey is a symbol of liberty. I myself have had a latchkey for more than half my life, but I have long since ceased to feel liberated on that account. No doubt, I should notice the absence of the latchkev. but I do not notice its presence, and am no more grateful for it than for the fact that I am not in jail. If I were in jail—and, if I am not, let it be put down to good fortune rather than to merit—I am sure I should be longing for freedom, and by freedom I should mean being outside jail. I should envy everybody who was not in jail as a lord of liberty, and should think that the air he breathed was better than wine and that his very step must reveal his delight in his freedom. Being out of jail, however, I cannot picture myself as a man to be envied. The experience of not being in jail seems to me a very commonplace experience and nothing, as people say, to shout about. I know many other men who are not in jail. Some of them are so melancholy that you would conclude that not being in jail must be a tragic I fancy, indeed, that they would be far happier if they were sent to jail for a week every year, for they might then realize during the rest of the year their comparative, if not superla-

tive, luck in being out of it. It is the same with latchkeys. Many of the people who possess latchkevs are as miserable as if all the woes of the world had befallen them. Disappointed ambition, lost love, fear of illness. fear of poverty, bad conscience, cunning suspicion-what can a latchkey do to soothe or to heal the victims of these widespread ills? No weeping philosopher has ever counted the absence of a latchkey among the great troubles of life, and no laughing philosopher has ever counted the possession of a latchkey among the supreme joys, or has praised life on the ground that latchkeys had made it not only endurable but glorious. You might infer from this that a latchkey is a trivial thing. But you would be wrong. Let even the broken-hearted lover be denied a latchkey by his landlady and told that he must be in the house by ten; and he will rage as if fate had begun a new persecution of him. He will almost forget his broken heart as he escapes from the house at the earliest possible moment as from a prison. There are small country hotels in which visitors are told that the doors will be locked at half-past ten and no latchkeys are provided; I should fret against even so temporary a restriction of my liberty. If, by some inconceivable accident. I were suddenly forbidden to use a latchkey at home, I should feel that I was the most deeplywronged man on earth, and, forgetting that the world is suffering still worse than I, either from growing pains or from the pangs of dissolution—I am not sure which—I should concentrate all my energies on getting the prohibition ended. In my imagination every

latchkey would be a golden key, an end in itself, a piece of treasure. I should see the human race divided into men who had latchkeys and men who had none, and I should think of those who had none as helots.

That is the sort of paradox in which we are usually landed. We should be unhappy if we had no latchkeys, but we are not any happier for having them. The only happiness we obtain through having them is the happiness of escaping the unhappiness of not having them. I am not any happier for knowing that I may stay out till three or four in the morning. I do not wish to stay out till three or four in the morning, and as a rule, indeed, am tired of life about two. My latchkey offers me these liberties, and I quietly refuse them. I doubt, indeed, if a latchkey is worth having except to those who ought not to be allowed to have them. Some of the parents who are writing to the Press on the subject praise the latchkey eloquently because, if you give latchkeys to the young, it shows that you trust them. But I do not trust the young in this general fashion. What have the young in the mass ever done since the beginning of the world that we should trust them? It was our first parents who committed the first sin, but it was the younger generation who committed the first murder. I do not pretend that the young are not as virtuous as ourselves, but they have not yet been frightened into a kind of common sense so that I do not see any reason for a blind confidence in them. We of an older generation can scarcely trust ourselves; we certainly cannot trust each other-otherwise, why all

these policemen, crossed-cheque systems and witnessed contracts ?-how, then, should we trust a race of which we know so little as we do of our juniors? I do not deny that there is a large proportion of trustworthy human beings in every generation—that some, like Casabianca, are born trustworthy and that still more have trustworthiness thrust on them by circumstances. But a generalization that would say that all human beings, or nearly all, were to be trusted, would be utterly ridiculous and a denial of experience. Yet we can scarcely help making foolish generalizations of this kind, and even living by them. At one period we are for trusting everybody; at another, we are for trusting nobody. We swing between the passion for liberty—which is the period of trust-and the passion for law and orderwhich is the period of distrust. Liberty, like a latchkev, is most beautiful when we do not possess it. And so is law and order. No country has ever yet discovered the perfect compromise between the two, which would give men liberty and order in permanently just proportions. A fight for liberty usually ends in the establishment of a dictatorship by those who have fought for liberty. moment at which law and order is supreme is frequently the moment at which it is in greatest danger from the rising passion for liberty. truth is that neither liberty nor law and order works. The truth is that nothing works. We creep along somehow or other, changing our theories as women change their fashions in clothes. We feel that anything is better than last year's clothes. We feel that any-

thing is better than the theory of the last generation.

During the nineteenth century, many people thought that the British Constitution was a perfect compromise between liberty and law and order, and it seemed to them to work so well that, if human beings were wise, it would last for ever. But conceptions of liberty have changed, and young men and maidens would now laugh derisively if you spoke of the British Constitution of Tennyson's day as being. as he said of the throne, "broad-based upon the people's will." Certainly, a great many people were poor and a great many people were miserable under the British Constitution when it seemed most praiseworthy, and men have since then been struggling, not only for more political liberty, but for more economic and moral liberty—for liberty, indeed, such would have seemed to Tennyson the wildest anarchy. They have achieved many of these liberties, but they are not yet free. They will probably be tired of liberty long before they are free. For there are always enough people who abuse liberty at a fairly early stage to disgust or intimidate the rest into a reaction against it. Then returns the love of order in politics, morals and the arts. Man ceases to be regarded as an angel to be trusted and is looked on as a conscript soldier to be subjected to authority.

Perhaps it is the genius of the English that, more than any other race, they have achieved a compromise that prevents both the more disgusting kinds of abuse of liberty and the more disgusting kinds of abuse of law and order. In politics and in morals they have trusted

human nature, but not too far. They have distrusted human nature, but not unreasonably. They have never idealized liberty as the French at times have, and they have never idealized law and order except for the purpose of holding down some subject people. Hence there is at most times enough liberty in England to make revolution unlikely, and enough law and order to make Fascism seem undesirable even to the naturally Conservative. All men aspire after liberty, but the Englishman, while loving it as well as anybody, knows that there is not nearly as much in it as the enthusiasts suppose.

He is, I fancy, a mystic in his attitude to liberty beyond the inhabitants of most countries. The excessive worship of liberty is usually a materialistic passion. It is founded on a belief that man is the prisoner of external forces, and that, if he were released from them, it would be into a heaven upon earth. Englishman acts on the assumption that there is no key in politics or anywhere outside himself that can open the door into such a paradise. If he opens the door to the prisoner, he does not promise him that there are ambrosia and nectar awaiting him outside. It is a curious paradox that a race that has done so much for political liberty and that insists beyond any other race on having it should have so little theoretic passion for it. But the lack of this passion is justified by experience. Liberty is not a way of working out men's destinies for them, but a way of enabling them to work out their own destinies. Escaped out of prison, man is still a prisoner to himself. Hence his too frequent melancholy air when he finds

himself in possession of every conceivable liberty from external circumstances-rich, uncontrolled, above criticism, a free citizen of a free nation. If he is not the prisoner of his habits, he is the prisoner of his impulses. he is not the prisoner of his principles, he is the prisoner of his desires. It seems absurd for such a helpless creature to perturb himself about the possession of a latchkey. Rather you would expect to find him looking about for the key that would admit him into the perfect prison.

And perhaps that is what most men do.

Poets seek the confinement of metre and form. Religious men shackle themselves with a creed. Moralists lock themselves in with the iron key of principle. Politicians are unhappy till they have made themselves slaves to a party. It is one of the chief aims of life, I fancy, to escape from compulsory imprisonment and then, as soon as possible, to submit ourselves to voluntary imprisonment. We must assume the chains ourselves, or Nature will rivet them on us in spite of ourselves. Meanwhile, let us have latchkeys, by all means. But they don't really matter a brass farthing.

Silence o o o

CILENCE is unnatural to man. He begins life with a cry and ends it in stillness. In the interval he does all he can to make a noise in the world, and there are few things of which he stands in more fear than of the absence of noise. Even his conversation is in great measure a desperate attempt to prevent a dreadful silence. If he is introduced to a fellow mortal, and a number of pauses occur in the conversation, he regards himself as a failure, a worthless person, and is full of envy of the emptiest-headed chatterbox. He knows that ninety-nine per cent. of human conversation means no more than the buzzing of a fly. but he longs to join in the buzz and to prove that he is a man and not a waxwork figure. The object of conversation is not, for the most part, to communicate ideas: it is to keep up the buzzing sound. There are, it must be admitted, different qualities of buzz: there is even a buzz that is as exasperating as the continuous ping of a mosquito. But at a dinnerparty one would rather be a mosquito than a mute. Most buzzing, fortunately, is agreeable to the ear, and some of it is agreeable even to the mind. He would be a foolish man, however, who waited until he had a wise thought to take part in the buzzing with his neighbours. Those who despise the weather as a conversational opening seem to me to be ignorant of the reason

Silence

why human beings wish to talk. Very few human beings join in a conversation in the hope of learning anything new. Some of them are content if they are merely allowed to go on making a noise into other people's ears, though they have nothing to tell them except that they have seen two or three new plays or that they had bad food in a Swiss hotel. At the end of an evening during which they have said nothing at immense length, they justly plume themselves on their success as conversationalists. I have heard a young man holding up the monologue of a prince among modern wits for half an hour in order to tell us absolutely nothing about himself with opulent longwindedness. None of us except the young man himself liked it, but he looked as happy as if he had had a crown on his head.

Many of us, indeed, do not enjoy conversation unless it is we ourselves who are making the most conspicuous noise. This, I think, is a vice in conversation, but it has its origin in a natural hatred of silence. The young man was so much afraid of silence that he dared not risk being silent himself lest a universal silence should follow. If he failed as a talker, it was because he did not sufficiently realize that conversation should be not only a buzz but a sympathetic buzz. That is why the weather is so useful a subject. It brings people at once to an experience which is generally shared and enables them, as it were, to buzz on the same note. Having achieved this harmony, they advance by miraculous stages to other sympathies, and, as note succeeds note, a pleasant and varied little melody of conversation is made, as satis-

137

fying to the ear and mind as the music of a humming-top. The discovery of new notes of sympathy is the secret of all good conversation. It is because this is necessary to good conversation that a conversation of a party of three is so often a failure. Two of them discover a note of sympathy and they begin to buzz on it enthusiastically, forgetful of the fact that it is an occasion not for a double but for a triple buzz. Two of them, perhaps, have been at the same college of the same university. They go on for an hour happily sharing experiences in sentences like "You remember old Crocker?" "You remember the day he——?" "You remember the night he stole the policeman's helmet?" "But the funniest thing of all was the day he threw the bowl of tulips out of the window and nearly brained old -(naming a famous professor of Greek). niscences are the best conversation in the world for two; they warm the heart and excite the brain like wine. But the third man is all the more conscious of being out in the cold, because these names and events, which are a sort of algebraic symbols of the emotions to them. are to him meaningless. He does not know who "old Towser" was, or who "old Billy Tubbs," or who "old Snorter Richardson." He smiles mechanically as the others laugh with dreamier and dreamier eyes over incidents that convey all the fun of youth to them but that to him seem mere inanities of the memory. A conversation of this kind is bad, indeed, because it condemns the third man to the torture of compulsory silence. You may have an excellent conversation of three where one

man is voluntarily silent, but you cannot have good conversation where one of the three is necessarily silent.

It is not only in our social life, however, that we dread silence. We love noise more than we know, even when no other human being is present. When we go from town to live in the country we deceive ourselves if we think we are doing so in order to exchange noise for quietness. We go into the country, not in order to escape from noise, but in search of a different kind of noise. Sit in a country garden in May, and you will notice that the noise is continuous. The birds are as loquacious as women: the bees as inimical to silence as children. Cocks crow, hens cackle, dogs bark, sheep baa, cartwheels crunch, and the whole day passes in a succession of sounds which would drive us to distraction if we were really devotees of silence. When evening falls, and the voice of the last cuckoo fades into a universal stillness, we are aware of a new awe as of something supernatural. The fear of the dark is largely a fear of silence. It is difficult to believe that the world is entirely uninhabited, and, if it is not filled with the noises of men and animals, we begin-at least, a good many of us do-to suspect the silent presence of something unseen and terrible. Noise is companionship, and I remember that I, as a child, liked even the ticking of a clock in the bedroom. How good it was, too, to open the bedroom window and hear the pleasant prose of a corncrake coming from the meadows through the darkness! There are sounds that are terrifying at night, but they are chiefly so because of

the stillness that is broken by them. The breathing of a cow behind a hedge, as you pass along a silent road at midnight, may startle you, but it is not the cow, it is the silence, that has startled you. If nature, indeed, could contrive to maintain all her busy sounds through the night, darkness would lose more than half its terrors.

For complete silence produces feelings of awe in us even in the full blaze of day. you could imagine yourself the last living thing on earth but the plants, and if you knew that you were immortal and secure from danger for ever, what horror you would feel of a world in which there was no sound but the sound of your own feet or of your own voice if you had the heart to use it! If there were birds and dogs and cats and cows and sheep, you might endure your solitude with philosophy. I should not care for it myself even then, but I should suffer less than if I were the last living creature on a silent globe, on which a motionless sea never broke the stillness on any shore. We speak of the silence of the grave, and without noise the world would be no better than a To survive alone upon its lifeless surface would be to be buried alive, and most of us, if we were given the choice, would commit suicide in order to escape from it. not to say that we never enjoy the awfulness of silence. Travellers in the mountains and among the snows, discoverers of dead and deserted cities, can thrill us with their descriptions of the profound stillness of the scenes, as though to penetrate into such silence were to step into a new world. Silence such as this

keys us up to unaccustomed excitements and susceptibilities. London seen from Westminster Bridge in the silence of dawn moved Wordsworth with a majesty unknown in the busy clamour of In silence we seem to approach the border of some mysterious reality that has escaped us in the din of common life. Hence it is that, if we go into a cathedral, we are offended by those who bring into it noise and The cathedral moves us most restlessness. deeply in perfect stillness. It is no mere superstition that bids us be silent or, if we must speak, lower our voices to a whisper. We cannot even see the cathedral so that its beauty passes into the imagination and the memory save in perfect silence.

Certain religious bodies have recognized the value of silence, and mystics have told us that it is through silence rather than through speech that we arrive at a knowledge of the secret of life. Certainly, the increase in the noisiness of mankind does not seem to lead to any great of wisdom. Cynics are whether any useful end is served by the ceremony of the Two Minutes' Silence that has now become an annual event in England and some other countries on Armistice Day: but having been in a London street, when all the traffic died down into perfect stillness, and every human being in sight stood motionless as a stone in a silent world. I like a million others have felt the spell of the transformation. London of the 'bus and dray and warehouse seemed to be touched with a mystery and strangeness that meant more to the imagination than the hooting of horns and the hurry of trampling

feet. One aged man, indeed, did advance through the deathlike stillness of the figures of his fellow creatures—an aged man in a faded bowler and with a pipe in his mouth. I do not know whether he even noticed that men and women had suddenly become statues and that the traffic of the streets was as still as the palace of the Sleeping Beauty. There was no sound on earth for a time but the whisper and squeaking of the old man's boots becoming less and less as it disappeared into the distance. Instead of breaking the silence, it seemed to intensify it. And no one even turned a head to look after him. Perhaps, he had never heard of Armistice Day. Perhaps-lucky man —he had never heard even of the war. how typical he was of his kind in his incapacity for remaining still! The rest of us, it is true, can succeed in remaining silent for two minutes. But, at the sound of the gun, with what a cheerful tumult we rush back again into the clamour of ordinary life!

THERE are worse places for spending a holiday—at least, for spending an Easter holiday—than bed. You do not congratulate yourself, perhaps, as you lie unable to read, unwilling to talk or even to be talked to, with an aching head, aching eyes, and little shivers of seedling aches in your legs, when the thermometer in your mouth is a burden and the doctor is sent for because it has risen above 103°—a temperature peculiarly detestable because, while it has all the dullness of mediocrity, it is high enough to destroy the pleasures of placidity. But when the doctor has called, and you have taken the first dose of the bottle of febrifuge, and have begun to dissolve into a Christian again, little by little the world returns to your senses and, with a dose from the bottle every four hours even during a sleepless night, you find yourself taking pleasure in the acclamation of the blackbirds and the very sparrows at sunrise, and with an interest in the doings of your fellow-creatures that makes a newspaper last you four or five times as long as it does when you are well. How wonderful the Wembley Exhibition seems, if you read about it in bed! I do not mean to cast a doubt upon its wonders in reality, and I am sure I shall find myself wandering by its quiet lake, and travelling on its railway that never stops, and pausing with marvelling eyes to watch the

wheels of machines going round, and staring at palaces that (unlike some royal palaces) look really like palaces, and getting lost in African swamps and British Guiana, and enjoying the lighter side of life provided by Wembley Amusements, Ltd., and, after an hour or two of it all, sitting down under the flag of J. Lyons and Co. to a pot of China tea, and wondering whether this, on the whole, is not the best feature of the Exhibition—I shall do this, no doubt, like every other mortal who has the chance, and I shall count myself happy. I doubt if I shall ever get the same impression of Wembley with the eye that I can get so easily by reading the papers in a sick bed. place begins to seem to me a sort of earthly paradise, one of the world's wonders, greater than Babylon and its hanging gardens, a blaze of glory that must be visible to the inhabitants of Mars, a rival of Rome the deathless. my word, I got the impression from reading one paper that the opening of the Wembley Exhibition was an event of such world-wide significance that (though this was Easter Week) the Resurrection was a trifle to it. Were I to go on lying in bed, how Prospero's wand would come to my aid and set this recurrent fabric of a vision before my eyes! How I should long to be able to get up and go and see it, and how much more I should really enjoy it because I had not seen it but only imagined

How delightful even a Bank Holiday seems if one is lying in bed! How charming the sunshine that streams through the windows! How sweet the blue sky and the voices of the

birds! The hedge sparrow in the garden, whose winter song has been so shy and timid, is now singing as if he were challenging any of the birds newly come from Africa to match None of the migrants has yet appeared in this suburb and in their absence his challenge grows daily bolder. Nor, indeed, does one require these foreign birds in order to be reasonably happy. Already on a morning or evening of sunshine there is enough din in the gardens without the addition of clamorous There is, indeed, one starling that itself makes more noise than a heath of nightingales. It seems to go from garden to garden screeching in its own language, "Help! Help! Murder! Murder!" At first when you hear it from a neighbouring garden you imagine that it has got caught in a net or been seized by a cat or that somebody is robbing its nest; but you find that it goes round all the gardens in the road along with the other starlings screeching. "Help! Help! Murder! Murder!" from the trees in exactly the same way. We know it now as The Mad Starling. I have not seen it: but these things are described to me by my niece, who is so good at looking out of the She keeps me in touch from hour to hour with the wild life of the garden. "I say," she says, looking out, "Freaky has just chased a blackbird away from a piece of bread in the next garden." "Freaky" is her name for a sparrow in these parts with a peculiar disease of the scalp which has left him bald and wickedlooking as a vulture. At first when we saw him he looked so extraordinary that we had great hopes that he was a bird of a kind that no one

had ever seen before in this island. I, with my childish belief in miracles, began at once to dream of going down to history with Freaky's assistance with a modest but secure place among the ornithologists. My niece with a more realistic eye than mine, however, insisted from the first that the bird was only a bald sparrow. I was all the gladder to know that a blackbird had been deceived like myself by the evil face and the hunch of feathers behind the neck so that he had fled as from a bird of prey.

A few minutes later my niece had other wonders to report from the garden. "You know that piece of mutton fat hanging from the ash tree," she said; "a blackbird has just jumped up at it from the ground and taken some in its beak and run away to eat it." "It didn't hang on to the fat upside-down like a tit?" I asked. "No," she replied, "it just jumped up at it. Wasn't it clever?" "Very," I agreed; "I didn't know blackbirds ate fat." "Neither did I," said my niece. So that in this respect we were happy in sharing the excitement of new discovery.

The tits themselves provide me with a constant interest. I cannot see them from my bed; but from time to time my niece at the window tells me, "There's a blue tit on the fat," or "There's a great tit in the rhododendrons, and I think it's looking at the monkey nuts on the table. Now it has hopped on to the table and is eating the monkey nuts." Still more exciting is the news when she tells me, "The blue tits are back at the nesting-box again. One of them has gone inside and the other is looking in at the hole." "Have they

begun to build yet?" I ask. "No," she says, "I left bits of cotton wool all over the garden for them yesterday, to make their nests with, but I think the sparrows came and stole it all." It would be tedious to give a detailed account of the world thus seen from a bedroom window and through the eyes of another; but I assure you if you were lying in bed you would find it an extremely agreeable world, like a quiet dream. Your bed world seen in a becomes a throne of pleasure like the couch of an Epicurean deity and gives you that sense of irresponsible remoteness from the tumult of civilized men that is the easiest means of cheer-Civilized man in the meantime is fulness. riding on merry-go-rounds and blowing whistles and eating whelks and ice-creams and throwing hard balls at coconuts and buying balloons for the children and throwing darts in order to win a clock for his wife or a mouth organ for his eldest son and doing his best to enjoy himself and to make other people feel that they are enjoying themselves amid noises from which the ear shrinks and smells from which the nose shrinks and foods and liquors from which the stomach shrinks. How I used to enjoy it all myself when a crowd and the colour of a showman's town seemed excitements enough to make a day happy! But now, alas, I flee from such pleasures and count myself happy to be shut off from them within the four primrosecoloured walls of a bedroom. I do not even hear, though the distance is short enough, the voices of those desperate men who try to persuade you to throw balls at coconuts. I do not even hear the steam organs of the roundabouts

until the songs of the blackbirds have ceased after sunset, when, the air having grown still, there come stealing in at the North window, dim as a far away chorus of angels, the strains of "Destiny," mingled with those of "Yes, We Have No Bananas." At once the vast and raucous orgy of the Bank Holiday comes before me as a scene of torchlight and smokecloud, of tent and wooden horse and painted tower, of trinkets and sweetmeats, and of men and women and children moving in the midst of it as bright and as smiling-cheeked as marionettes.

But it was not of Bank Holidays that I sat down, or rather sat up—for I am in bed at the moment—to write. It was rather of the many thoughts that flicker in incoherent procession through one's brain as one lies ill in bed. rather, it was of one of that procession of thoughts that I halted on its way as I was reading the day's papers. I do not know why I should have been roused to such a pitch of controversial pugnacity by reading attacks in various papers on the first class railway passes to be given to Members of Parliament. I have no great wish to see Members of Parliament made more comfortable than other men, and I think that my indignation must have been stirred not on behalf of Members of Parliament but on behalf of first class railway travel. There has grown up in these days an appalling and fallacious idea that first class travel is a mere luxury, and that somehow or other it is not democratic. In point of fact, first class travel is no more of a luxury than a first class pair of boots. A man may spend his

superfluous shillings on purchasing a first class rather than a third class railway ticket with as much democratic justification for his extravagance as when he spends his money on English rather than on New Zealand lamb. Every one who has had to mix railway travel with work to a great extent knows that it makes a considerable difference to him on many occasions whether he has travelled first or third class. By travelling first class he preserves energies for his work which are otherwise frequently exhausted by breathing the foul air of an overcrowded carriage, by keeping his legs out of the way of the man opposite's, by trying to sit comfortably in a space within which it is impossible to sit comfortably for more than a few minutes. Hence I do not see why we should grudge Members of Parliament a comfortable seat on their travels to their constituencies, any more than we grudge them the many conveniences they enjoy in the House of Commons. It would be possible for Members to assemble in a third class House of Commons, in which the smoking-room would have only straight-backed wooden chairs, and in which the benches in the House itself instead of being cushioned would be topped with the cheapest slate. might even be compelled to meet in Hyde Park, where they could sit on the grass. The truth is, certain comforts and conveniences are necessary to the efficiency of civilized man. and both the Civil Service and the great business houses recognize this in their attitude to first class railway travel. The Labour ideal railway travel, I take it, is not an ideal of universal third class railway travel, but of

universal first class railway travel. When I travel myself and have sufficient money in my pocket, this is the ideal I try to live up to. Hence from the charity of a sick bed I am not going to blame a Member of Parliament for doing what I myself do without shame and with a better conscience than that with which I eat many a luxurious dinner.

CURIOUS incident is reported from Montreal. A local brewery announced that it would give a bottle of beer to anyone who applied for it on Friday evening, with the result that a crowd of twenty-five thousand collected and stormed the brewery doors. police reserves had in the end to be called out to beat back a mob that included cripples, mothers holding babies, and (so the report says) "people of all walks in life." I fancy this is an exaggeration. There are several "walks in life" which, I am perfectly sure, were unrepresented in the attack on the brewery. I am confident, for example, that not a single bishop was present and very few clergymen. We can also take it for granted that doctors and lawvers were "conspicuous by their absence." It would be interesting, again, to inquire if the crowd contained a single industrial magnate or a single higher-division civil servant. is. admittedly, a more democratic country than England, but it is difficult to believe that even in Canada there are not certain snobbish sections of society which would be too proud to take part in the storming of a brewery. Still, if the estimate of the size of the crowd is correct, about one in twenty of the inhabitants of Montreal was present—a figure which suggests either that the citizens are exceedingly fond of beer or that they are exceedingly fond of

getting something for nothing. Would there have been as big a crowd outside a mineralwater factory, I wonder, if bottles of ginger beer had been given away gratis? The crowd might have been as large, but I cannot help thinking that the composition of the crowd would have been different. There would have been fewer mothers and more babies present. Children "of all walks in life" would undoubtedly have turned up and fought round the doors. But some grim and determined faces would have been missing. There are thirsts that no known mineral water can quench, and these, we may be sure, were the inspiring soul of the crowd that stormed the brewery. Since prohibition has become an imminent menace among the Englishspeaking races, beer has taken a new place in the affections of mankind, and the man who is thirsty feels himself an idealist as his grandfather never did in the same circumstances. Hence an attack on a brewery is likely to be a more passionate affair than an attack on a mineral-water factory. It will surprise nobody to be told that in the affair round the Montreal brewery "many lost their hats and had their clothing torn in the rush."

It is extraordinary to what an expense of time and money people will go in order to get something for nothing. A hat costs a great deal more than a bottle of beer, yet here apparently were men who counted their hats well lost if only they could get a bottle of beer without paying for it. A suit of clothes, again, would keep a man in drink for several weeks; yet there were men in Montreal who would rather risk the ruin of their clothes than miss the chance

of a free bottle of beer. I doubt if it is the price of the bottle of beer of which they are thinking, or if they would allow their clothes to be torn in a street tussle for the sake of eightpence or so. There is something in human nature that takes an unaccountable pleasure in getting things for nothing apart altogether from their value. Perhaps it is a part of every man's dream of the perfect world. There are no shops in fairyland; at least, if there are, there is nothing to You go into them and order what you like, and it is all sent home to you for nothing. William Morris conceived a world of this kind in "News from Nowhere." But the notion of gratis shopping never caught on as it deserved -perhaps because Morris did not make the people in his shops give away the right things. At the same time, people will undoubtedly accept for nothing even things that they do not Consider how many people will go much like. and sit through a bad play merely because they have been given free seats. If for some reason they are unable to go themselves, they will take the greatest pains to persuade others to fill their places. "It's a pity to waste them," they say, as though it were not a great deal better to waste a complimentary ticket than to waste an evening. It is all very well to say that you should not look a gift-horse in the mouth. But there are many horses that I for one would not take as a gift, and I have no desire to receive for nothing an animal that I would count dear at ten shillings. In this, I know, I am a little The experience of than human. human race is against me. "You can have it for nix," said the man in the Southend hotel

153

about the ticket for the performance of "Daredevil Dorothy"; and his friend did not even trouble to ask him whether "Daredevil Dorothy" was a good play—as from its name I have no doubt that it was-so pleased he was at getting the ticket "for nix." There are occasions, however, when even getting things "for nix" is no consolation. For instance, I have a friend who was doing some work in connection with the Wembley Exhibition and whose work took him into the stall of a celebrated whisky firm. The man looking after the stall asked him to "have something," and my friend agreed that he would. "Have a drop of our liqueur whisky," said the other-"pre-war; it's a treat." "Right," said my friend, and his face shone as he watched a large glass of the precious fluid being poured out, coloured like the sun. and mixed with a gush of soda. He did not taste it for some time, but went on with his work, thinking how pleasant it was to get liqueur whisky for nothing and enjoying it drop by drop in his imagination in advance. At last he took up the glass, gazed at it lovingly for a moment and put it to his lips. What was his astonishment to find that it had no taste whatever-that, at least, it had no more taste than a tumbler of soda-water. He began to wonder whether it could be that this famous firm of distillers made a whisky so mild that its flavour could not be detected in a glass of sodawater. He took another sip, and again failed to discover the least trace of the flavour usually associated with whisky. He looked round for the head of the stall, but not being able to see him, called to his assistant and asked him if he

would mind tasting the whisky to see if it was all right. The assistant did so, put it down hurriedly with a wry face, and exclaimed, "My God, he has given you dummy!" The head of the stall was immediately sent for, and asked out of what bottle he had taken the whisky. produced a bottle that was more than halfempty and that turned out to have no smell and to contain nothing but coloured water. The head of the stall began to tear his hair. Lord," he groaned, "there were all sorts of important people here yesterday—let me think for a minute—there was Sir John This and General That and Admiral the Other-and I gave them all dummy and told them how good it was. What on earth can they have thought? And none of them said a word!" It may be that Sir John This and General That were so human that, in their pleasure at being given whisky for nothing, they did not even notice the remarkable fact that it had no taste. On the other hand, I suspect that each of them, having been brought up on that pernicious proverb about not looking a gift-horse in the mouth, were inhibited by shame from pointing out to their host that, doubtless through inadvertence, he was inviting them to drink coloured water. It speaks volumes for the politeness of the island races that K.B.E. and Admiral alike had swallowed their doses like men or, perhaps, had furtively poured them into flower-pots like men. Not always, however, have Englishmen behaved with such selfcontrol, as Dickens makes clear in that scene in which Uncle Pumblechook drinks the brandy that Pip has unknowingly diluted with tar-

water. Uncle Pumblechook, you will remember, overlooking the fact that he was getting the brandy for nothing, behaved in the most unmannerly fashion, leaping into the air and making faces and comporting himself like a mad creature. How much superior is the modern man with his sense of obligation to the man who is giving him something for nix!

The love of something for nothing, however, had probably become a great deal more widespread since Dickens's day. Nowadays we even expect to be insured for nothing by our morning paper, and Mr. Shaw is probably only a little in advance of the modern spirit when he demands that we should not merely be given railway travel for nothing, but that we should actually be paid a bonus of twopence a mile for our trouble. In the age of Dickens scarcely anything was free except salvation. I remember hearing a noted evangelist of the Victorian age reading out that beautiful verse from Isaiah: "Ho, every one that thirsteth, come ve to the waters: and he that hath no money. come ye, buy and eat; yea, come, buy wine and milk without money, and without price," and how, at the end of the verse, he paused and, looking morosely at the congregation, observed: "Here's a chance for a Scotchman!" I doubt. however, if the Scots are ahead of the other civilized races in this love of getting something without paying for it. The ancient Romans, as they became more civilized, grew more and more notorious for their passion for free bread and circuses, and it is probable that the hatred of paying bills, which is only another form of the love of getting something for nothing, is a

characteristic of every advanced civilization. That is why the advanced civilizations ultimately fail. They do not like paying their bills, and vet they cannot devise a social system such as Morris dreamed of in which the payment of bills has become unnecessary. Morris's prophecy, however, remains a truthful picture of the perfect world, and idealists will not lightly abandon their dream of a State, in which we shall all live in charming houses for nothing, and eat charming food and drink charming wine for nothing, and go on our travels for nothing, just as we are already insured for nothing. The Montreal mob that stormed the brewery was merely registering the eternal human protest against the evil custom of having to pay for things. In spirit most of us were with them. I cannot pity a man who lost his hat in so good a cause.

TT is probable—nay, certain—that, while Inearly all girls read their brothers' books, very few boys read their sisters' books. I am not sure that until this year of grace, I had ever read a girls' book through. It would have seemed an abdication of manhood, when I was a small child, to sit down seriously to "Queechy" or "Little Women," or "Daisy in the Field." I could sit enraptured while a great-aunt told me the plot of any of these stories in her own words, and I still seem to remember an incident from a book called "Melbourne House," in which a little girl asked to be allowed to become a communicant before the usual age for doing so and. after much discouragement on the part of her elders, had her claim admitted. I may be confusing "Melbourne House" with some other book, but the little girl's faith in contrast to the worldliness of some of her elders made a profound impression on me. If I avoided girls' books, indeed, it was not because I had an ultravirile contempt for goodness. So far as I can remember, I always liked other people to be I can even now see in my mind's eye a front page of the "Band of Hope Review," containing a picture of a young sailor saying his prayers before turning in for the night, while the other sailors jeered and threw pillows It was called "He Stands Fire!" and was an illustration to a story in which the

young sailor of the picture wore down the levity of his messmates by his nightly persistency in prayer till at last one of them said: stands fire, boys. Let him alone." I enjoyed dozens of stories of the same kind in a book called "Bible Models." It was a book of sermons. in which a judicious child could ignore the theology and concentrate on the anecdotes. It was there that I first read the story of the sentry who stood at his post while the rocks from the volcano kept tumbling down on him. That is how I liked human beings to behave. It would have been impossible to be too virtuous to please me at the age of seven. In later life we make a joke of the boy who stood on the burning deck, and we should deplore it if at a crisis our own children behaved with similar stubbornness, but I had no scruples in my admiration of the little fellow then. You had only to be a martyr in those days to make me your slave. If you gave your life for somebody else, or were burned at the stake, or were tied to a post and left to be drowned by the incoming tide, or were sent to jail for reading the Bible, I would follow you loyally to your doom in my imagination. Even in books of modern life my heart was all with the slum-child who was beaten for refusing to assist in a burglary at his father's bidding. I fancy stories of good children who disobeyed their wicked parents were fairly common in the nineteenth century. It is a strange paradox that, in an age that set parents on a pedestal, religious literature should have been so lavish of parents who were monsters of wickedness. There were not many bad mothers in the stories, but bad fathers

were almost universal. There was a narrative poem that moved me deeply about a dving child with a drunken father. Lines such as "Father, dear father, the clock has struck one," and "Father, dear father, come home," still touch me when I remember them. Never in vain in those days did an author invite my pity with a scene in which the wicked parent stood by the death-bed of his virtuous child and saw the error of his ways. Even to-day I am not sure that these things are not truer to the essential facts of life than a great deal of the realistic and psychological fiction of the last halfcentury. At least, they would be truer if extremely wicked fathers with extremely evangelical children were commoner than they are. But alas! in my own experience, I have known more families in which extremely evangelical fathers had extremely wicked children.

Comparatively little of my reading, however, even at an early date, consisted of pious fiction. This was chiefly reserved for Sundays, when Kingston and Ballantyne and Edwin S. Ellis became pagan authors, and "Wops the Waif" or "No Gains Without Pains" suited better with the atmosphere of the day. On weekdays I think I preferred a Red Indian brave even to a burglar's son who in order to escape parental violence had to go to Sunday school in secret, and my ordinary heroes were men who suffered at the hands of Barbary pirates rather than at the hands of their fellow-Christians. I had a moderate taste for blood, and liked my young hero none the worse if he could wield a cutlass to effect among a mob of savages. Children are very exacting in regard to their heroes. They

maroon them on desert islands; they cast them alone on the sea in open boats and let them all but die of thirst before a lucky shower of rain falls and makes a pool of water in the spreadout sail; they subject them to mutiny, to irons, to the bastinado; they lose them in the jungle, with jaguars crouching in wait for them in the branches of trees; they bring them within range of the Red Indian's scalping-knife; they make them a butt for poisoned arrows. In all these things, it may be, they are simply telling the same story as that copy of the "Band of Hope Review "—the story of a youth who stands fire. It is one of the universal stories; it is as old as the story of Ulysses. Ulysses, no doubt, would make a dubious hero for a serial in the "Band of Hope Review"; but, like the boy who said his prayers, he fascinates us in his capacity of "the much-enduring." All great fiction is a moral tale, even though it succeeds in hiding its moral from those who would not like it. I was astonished lately, on reading one or two books by Ballantyne and Kingston, to find how overwhelmingly moral the adventure stories of my own childhood must have been. As a child I could so shut my eyes and ears to sermons and pass on from them to the serious business of the anecdote that I seldom noticed I was being preached at, and, if I did, I would forgive the parson for the sake of the pirate. But, when I read "Martin Rattler" aloud to my niece, as she lay ill, she cried out in distress alike at the stretches of sermonizing and at the stretches of scenery. She is one of those children who not only can endure, but insist upon, being read to for hours at a time when they are ill. But the

pious interruptions of Ballantyne had such an effect on her, and wrung such sighs and moans of protest from her, that I had to go out to a bookshop and look for something less likely to raise an already risen temperature. That was how I came to read "What Katy Did at School."

Having read it, I do not feel that I lost very much by never reading girls' books when I was "What Katy Did at School," is, apparently, a classic of its kind, and is republished year after year in different editions by different publishers, but I honestly believe there is less in it to stir the pulses than in any school-story I ever read. It does not contain a single fight: it does not contain a single football match. The most thrilling scenes in the book occur as the result of one of the schoolgirls writing a letter to a boy in which she says: "If I let down a string, would you tie a cake to it, like that kind which you threw to Mary Andrews last term? Tie two cakes, please; one for me, and one for mv room-mate" and forging Katy's signature to the letter. The letter, of course, is discovered by a schoolmistress, and Katy protests her innocence in vain. It is all the harder on Katy because she is really the best girl in the school and has formed the other good girls (including the mischievous good girl) into the S.S.U.C. (or Society for the Suppression of Unladylike Conduct), with a constitution the first article of which runs: "The object of this Society is twofold: it combines having a good time with the pursuit of VIRTUE." Katv. alas! has far from a good time. She is almost expelled, and is doomed to spend the rest of the

story in heaping coals of fire on the head of the mistress who wronged her and on that of the wretched little glutton, Bella, who had forged the name of Katy Carr. Poor Bella. she had wanted a cake so badly! Her confession of her sin to Katy is disarming. "Berry Searles doesn't care a bit for us little girls," she says to Katy, "only for big ones. And I knew if I said 'Bella,' he'd never give me the cake. So I said 'Miss Carr' instead." Whereupon Katy forgives her, and even I find myself softening to her. In the story of Katy, as in the "Odyssey" and in "He Stands Fire," we see the appeal of the much-enduring. And that may be why thousands of little girls still read "What Katy Did at School." Or, perhaps, they read it only because their elderly relations insist on presenting them with it. At the same time, in spite of an occasional groan, readers of "What Katy Did at School," if they are never entirely interested, are never entirely bored. Besides the "much-enduring" motive, they have the "mischievous angel" motive, and Rosamund Redding, called Rose Red for short by her friends, must reconcile many children who would otherwise find the good example of Katy rather overpowering. Few of us can resist the fascination of mischief combined with virtue. such as Rose Red's. Even so pious an author as Miss Susan Coolidge was obviously attracted by the notion of a girl who was at once as mischievous as the bad girls, and as virtuous as the good ones. It is a mixture rare in life but irresistible when it occurs. Mr. Kipling gave us a mixture of much the same kind in "Stalky and Co "-schoolboys who were honourable law-

breakers, scamps of whom the angels would not disapprove too strongly. What charms us in characters of this kind is that they carry their virtue so easily. We like to see virtue moving through life with as free a gait as vice; and, indeed, it is doubtful whether the bold, bad men of fiction would interest the world as they do if it were not for this air of freedom with which they are invested and for the fact that so many of the virtuous characters seem to be so unbending in their excellence. But there is no use in trying to be mischievously virtuous unless you are born to it. If you do, you will only achieve an offensive vivacity. Rose Red was mischievous virtue natural and predestined. If ever I read "What Katy Did at School" again-which I won't-it will be for her sake.

TE was undoubtedly a man who liked to see other people happy. I am not sure that he ever smiled himself, but he was always organizing amusements for "the young of all ages." Sometimes he let off fireworks in the evening; once he brightened a grey afternoon by letting off daylight fireworks which, as they exploded, released charming little parachutes in the French national colours or tiny airships carrying the French flag. He was himself a Frenchman, big, heavy, and serious. He always wore a beret in the hotel, and, as he sat at a corner table in the dining-room among his friends, it seemed to set him apart like a crown. and, indeed, to ennoble him into a kind of king of the bourgeois. His friends all talked at the same time, and waved their hands at the same time, and were perpetually laughing. But he sat among them, silent, grave, and without a gesture. If the waitress was slow in serving him and his friends, he would give the table three sharp and regal raps, but that was as far as he ever went in the outward display of emotion. But all of us who were staving at the Hotel de la Bouteille at Grandepluieville came to regard him with the tenderest sentiments, and to say to each other such things as that he had a good heart, and that he was fond of children. When a notice was put up in the hall of the hotel announcing that a bal costume would take place

on Saturday, and that everybody who wanted coloured paper for fancy dresses could obtain a supply of it for nothing at the bureau, we all knew at once that it was only the good heart of M. Tel that could have planned such a thing. Every child in the hotel that was able to walk without help was immediately in a dance of excitement, pleading to be allowed to stay up late for so great an occasion. It is usually easy for a child to persuade a French or an Irish parent to allow it to sit up till midnight, but English parents, misled by a jingle about the virtues of earliness in all things, are less amenable to reason. Still, as a result of coaxing, threats, and the absurd hold that the young have on the affections of their elders, every child in the end obtained the desired permission. Even parents who could not themselves be present allowed their families to sit up in the care of responsible persons, so that I, for example, found myself cast for the part of father to no fewer than three families.

We were a rather excited collection as we crowded into the dining-room for dinner on Saturday evening. We three families had a large round table to ourselves—Charles dressed as a pirate with a skull and crossbones on his black paper cap and a long wooden sword at his side, Ronald disguised as a rabbit with a furry headpiece that could be pushed back when he wanted to cat, Peter dressed as some kind of nobleman in a yellow paper hat and a purple paper gown, and all the girls rustling in coloured paper dresses and looking like the most luxurious kind of Christmas crackers. As the different guests came in, dressed as gipsies, Italian village

girls, married peasants with imitation babies, and looking like the company in a music-hall revue, the other guests clapped them loudly, and, when the applause was subsiding, a Frenchman would cry out: "Un-deux-trois," and we would pay them a tribute in the manner of Kentish Then a huge man came in wearing the white cap and costume of a chef. He wore a mask over the top half of his face—a mask rather like the top half of the face of Mr. Chesterton—and carried a large basket over his The forks and spoons tinkled on the tables under the vehement reception we gave him, for everybody knew that this was M. Tel of the good heart. Then "un-dcux-trois," and we cheered him uproariously again. went slowly round the tables with his basket, leaving on each handfuls of little coloured balls for throwing at people and rolls of coloured paper for hurling in streamers across the room. The children wished to begin throwing things at once, but in response to an earnest appeal. they consented to wait. Charles, meanwhile, was stretching across the table and trying to put the point of his sword into my eye in order to attract my attention, and obtain my ruling on the question whether it would be all right to begin throwing things after the soup was finished. I could see that a mutiny brewing, for even the girls were growing restive and their hands were playing nervously with the little coloured balls that lay so temptingly at the sides of their plates. But I still counselled patience, and, when Hélène brought the soup, I felt that now peace was secure for at least three minutes. Unfortunately, a guest at

some other table took advantage of our preoccupation with the soup to hurl a streamer among us. This was more than Peter, who was sitting opposite me, could stand. He rose in his place, and, with the force of David aiming at Goliath, flung a blue ball which struck me under the eve and fell with a gentle splash into my soup. I must say Peter was quite nice about it. "Sorry," he said; "I was trying to hit that bald man at the far end of the room." Then Charles, not to be outdone, rose in his place, and with equal fury threw a pink ball which hit me just over the eye and also fell into my soupplate, where it floated prettily beside the other "Sorry," he said; "I was aiming at the red-haired girl sitting beside the window." this time the battle was joined all over the room, and missiles were falling through the air like a storm of hail. It was impossible to eat a spoonful of soup without getting a crack on the ear or on the back of the neck. I do not know whether Charles and Peter hit me again, because I was hit so often and from so many sides that I lost all power of spotting the aggressor. Streamers were by now festooning the room. They were hanging in scores from every gasbracket within an inch of the uncovered flames till strong men anxiously cried out that the place would be set on fire, and that, as the walls were of matchboard and many of the dresses of paper, none of us would be able to escape. kept my eye on a possible exit through an open window, and tried to remind myself: "Women and children first." All the same I fancy I should have made an effort to get through the window before Peter and Charles. The

streamers were now lying in a thick tangle across our and everybody else's table. They were round our necks. They were trailing in the soup. They were trailing in the butter. In order to get a spoonful of soup to your lips you had to pass it deftly through hole after hole in the many-coloured net, and then you were lucky if you did not find yourself swallowing a repulsive blue ball. Then Hélène came to clear away the soup-plates, and, as she took them up, the soup-soaked streamers slid off them and left soupy and buttery trails on one's trousers and sleeves and shoulders. My dinnerjacket is not a very expensive one. Still, if I had foreseen that streamers would be thrown during the soup, I think I should have come to dinner in a bathing-costume. The children in the meantime had retrieved most of the balls that had fallen into the soup and were storing them up on the table as reserves of ammunition. I insisted that they must at least be wiped dry before being thrown, but, after somebody had hit me with a wet ball on the right cheek. I ceased to care, and even tried to incite a small girl of another man's family to dip her roll in her glass of Evian and throw it at a clergyman.

By the time the chicken was served there was no unused ammunition left. A girl from a neighbouring table crept up behind Ronald (who, you may remember, was disguised as a rabbit) with a lettuce-leaf that was squelchy with oil and vinegar and crushed it through the open rabbit's mouth on the top of his head. "Bunny must be hungry," she said gently; bunny must have some dinner." And she thrust the unseemly vegetable still further

169

among his hair. Many of the children, in the meanwhile, were on all fours under the tables collecting the balls that had fallen and renewing the battle as soon as they had enough of them. M. Tel sat phlegmatically at his table in his chef's costume, occasionally aiming a pellet at the back of a lady's head and then looking away suddenly with an air at once of innocence and of sadness. If a child approached him to throw things at him, he did not smile but opened his mouth gravely so that the child might try to hurl a ball into it. He sometimes took a stroll round the room, lowering his head and opening his mouth as he passed a child and never smiling. I have no doubt he was in the seventh heaven of happiness. And so were Charles and Peter. Charles had by now lost his pirate's cap and his sword was on the floor, but he was running about the room with sweat-soaked hair and taking cover behind chairs as he pelted the auburnhaired lady and dodged her return missiles. Peter had also sought out an enemy of his own and was carrying on a private war at the far end of the room. As for Ronald, he was content to hop up and down with hands pendent and sav that he was a rabbit. I asked Hélène to bring me a liqueur brandy. . . .

In time the dinner came to an end and, with it, the battle. The tables were cleared away, and the chairs arranged in rows, for some of the French guests were about to give us a revue—La Revue de Grandepluiveille. I intended to write a description of this entertainment for women and children, but it is impossible. The first scene I did not understand, but I laughed when I heard other people laughing, as one

usually does at a foreign play. I could see that the plot had something to do with visitors arriving at the Hotel de la Bouteille and asking for accommodation. One of the actresses was even made up as a travesty of the landlady of the hotel. The scene over, somebody called for "un-deux-trois," and we gave them with a will. The next scene appeared to represent two guests in pyjamas wandering about the corridor of the hotel at night with candles in their hands and fighting as to which of them should enter a room first. The word "cabinet" recurred again and again, and at last one of the men took down a time-table from the door of the room, and told the other that no one was allowed to go in for longer than three minutes, and that each guest was allowed to enter only during the three minutes specially reserved for him. He then read out a long list of names: " From midnight till three minutes M. Blanc; from three minutes past to six minutes past, Mme. Blanc; from six minutes past till nine minutes past, Mlle. Blanc," amid shrieks of laughter, giving, I fancy, real names, and adding a few touches meant to appeal to the English comic sense, such as: "From four o'clock to three minutes past, Roojarde Keepling; from three minutes past to six minutes The other guest past, Shairrluck Ums." pointed out that on the previous day one man had occupied the room for half an hour. "Ah, yes," replied the other, " he is a poet and must have somewhere to write verses." Another person who had occupied the room for an unduly long time was also excused on the ground that he wanted a place "pour manger les petites

galettes." Meanwhile, as each name was read out from the list, the excluded guest would ejaculate: "Oh, ce cochon!" or some equally opprobrious remark. Finally the man with the list sang a song; it was all about "cabinets"—the "cabinets" at the Hotel de la Bouteille—and the scene ended with a dance of the two pyjama-clad men with candles held high, both of them singing a chorus about "cabinets." I fancy the song sung by a lady in blue glasses in the next scene had the same subject, and I suspect the parts I could not understand in the poem recited by the little man with streaks of oiled hair crossing his bald patch—a poem beginning:

Mangeurs et buveurs sans vergogne.

After that a Frenchman with a Marcel wave in his red hair came out and sang a song in English. It began to the tune of "Tipperary":

Eet's a lung vay to Grandepluieville,
Ze sweetest spot I know.

Tank you for leaving Eastbourne and Bornmut,
And coming uvver here;
If you vant ze French girls to lak you,
Joost dreenk two bawttles of champagne.

Eet's a lung vay to ze peace of Europe,
Bot ve're still friends, aren't ve?

When it was all over and the children sent to bed, and I went into the hall to look for Hélène and order a restorative, I ran into the bald little man with the streaks of hair across his skull. I shook hands with him, thanked him for his entertainment and asked him who had written the revue. "C'est moi," he said,

bowing in modest pride. I shook hands with him again in warm congratulation. "Très bon," I said to him, enthusiastically, "très"—and I hesitated for the right word—"spirituel." He bowed low, his face wreathed in happy smiles, and passed back into the dining-room where the first foxtrot was now in full swing.

THERE have been men who hated their fellow men, but there have been few human beings even of this sort who did not in some degree like animals. The animal story is a favourite among primitive peoples, and, as children, we are universally attracted by stories in which animals are among the The history of our race begins characters. almost with the appearance of an animal who exercised such a fascination over our first parents that the world has never been quite same since. There was Noah floating Zoo at the second great crisis in human history. And, all through the long narrative, the animal is a continual intervener—the lion that Samson slew, the bears that punished the children who observed (as well-behaved children wouldn't have done) that Elisha was bald, the ass of Balaam—and the very shapes of animals cast a spell over certain passages in literature, sacred and profane, as when we read about the worship of the Golden Calf or about the wooden horse that bore stealthy ruin into Troy. In the nursery we loved even animals that we had never seen—or loved to read about them—the lion and the unicorn, the fox that eyed the grapes, the dragon, and the wolf that dressed himself up as Red Riding Hood's grandmother. Robert Bruce and Little Miss Muffitt lived in our minds almost entirely because

of their association with spiders. Even Dr. Watts was memorable because he wrote not only of doing good but of dogs and of bees. There are people who talk as though the feeling for animals were a disease of the modern imagination, and as though in the more heroic records of mankind no one ever took any interest in a bird or a beast except with a view to killing it. The chief difference between modern man and his ancestors in the feeling for animals, it seems to me, is that those of us who live in towns nowadays are not living in a populous world of animals as our great-grandfathers did: they were interested in animals because they saw them every day, while we are all the more interested in animals because they have so decreased in comparison with ourselves that we have to make an effort and deliberately go out to see them. We are also. and for much the same reasons of scarcity, sorrier for animals than our ancestors were. and we therefore attribute rights to them and are more disturbed if they are cruelly treated. But the interest of the human imagination in animals was just as great in the days when men wrote such stories as that of Jonah and the whale as it is in the age of "The Bad Child's Book of Beasts" and "The Jungle Books."

Hence, when a child is taken to the Zoo, or a cattle show, or a horse-race, it probably feels much the same kind of excitement that was felt during his childhood at the spectacle of living creatures by the author of the "Book of Genesis." And at a cattle show or at a horse-race we are all children. All, perhaps,

except a few experts. There are experts who do not understand why ordinary human beings should care for horses, cows, sheep and pigs. They see an animal as a congregation of points -good and bad-and their chief pleasure lies in judging it and in putting it in their minds against other animals for appraisement. Most of us, however, when we see an animal, admire it without any desire for settling its place in the hierarchy of its rivals. If we admire a Shire stallion or a Shorthorn bull, we are as uncritically excited by it as by the sight of a mole or a hedgehog. Who, on seeing a mole tearing at the earth in order to escape from our presence, would dream of comparing it for width of shoulders or length of snout with other moles? It is for us simply a mole and indistinguishable from any other mole. In the same way, if we see a parade of Shire stallions at a show, we do not trouble ourselves about those points that enable judges to place one before another in order of merit. If we prefer one to another, it will more probably be because of its colour or a white star on its forehead than for any reason that would weigh with the censor. Hence an ignorant man may be as happy at a horse show or cattle show in his own fashion as a farmer.

We who are ignorant, it seems to me, would do well to attend these shows assiduously just now, for if we live to be old men and women, we shall be able to tell the children of a wonderless age about the wonders of a world that has vanished. Those who were fortunate enough to be present at the Royal Show at Leicester, I am sure, were spectators of a very beautiful

England that is quickly passing. Every year the display of machines at agricultural shows becomes proportionately greater, and, just as the roads are being more and more given up to machines, so are the shows and the fields. The horse is on his last legs, except as an aid to amusement, and, when Mr. Henry Ford has achieved his dream of taking the burden of drudgery off flesh and blood, and transferring it to machines, that poor drudge, the horse, is unlikely to be kept save as a pet. I should myself. I confess, like to see Shires and Clydesdales used in a new sort of polo. They would be slower than polo-ponies, but how magnificently they would gallop through the game! These huge and hairy-footed beasts have a martial air as they canter loose about a field that would look positively noble if good riders were on their backs. Or we might have special races for them at race-meetings. A Derby of Suffolk Punches would be sufficiently exciting, and there is no reason why we should confine contests of speed to the swiftest breeds of It is the contest that is exciting in these matters, as is manifest from the fact that, even in an age of aeroplanes, we are still carried out of ourselves by the spectacle of a neckand-neck race between Massine and Filibert de Savoie for the Gold Cup at Ascot. Why, if speed were everything, we could have a man on a motor-bicycle who would beat both of them.

It has certainly been a melancholy thought, ever since the first invention of the motor-car, that the world was bound to become less and less like the circus we always loved, and more

and more like the factory we always hated. but we have only to look at the streets of London to realize that our gloomiest anticipations have already come true. We have sacrificed that honest drudge, the horse, and what have we got for it but a block of motorbuses in the Strand? Already many people are putting the blame for the congestion of traffic on the few horses that remain, and are declaring that no horses should be allowed in the streets of London. This, no doubt, will come in time, but, in fairness to the horses, I should like to see another law made that no motor-cars should be allowed in the country. If townsmen have such an itch for movement by machinery, let them confine their vice to the cities and leave us the country that we knew when we were children and Loftv was a foal. Zoologists have recently been writing to "The Times" about the need for the preservation of gorillas in Africa. How much more urgent is the need for preserving horses in England! In another generation, if you wish to see a horse in London, you will have to go to a race-meeting or the Zoological Gardens. If only the recipe for machines could be lost, like the recipe for Robots, how quickly the roads of London would become beautiful again! But soon there will no animals left in cities, except cats and sparrows and houseflies. It now seems almost certain that the housefly will survive the horse. What a comment on what a world!

But an agricultural show such as that at Leicester is interesting to most of us, even apart from the fact that it is an exhibition of

vanishing animals. However accustomed we are to the sight of bulls and horses and pigs and sheep, the bulls and horses and pigs and sheep that we see at a great show are as different from them as are the peas that we see in a seedsman's catalogue from the peas in our own Every one who has ever attempted to grow vegetables knows how even the most expensive seeds refuse to produce those prodigies of the photographs in the catalogues. He cannot grow those nonpareil peas himself, nor does the greengrocer ever send them to him. Yet somehow, somewhen, somewhere, someone has grown then, or they would not be in the photographs; and if he goes to a horticultural show, there they are, larger than life, carrying off the prizes. At the Royal Show, the horses and pigs are larger than life; the bulls and sheep are larger than life. There is something even alarming in proximity to such monsters, and I confess when a large Black boar, great as an elephant, with tusks and frothing chaps, came grunting towards me over the grass, my instinct was for flight. Instinct is a good guide. I fled.

Not that I dislike pigs; I always had a peculiar affection for them. How often as a child I have hung over a piggery door gazing with satisfaction at a foul and sleeping beauty at peace in the straw! Especially delightful to the eye is a young family of pigs. They are among the most taking of Nature's decorations. They are so comical in their little sudden frisks, in their little curly tails, in their squeaky, quarrelsome voices. "This little pig went to market . . ."—only a race that loved

pigs could have invented the nursery patter. I prefer to think that it was into little pigs of this kind that Circe turned the companions of Ulysses. If it was into the Large Black boars of a rural show, the story becomes hideous. For a Large Black boar is a mass of all the uglinesses that might well have made St. George himself quake with fear, and St. George was a brave man. The Large Black boar is an exaggeration of evil, a knock-kneed embodiment of sloth and gluttony. He is a beastly Falstaff without a smile. I never believed the evil that men speak of pigs till I saw the boars at Leicester. If the other animals were also exaggerations, and were monstrosities rather than creatures one would expect to meet on a farm, they were at least splendid in excess—bulls as prodigious as thunderstorms, rams in quest of which Jason might have voyaged to Colchis. They did seem quite real, but they were legends for the memory. They bore the same relation to the animals of common life that heroic literature bears to the life you see in the Strand. The Zoo itself does not contain creatures more superb in captivity than the red bulls with terrible necks that marched slowly in procession round the judges at Leicester. As company, I prefer the calf, the lamb and the foal, but the bull in the show ring must be given the prize for the gorgeousness of power.

CHILDREN are a notably ambitious race but it is never easy to know, till he tells you, the ruling passion that glows in any particular child's breast. I heard a small boy of three being examined the other day by his inquisitive elders on his views with regard to his future. He was first asked whether he would like to remain at the age of three for ever or whether he would rather be grown up. He said: "Be grown up." He was then asked, after the fashion of those who have lost their childhood, why he wished to be grown up, and what he would do when he was grown up that he could not do already. He replied, almost without needing to pause: "Have a knife." His elders pressed him with the question, what he would do with the knife. "Cut things," he told them, smiling as if struck by a sudden thought: "cut butter."

Those of us who already possess knives and use them as a matter of course at our meals can hardly understand the longing of an infant to be given the freedom of so perilous an instrument. Man has been defined as a tool-using animal, and there is no other tool that appeals to the imagination of children so strongly as a knife. It is through long months and years a forbidden thing, and all the more fascinating on that account. There is no glory in using a spoon, even though there should be an apostle

on the end of the handle. There is no honour in holding a fork in the right hand and in taking up on it little squares of meat that have been cut with a knife by some more privileged hand. Fork and spoon are little more than an extension of the fingers, and a spoon, at least, is so safe that it can be left in the hands of an infant in the cradle. But a knife is a danger against which constant warning is necessary—something out of reach and waiting as a prize at the end of a long avenue of years.

It is characteristic of the emptiness of human dreams that, though every child longs to have a knife, he does not really desire it for any particular purpose. The boy who said that he wanted a knife in order to cut butter was. I am sure, guilty of an evasion. He had to justify his longing for a knife with some reason or other, and it may be that he thought that if his parents could be persuaded he wished only to cut butter with it, there was more chance of their letting him have one early. child cares a bad farthing about cutting butter. He longs to use a knife with a sharp edge-the sort of knife that could cut bread and meat, and sharpen pencils, and even cut sticks out of a hedge—the sort of knife that, if it slips, draws blood—the sort of knife that has something of the dangerousness Hence his boundless pride in of a weapon. being given his first pen-knife. It is difficult for those of us who are no longer children to believe that happiness can be substantially increased by the possession of a pen-knife. If we were asked to name the things that make life most worth living, none of us would think of putting

a pen-knife even at the foot of the longest catalogue. A pen-knife, at the best, is a convenience. If it is blunt, it is a nuisance. we never dream of regarding it either as an exciting treasure or as a badge of manhood. Now that we are able to sharpen our own pencils, we feel no happier than we felt before. have the power to cut sticks out of hedges and to carve our names in the bark of trees, but it no longer gives us any pleasure to do so. Yet time was when the human being we envied most was the boy who on his birthday had been given a bigger pen-knife than any of the other boys possessed. Do they still make such monstrous knives nowadays—knives that contain not only large and small blades, but a pair of scissors and a corkscrew and a hook for picking stones out of the feet of horses? With the disappearance of the horse, the instrument for removing stones from horses' feet will probably also disappear, and there may even be a movement among optimists to prevent so sinister an instrument as a corkscrew from being placed in the hands of little children. chief glory of the knife—its sharp, shining blades -will still remain, inciting children to live dangerously, and providing them with a weapon as efficient as the claws of a tiger or the teeth of a lion.

We regard children with condescending amusement, because so little a thing as a knife makes them so happy. But I wonder whether, if it were not for the necessity of making a living, nine-tenths of those of us who are middleaged would be doing many more useful things than cutting our names on the bark of trees.

Every day, we see or read about people who can afford to amuse themselves without working, and their amusements are as a rule just as childish as those of a small boy with a knife If I imagine myself a millionaire, in a garden. I usually imagine myself doing nothing, or at least, doing nothing that would be of any use to anybody. Sometimes my dream of great riches becomes touched with morality, and I have thoughts of putting a part of my million to noble uses. But I am afraid that, if I possessed it, the first thing I should do would be to cease working and to go and live in Italy, where I should idle away month after month amid a cloud of good intentions for the future. I doubt if I should do anything much more useful than cutting butter. I should like to be rich, but I scarcely know why I wish to be rich, except in order to escape being poor. The pleasures of the rich are, in practice, extraordinarily limited. They can wear more and better clothes. They can eat more and better food, and drink more and better wine. can afford to play baccarat instead of boule at the casino. They can travel first-class and stay at the best hotels. They can parade the lawns in the Royal Enclosure at Ascot and in the Royal Yacht Squadron at Cowes. They can ride and sail and kill birds. They can sit in a box at the Moralists tell us, however, that all these things do not bring happiness, and that indeed, they are no more to be taken seriously than the small boy's pride in his pen-knife. It is questionable whether the pleasure of having a knife is not really superior to all the pleasures that afterwards take its place. If

only we could go on enjoying it in later life. we could dispense with most of these other pleasures. What greater satisfaction of one's vanity is there to be had, for instance, than from carving one's name on a tree? you make your mark on the world. You leave a lasting record of your initials. is pleasure, too, in eating through the bark and in exposing the glistening wood of the tree. If we could go on being happy doing this sort of thing, there would be no need of drinking or playing bridge. Nor should we need to go out to the Amusements Park at Wembley and pay huge machines shillings and sixpences for hurling and tossing us about like flotsam on a rough The grown-up world, it seems to me, has learned how to work, but it has not learned how to amuse itself. If it had, all the most melancholy literature would not have been written by men who had amused themselves with the completest indifference to the consequences.

I am not enough of a pessimist to believe that a world which contains friendship, books, music, churches, seas like peacocks, Sussex, gardens, willow-wrens, rivers, children, and dinner-tables surrounded by wits, is all dust and ashes. But the Amusements Park at Wembley does make one wonder whether children are not, after all. a little wiser in their pleasures than their elders, I confess I am prejudiced against Wembley because I got into one of the amusement contrivances by mistake. I thought, when I paid my sixpence at the gate of Over the Falls, that I was going to sit in a boat and glide over a gentle slope and visit illuminated caves. I was all the more surprised, on entering, to find myself

185

13

in a dark passage, walking along a rickety floor that seemed to imitate an earthquake under my feet and to be meant to shake me into a state of terror. At the end of the passage an attendant thrust me into a lift and told me to sit down. "Now for the boat," I said to myself, expecting to be lowered gently to the edge of the water. What was my horror, however, to find the far side of the lift suddenly disappearing, the seat collapsing under me, myself flung on my back on the floor, and precipitated at an immense speed down a steep place, bumped, banged and breathless, whither I knew not and was too startled to care. There was nothing to do but to lie on one's back with one's boots in the air and pray till it was over. I was too much surprised even to feel afraid. I imagine death must be something like this—the released spirit borne whizzing along a passage with a stream of light at the end, only half-conscious of its position, too terribly amazed to be able to wonder either what has happened or what is happening. My faculties were too badly scattered to notice so much as that there was a crowd of sightseers at the end of that rumbling Niagara of wood that bore me along. The sight of me may have interested them. The sight of them did not, at that desperate moment, interest me. worst of it was that, thinking only of the illuminated caves, I had persuaded two ladies, to say nothing of two men of delicate sensibilities, accompany me into the extraordinary It was an amusement to which I machine. would not have introduced my dearest enemy. A soldier of the Great War told me that he could not remember having had quite such

experience even in the trenches. Yet we who laugh at small boys for wanting pen-knives with gimlets or corkscrews, actually pay money in order to be tossed about on these wooden tides of torture.

Life, it seems to me, is worth living, but only if we avoid the amusements of grown-up people. Few of us, unfortunately, have the courage to refrain. We stand outside the Caterpillar or the Whip, and someone says: "Be a man!" and in a moment we are in our places and are being thrown about like pieces of a star not yet formed but whirling through chaos. It is a challenge to the semi-lunatie in each of us. Alas! the child with the pen-knife is a philosopher in comparison. He is learning to be a man. We, for our part, are not even learning to be children. We are simply killing time by methods that look like a harebrained! attempt to kill ourselves.

HE shopkeepers are carrying on A agitation against the motor-bus—or, at least, against the excess of motor-buses-in Bond Street. They feel that the street is being ruined—that it is no longer a paradise of shoppers, but that it is rapidly becoming as noisy and as common as Oxford Street. pers, they think, are being frightened away by these great penny vulgarians, which get in the way of motor-cars and make it impossible to cross the street except with the aid of a police-They dream of a Bond Street that will be a worthy resort of wealth and leisure—a Bond Street in which it will be easy to forget that anybody was ever poor or economical, and that, life being what it is, the demand for coffins is still more pressing than the demand for pearls. Their Bond Street would be a charming way of the rich, as remote as Bath from the rumour of the general struggle for cheap joints and cheap beer. It would tell the world of a settled civilization, in which no price was too high to pay when luxury was at stake. Here, and in the byways leading from it, the whole world would expose its costliest merchandise, and jewels and clothes and pictures would be even more beautiful than the money or the bright eyes of those who purchased them.

I cannot with a good conscience blame the Bond Street shopkeepers or join with those who

denounce them for impudence, greed, and snobbery. I, too, once dreamed of a perfect Bond Street. Not that I wished—or, at least, hoped—ever to shop in it, but that I liked to think of its being there. That was before I had ever visited London, when the names of London streets—the Strand, Bow Street, Threadneedle Street, Piccadilly, Baker Street, Finchley Road and Bond Street-were known to me only from books and newspapers. But the names of the streets gave me pleasure as names like Ispahan and Trebizond give pleasure to romantic people. and I thought of them as the map of a thousand marvels. If I admired the West End London in anticipation, it was, I fancy, not because I believed it to be full of rich people but because I believed it to be full of Greekgodlike people who were rich enough to afford a proper setting for their Greek-godhead. That, I am sure, is the explanation of the customary spell that dukes and other aristocrats in novelettes cast on simple minds. It is not that the simple minds are worshippers of rank and wealth, but that they have a generous hope that somewhere on earth there exists a race of human beings of extraordinary beauty, noble in the very carriage of their bodies, with characters to match, fearless, imperturbable, incapable of dishonour even if capable of evil, and with every physical circumstance favouring I did not expect to find a constant stream of gods and goddesses coming out of the shops in Bond Street, perhaps, but I had some hopes of a superabundance of loveliness. think I expected to see men and women walking up and down Bond Street at least like handsome

actors and actresses, and looking as if they had been trained by Sir Frank Benson. No doubt, there would be ugly and comic characters, but the dominant figures in the scene would be men and women—especially women—who might conceivably have stepped out of the leading

parts in a novel or a play.

There is great pleasure in admiring other people, and, if you admire them, you would like them to live in unintermitted sunshine. whether in a garden or on a sea-front or in a street full of shining carriage wheels. This, also, I think, is comparable to the passion of the theatre. You demand consummateness not only in the actors but in the scenery. Hence, in the perfect Bond Street it was always May and June -the May and June not of the weather reports, but of fiction. Life as it was carried on there never ceased to reflect the brightest of the stars. Pain and poverty were exiled. The human beings, though noble enough to have preserved impavid countenances had the heavens fallen on them, were, in plain fact, untouched and unthreatened by disaster, and, if they confronted danger, it was only for love of it. You may think that it was a materialistic dream, but then, if I had been a mystic. I should not have idealized Bond Street. There were, concurrently with this, cold-bath periods when hardship and self-denial seemed better than happiness, but, on the whole, I liked the thought of money, extravagance, and the life of those who toil not, neither do they spin, and are charming in their idleness more than I liked the life I was living myself. I am not sure that I ever wished to own a

carriage and pair, my ambition not rising above jaunting cars, polo-carts, gigs, croydons, or, at most, a phaeton and a pony, but I liked the spectacle of men and women being borne along behind two noble horses, with a coachman and a groom doing their best to look nobler than the horses. What more golden throne has there ever been for human beings than a carriage and pair?

And now that is all past. Or, if such a carriage survives here and there, it seems but a faded relic and an anachronism. The wheels do not glitter as they used to glitter. The whole affair looks slow and incompetent, and we wonder that we could ever have thought

it so fine.

But it may be that the illusion of Bond Street had vanished even before the appearance of ten thousand motor-cars. It did not, I suspect, survive the first visit to the enchanted pavements. There is no use in idealizing human beings in advance. They are not a godlike race. Even in seasons in which they dress as the sons and daughters of God ought to dress—and this occurs but seldom—they are in the mass a disappointment to the romantic spirit. The rich have their own peculiar charm, but Apollo and Venus have never been common figures in any street in any city. I doubt if the faces in Bond Street are any more beautiful than the faces in Camden Town, and great possessions do not ensure even a graceful figure. There is most prettiness, perhaps, where there is most wealth, but, if there is, it is for the most part the result of artifice. Extreme beauty or even extreme prettiness is as rare almost as genius, and you

might spend a week in Bond Street without being startled into admiration of either of them. It would not be fair to blame Bond Street for this. A street full of wonderful human beings has no more place in reality than has an eighteenth-century pastoral. Indeed, it is open to doubt whether even one absolutely beautiful person has ever existed except in the imagination of someone who has abjured experience for fairy-land.

We can recover our early illusions about human beings to some extent in the theatre: at least, we could until the footlights began to be done away with. It is easy to believe that an actress is more beautiful than she really is. Or, anyhow, it was a few years ago. The limelight pleads for her. Every circumstance except her photograph pleads for her. We go into the theatre in a deliberate spirit of makebelieve and give our imaginations a license that we do not allow them in the street. This is certainly true of some of us. There are others, happier still, who can visit any famous place in the same mood in which we go to a theatre. They see what they expect to see. They are never disappointed because all the world's a stage to them, and they carry about with them wherever they go the fantastic light that makes all things beautiful. But this power of self-deception is not universal. There are people who see geese as swans, but even with them it is usually only a few geese of their own, not the whole tribe of geese. Most men at an early age lose faith in the very existence of a general Arcadia of either rural or urban beauty. So desperately do some of them

recant that they will even contend that Mr. Bateman is the supreme realist of our time in his drawings of men and women. Unless I am mistaken, Mr. Lucas once declared that Mr. George Morrow was the most truthful living delineator of the modern Londoner. If this is so, it is clear that youthful idealists who expect to find figures worthy of the cadences of blank verse flitting through the sunlight of Bond Street are doomed to disappointment.

I cannot then feel so strongly as I once might have done about the throng and the tumult of motor-buses in Bond Street. If I like Bond Street now it is because it is the street in which you can buy pianola-rolls and gramophone records, and because there is a shop in it which sells the perfection of cream cheeses. There is also a money-lender in it who frequently attempts to thrust large sums of money on me and who will not accept the snub of my silence. But, apart from these things, I doubt if it is either a very interesting or a very beautiful street. I don't think that it matters to anybody except the shopkeepers whether motor-buses are allowed in it or not. I should, of course, like—at least, part of me would like-to see motor-buses banished from all the streets of London, and horses coming back, and the hand of progress stayed. But I find motor-buses more of a nuisance in the Strand and in Fleet Street than in Bond Street, and I see no reason why Bond Street should be favoured above these. Is a Bond Street money-lender better than any other moneylender, or is afternoon tea in Bond Street

better than afternoon tea in Glasgow? I wish the world contained even one street in which everything in the shops was better than could be found in any other street, and in which the spectacle of the stir of life came up to the dreams of the writers of novelettes. But, if such a street exists, I do not think that it is called Bond Street.

O you need bed-knobs, seissors . . . I forget how the rest of the notice ran -I saw it only for an instant above a shop from the top of a bus in Camden Town. Scissors, of course, everybody needs, but has Camden Town really such an appetite for bedknobs as would justify this order of precedence in an ironmonger's advertisement? down a hook to the bottom of my memory, but I cannot recall a single occasion on which I needed a bed-knob so desperately as to go out and buy one. And yet the question, "Do you need bed-knobs?" seems curiously inviting. Perhaps the children of the Camden Town streets find it irresistible, for children have always been fascinated by bed-knobs. possible that some of them, more imaginative than the rest, having sixpence to spend, will lay it out on a bright gold bed-knob even in preference to brandy balls or liquorice laces or whatever the young eat nowadays. teenth century has many sins to answer for, but children should always hold it in grateful remembrance as the century which filled the world with iron bedsteads, decorated at the four corners with brass knobs. There is on general grounds, I agree, little to be said for iron bedsteads, but is the modern return to wood in the bedroom, pleasant though it is to the eye, quite fair to the rising generation?

There is an extraordinary satisfaction to be got under the age of ten from unscrewing a knob from the foot of the bed and screwing it on again. It is a satisfaction that lasts many years after the child has ceased to take any interest in seeing whether it can get its toe into The game of twisting back the leg till the toe reaches the mouth is a good game in its season, especially if played against a rival, but its joys are manifestly fleeting and of brief date. Bed-knobs remain, when one has put almost forgotten such childish pleasures, as something for which it is worth waking up in the morning. Even before one got up and dressed it was a temptation to slide down to the foot of the bed and to begin screwing the knobs off. (Surely, by the way, there should be some worthier name for those globes of gold that, like Matthew, Mark, Luke and John, guarded the four corners of one's infant slumbers.) One's bed might have either round knobs or tall, grooved, quasi-conical knobs. The grooved knob was more interesting in itself and in the oddity of its shape, but the round knob was on the whole to be preferred, for it was not only a knob but a mirror. You could look at yourself in it as in a mirror, as you can look at yourself in a spoon or a silver teapot. Bed-knobs, spoons and teapots. seems to me, are the most desirable of all kinds of mirror for the young, because they encourage curiosity rather than vanity. You are not tempted to admire yourself in the back of a spoon. That lean face narrowing at one end to a still leaner and cretinous brow, that bulbous projection of nose, that body far too

tiny for so large-nosed and elongated a countenance—these are no flatterers. Bring your face nearer the spoon, and your nose swells beyond Disraeli's - nay, beyond Cyrano's. Luckily for your peace of mind, when you are a child it never enters your head to say to yourself: "Suppose that is what I really look like. Suppose it is the back of the spoon, and not the mirror, that tells me the truth. Suppose that is how the angels see me." The experience of the eye, no doubt, confirms the report of the mirror against that of the spoon. But who knows for certain that the eye is not a deceiver, leading us to folly and destruction? Had Narcissus but looked at himself in the back of a spoon instead of in the pool, he might have been a wiser and a sadder man. And I am not sure that, in real life, the back of the spoon does not reflect Narcissus more accurately than the beguiling water...

Even if you look at yourself in the inside of a spoon, you will see nothing that is likely to make you faint with admiration of so nonpareil a beauty. Rather you will be filled with the delicious amazement that comes of seeing your image suspended upside down. The world is full of a number of things, but few of them have given pleasure to a greater multitude of human beings than this. It projects you into a world that laughs at reality like the world you read about in "Jack and the Beanstalk." Men of science may have a reasonable explanation of the inverted image in the hollow of a spoon. I neither know, nor admit it. I am content to look on the matter as a mystery of nature requiring no explanation, like the shape of a

flatfish. Turn the spoon upside down, turn it sideways, and still the image hangs head downwards. Let us not try to rationalize miracles. If we understood all that we see in the hollow of a spoon, we should understand everything, and the human mind is not meant for that. Teapots are, it may be, less mysterious than spoons, but they have the advantage that they introduce us to a larger and more varied spectacle. Man, looking into a spoon, is an egotist—a disappointed egotist, no doubt, but self-regarding and self-scrutinizing The world is hidden from him by his own image, and even the room in which he sits scarcely exists for him as he gazes on his evebrows, his nose and his mouth, and turns them this wav and that for a more curious view. In the round silver teapot, however, the walls of the room become real to him, hung with even tinier and more charming pictures than any that were painted for the Queen's Dolls' House. He sees, too, the images of other people, and cups, and chairs, and the toast-rack, and books, and the bowl of flowers. He sees the firelight dancing and lighting up a room prettier than the crookedest room in the little crooked house of the nursery rhyme. true that, by putting his face near the teapot, he is again brought up against the vision of the extravagant nose that he had seen in the spoon. and that the smallest child in the world can make its hand seem the largest thing in existence by advancing it towards the silver bulge of the pot. These, however, are mere moments of egotism in an interest that embraces every minutest detail among the things reflected.

In the teapot the ego can escape from its prison and take a light-hearted and objective view of things. That, I fancy, is the second secret of

happiness.

It is one of the distinctions of bed-knobs that in their manner of reflecting the outside world they effect a reasonable compromise between the egotism of the back of the spoon and the altruism of the teapot. I speak from memory on this matter—I have searched the house in which I live for a bed-knob in order to put it to the test, and have searched, alas, in vain—but I am almost certain that in a perfect bed-knob one can see one's own face and at the same time get a sufficient glimpse of the world in general. A tiny wash-hand-stand and a tiny towel-rail, a tiny coal-scuttle and a tinier kitten, are visible in the distance in that brilliant sphere. can look into it and see the nurse getting smaller as she draws near a diminutive cupboard and takes out of it a tea-canister so small that it would be lost in the hollow of a fairv's thimble. It was in the gleaming round of a bed-knob, I fancy, that Mr. de la Mare first saw the Midget.

And yet I doubt if our love of bed-knobs had at first much to do with the mysterious life that goes on in the heart of the little brass planet from the first raising of the blind. We loved bed-knobs chiefly because we could unscrew them. We made the attempt with feeble fingers when it was still a Herculean task. And then one day the knob began to move, slowly, and turn by turn, till suddenly it was free in the hand, leaving the screw discrowned and naked. Strange to say, the

screw, lacking though it was either in the beauties that charm the eye or in those that warm the heart, never disappointed us. For all its uncomeliness, it had at least one unending excellence: it was something on to which you could screw a bed-knob. It is true that you did not always screw on the bed-knob very skilfully. Sometimes it got a twist that set it a-tilt on its eminence like a drunkard's hat. This was especially often the case when you had been unscrewing it and screwing it for years, and the thread of the screw got worn or the inside of the knob had lost its power to grip. Children, indeed, are rough in their methods, and have little mercy on such things as bedknobs. They experiment. They wish to find out whether the knob at the right hand of the bottom of the bed will fit the screw at the left hand of the bottom of the bed, and whether the smaller knobs at the foot of the bed will fit the screws at the top. This is bad for bed-knobs, and accounts for the battered expression that they wear as they rese tuneasy angles. I never could make out, however, was how bedknobs got lost. Children might leave them lving on the floor; they might even use them as appurtenances of a game and mislay them amid the ragged chaos of their toys. But no child ever threw a bed-knob out of the window. and there is no other exit save the door. hardly conceivable that even the most careless servant could sweep up so obvious a utility into the dip of her dust-pan. Yet how else did the knob from the left-hand bottom corner of my bed disappear? Week after week, month after month, it had fallen again and again from

its worn-out screw at a touch. Then, I suppose, someone got tired of hearing it fall, and, instead of putting it back, placed it on the mantelpiece where it would be beyond accident. someone else, weary of the sight of so unbecoming an ornament on the mantelpiece. threw it into a drawer or cast it on a heap of broken things for the dust-bin. may have been its fate, I woke up every morning for years into a ruined world in which one of the first sights that met my eyes was that forlorn and widowed screw. You may think that the absence of a single bed-knob would make little difference to the appearance of a room, but in point of fact it made the whole room look awry, producing as disastrous an effect as would the absence of a tie in a man in evening dress. It may be that Camden Town is fuller than most places of homes in which such mysterious disappearances have taken place, and that tidy householders do consequently need bed-knobs in such quantities as to give them a value above scissors in the hardware shops. Or, perhaps, it is the children who insist on having the four corners of their beds restored after loss with each golden ball complete. Children are pampered nowadays.

Pampered though they may be, however, I do not envy those of them who sleep in wooden beds. That child alone is to be envied who sleeps in an iron bed with not only a knob at each corner, but with numbers of other little knobs, golden and globular satellites of the great knobs, perching on every bar. How does a modern child, sleeping in a wooden bed, contrive to get through the day without

weariness? It is bound to get into mischief with its idle hands. The child, on the other hand, who lives in a house that is full of beds with brass knobs has always something to do, and for such a child Satan spreads his net in vain.

PRINTED BY

JARROLD AND SONS LTD.

NORWICH

A SELECTION FROM MESSRS. METHUEN'S CATALOGUE OF GENERAL LITERATURE

A SELECTION OF

Messrs. Methuen's publications

This Catalogue contains only a selection of the more important books published by Messrs. Methuen. A complete catalogue of their publications may be obtained on application.

ARMSTRONG (Warwick W.).

THE ART OF CRICKET. Third Edition. Illustrated. Crown 8vo, 3s. net.

BAIN (F. W.).

IN THE GREAT GOD'S HAIR. A DRAUGHT OF THE BLUE. AN INCARNATION OF THE SNOW. A MINE OF FAULTS. A DIGIT OF THE MOON. THE LIVERY OF EVE. A HEIFER OF THE DAWN. AN ESSENCE OF THE DUSK. THE DESCENT OF THE SUN. THE ASHES OF A GOD. BUBBLES OF THE FOAM. A SYRUP OF THE BLES. THE SUBSTANCE OF A DREAM. FCAP. 8VO, 5S. net each. AN ECHO OF THE SPHERES. Wide DEMY 8VO, 10S. 6d. net.

BAKER (C. H. Collins).

CROME. Illustrated. Quarto, £5 5s. net.

BELLOC (H.).

A HISTORY OF ENGLAND. In 4 vols. Illustrated. Vol. I, to 1066 Demy 8vo, 15s. net. Marie Antoinette. Illustrated. Demy 8vo, 18s. net. Paris. The Pyrenees. Each, Illustrated, Crown 8vo, 8s. 6d. net. On Nothing. Hills and the Sea. 3s. 6d. net each. On Everything. On Something. First and Last. This and That and the Other. On. On Anything. Each, Fcap. 8vo, 6s. net.

BOWEN (Frank C.).

THE KING'S NAVY. Illustrated. Fcap. 4to, 7s. 6d. net.

BRAY (Denys).

THE ORIGINAL ORDER OF SHAKESPEARE'S SONNETS. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

BURTON (Robert).

BURTON THE ANATOMIST. Being extracts from Robert Burton's "Anatomy of Melancholy." Edited by G. C. F. Mead and R. C. Clift. Fcap. 8vo, 5s. net.

BUTLER (Kathleen T.).

A HISTORY OF FRENCH LITERATURE. Two Vols. Each Crown 8vo, 10s. 6d. net.

CAMPBELL (Olwen Ward).

SHELLEY AND THE UNROMANTICS. Illustrated. Second Edition. Demy 8vo. 16s, net.

CHANDLER (Arthur), D.D., late Lord Bishop of Bloemfontein.

ARA CCELL Eighth Edition. 5s. net. FAITH AND EXPERIENCE. Third Edition. 5s. net. THE CULT OF THE PASSING MOMENT. Fifth Edition. 6s. net. THE ENGLISH CHURCH AND RE-UNION. 5s. net. SCALA MUNDI. 4s. 6d. net.

CHESTERTON (G. K.).

ALL THINGS CONSIDERED. TREMENDOUS TRIFLES. ALARMS AND DISCURSIONS. A MISCELLANY OF MEN. THE USES OF DIVERSITY. FANCIES VERSUS FADS. Each 6s. net. Charles Dickens. The Ballad of the White Horse. Each 3s. 6d. net. Wine, Water and Song. 1s. 6d. net.

COLLISON-MORLEY (Lacy).

NAPLES THROUGH THE CENTURIES. Illustrated. Demy 8vo, 10s. 6d. net.

COWLING (George H.).

A PREFACE TO SHAKESPEARE. Illustrated. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

DARK (Sidney) and GREY (Rowland).

W. S. GILBERT: HIS LIFE AND LETTERS. Illustrated. Second Edition. Demy 8vo, 15s. net.

DICKINSON (G. Lowes).

THE GREEK VIEW OF LIFE. Fifteenth Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

DOLLS' HOUSE (THE QUEEN'S).

THE BOOK OF THE QUEEN'S DOLLS' HOUSE. Vol. I. THE HOUSE. Edited by A. C. BENSON, C.V.O., and Sir LAWRENCE WEAVER, K.B.E. Vol. II. THE LIBRARY. Edited by E. V. LUCAS. Illustrated. Crown 4to, £6 6s. net. Evfrybody's Book of the Queen's Dolls' House. Illustrated. Second Edition. Clown 4to, 5s. net.

DREVER (James).

THE PSYCHOLOGY OF EVERYDAY LIFE. 6s. net. THE PSYCHOLOGY OF INDUSTRY. 5s net.

EINSTEIN (Albert).

RELATIVITY: THE SPECIAL AND THE GENERAL THEORY. Seventh Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s. net. Sidelights on Relativity. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d. net. The Meaning of Relativity. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

Other Books on the Einstein Theory:

THE PRINCIPLE OF RELATIVITY. By ALBERT EINSTEIN, H. A. LORENTZ, H. MINKOWSKI, and H. WEYL. With Notes by A. SOMMERFELD. Demy 8vo, 12s. 6d. net. EINSTEIN'S THEORY OF RELATIVITY. By MAX BORN. Demy 8vo, 12s. net. THE FOUNDATIONS OF EINSTEIN'S THEORY OF GRAVITATION. By ERWIN FREUNDLICH. Crown 8vo, 6s. net.

Write for Complete List

" EVOE " (E. V. KNOX).

PARODIES REGAINED. 5s. net. THESE LIBERTIES. 4s. 6d. net. FICTION AS SHE IS WROTE. FANCY NOW! Each 6s. net.

FITZGERALD (Edward).

THE RUBAIYAT OF OMAR KHAYYAM. Illustrated by EDMUND J. SULLIVAN. Wide Crown 8vo, 10s. 6d. net.

FYLEMAN (Rose).

FAIRIES AND CHIMNEYS. Nineteenth Edition. THE FAIRY GREEN. Tenth Edition. THE FAIRY FLUTE. Eighth Edition. THE RAINBOW CAT AND OTHER STORIES. Second Edition. FORTY GOOD-NIGHT TALES. Fourth Edition. Eighth Little Plays for Children. Second Ldition. FAIRIES AND FRIENDS. THE ADVENTURE CLUB. Each FCAP. 8vo, 35. 6d. net. A SMALL CRUSE. FCAP. 8vo, 48. 6d. net. THE ROSE FYLEMAN FAIRY BOOK. Crown 4to, 105. 6d. net.

GIBBON (Edward).

THE DECLINE AND FALL OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE. Edited, with Notes, Appendices, and Maps, by J. B. Bury. Illustrated. Seven Volumes. Demy 8vo, each 12s. 6d. net. Also, unillustrated. Seven Volumes. Crown 8vo, each 7s. 6d. net

GLOVER (T. R.).

THE CONFLICT OF RELIGIONS IN THE EARLY ROMAN EMPIRE. 108. 6d. net. POETS AND PURITANS. 108. 6d. net. VIRGIL. 108. 6d. net. FROM PERICLES TO PHILIP 108. 6d. net.

GOTCH (J. A.).

OLD ENGLISH HOUSES. Illustrated. Demy 8vo, 16s. net.

GRAHAM (Harry).

THE WORLD WE LAUGH IN: MORE DEPORTMENTAL DITTIES. Illustrated by "Fish." Fifth Edition. Fcap. 8vo, 5s. net.

GRAHAME (Kenneth).

THE WIND IN THE WILLOWS. Fourteenth Edition. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d. net. Also, illustrated by Nancy Barnhart. Small 4to, ros. 6d. net.

HADFIELD (J. A.).

PSYCHOLOGY AND MORALS. Sixth Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s. net.

HALL (H. R.).

THE ANCIENT HISTORY OF THE NEAR EAST. Illustrated. Sixth Edition, Revised. Demy 8vo, fr is. net.

HEWLETT (Maurice).

THE LETTERS OF MAURICE HEWLETT. Edited by LAURENCE BINYON. Demy 8vo, 18s. net.

HOLDSWORTH (W. S.).

A HISTORY OF ENGLISH LAW. Seven Volumes. Demy 8vo. Each £1 5s. net.

HUTTON (Edward).

MILAN AND LOMBARDY. THE CITIES OF ROMAGNA AND THE MARCHES. SIEMA AND SOUTHERN TUSCANY. VENICE AND VENETIA. THE CITIES OF SPAIN. NAPLES AND SOUTHERN ITALY. Each, illustrated, 8s. 6d. det. A Wayfarer in Unknown Tuscany. The Cities of Umbria. Country Walks about Florence. Rome. Florence and Northern Tuscany. Each, illustrated, 7s. 6d. net.

INGE (W. R.), D.D., Dean of St. Paul's.

CHRISTIAN MYSTICISM. (The Bampton Lectures of 1899.) Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d. net.

KIPLING (Rudyard).

BARRACE-ROOM BALLADS. 233rd Thousand. THE SEVEN SEAS. 172nd Thousand. THE FIVE NATIONS. 138th Thousand. DEPARTMENTAL DITTIES. 111th Thousand. THE YEARS BETWEEN. 95th Thousand. Four editions of these famous volumes of poems are now published, viz.:—Crown 8vo, Buckram, 7s. 6d. net; Feap. 8vo, Cloth, 6s. net; Leather, 7s. 6d. net; and Service Edition. Two Vols. each book. Square Feap. 8vo, 9s. 6d. net each Vol. A Kipling Anthology—Verse. Third Edition. Cloth, 6s. net; Leather, 7s. 6d. net. Twenty Poems. 408th Thousand. 1s. net. A Choice of Songs. Second Edition. 2s. net.

LAMB (Charles and Mary).

THE COMPLETE WORKS. Edited by E. V. Lucas. A New and Revised Edition in six volumes. With Frontispieces. Fcap. 8vo. Each 6s, net. The Volumes are:—I, MISCELLAMEOUS PROSE. 2, ELIA AND THE LAST ESSAYS OF ELIA. 3, BOOKS FOR CHILDREN. 4, PLAYS AND POEMS. 5 and 6, LETTERS.

SELECTED LETTERS. Chosen and Edited by G. T. CLAPTON. Fcap. 8vo, 3s. 6d. net. The Charles Lamb Day Boy. Compiled by E. V. Lucas. Fcap. 8vo. 6s. net.

LANKESTER (Sir Ray).

SCIENCE FROM AN EASY CHAIR. First Series. SCIENCE FROM AN EASY CHAIR. Second Series. Diversions of a Naturalist. Secrets of Earth and Sea. (8s. 6d. net.) Great and Small Things. Each illustrated. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d. net.

LODGE (Sir Oliver).

MAN AND THE UNIVERSE, 7S. 6d. nct. THE SURVIVAL OF MAN, 7S. 6d. nct. REASON AND BELIEF, 2S. nct. THE SUBSTANCE OF FAITH, 2S. nct. RAYMOND, 10S. 6d. nct. RAYMOND REVISED, 6s. nct. RELATIVITY, 18. nct.

LUCAS (E. V.).

THE LIFE OF CHARLES LAMB. 2 Vols. £1 13. net. EDWIN AUSTIN ABBEY, R.A. 2 Vols. £6 6s. net. VERMEER OF DELFT. 10s. 6d. net. A WANDERER IN HOLLAND. A WANDERER IN LONDON. LONDON REVISITED. A WANDERER IN PARIS. A WANDERER IN FLORENCE. A WANDERER IN VENICE. Each 10s. 6d. net. A WANDERER AMONG PICTURES. 8s. 6d. net. THE OPEN ROAD. 6s. net. Also, illustrated by CLAUDE A. SHEPPERSON. 108. 6d. net. Also, India Paper. Leather, 78. 6d, net. The Friendly Town. Fireside and Sunshine. CHARACTER AND COMEDY. Each 6s. net. THE GENTLEST ART. 6s. 6d. net. THE SECOND POST. 6s. net. Also bound together, thin paper. 7s. 6d. net. Her Infinite Variety. Good Company. One Day and Another. Old Lamps for New. Loiterer's Harvest. CLOUD AND SILVER. A BOSWELL OF BAGHDAD. 'TWIXT EAGLE AND DOVE. THE PHANTOM JOURNAL. GIVING AND RECEIVING. LUCK OF THE YEAR. ENCOUNTERS AND DIVERSIONS. ZIG-ZAGS IN FRANCE. Each 6s. net. Specially Selected. Urbanities. Each, illustrated by G. L. STAMPA, 7s. 6d. net. YOU KNOW WHAT PEOPLE ARE. Illustrated by George Morrow. 5s. net. Playtime and Company. Illustrated by E. H. Shepard. 7s. 6d. net. The Same STAR: A Comedy in Three Acts. 3s. 6d. net. The British School. 6s. net. LITTLE BOOKS ON GREAT MASTERS. Each 5s. net. ROVING EAST AND ROVING WEST. 5s. net. See also Dolls' House (The Queen's) and Lamb (Charles).

LYND (Robert).

THE BLUE LION. THE PEAL OF BELLS. THE MONEY BOX. Each, Pcap. 8vo, 3s. 6d. net.

McDOUGALL (William).

AN INTRODUCTION TO SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY (Eighteenth Edition), 8s. 6d. net; BODY AND MIND (Sixth Edition), 12s. 6d. net; AN OUTLINE OF PSYCHOLOGY, 12s. net; NATIONAL WELFARE AND NATIONAL DECAY, 6s. net. ETHICS AND SOME MODERN WORLD PROBLEMS, 7s. 6d. net.

MAETERLINCK (Maurice).

THE BLUE BIRD, 6s. net and 2s. 6d. net. THE BETROTHAL, 6s. net, paper 3s. 6d. net. Mary Magdlene, 5s. net and 2s. net. Death, 3s. 6d. net. Our Eternity, 6s. net. The Unknown Guest, 6s. net. The Wrack of the Storm, 6s. net. The Miracle of Saint Anthony, 3s. 6d. net. The Burgomaster of Stilemonde, 5s. net. Mountain Paths, 6s. net. Tyltyl, Told for Children (illustrated), 2s. net. (The above books are Translated by A. Teixeira de Mattos). Polms, 5s. net (Done into English by Bernard Miall). The Cloud that Lifted and The Power of the Df up (Translated by F. M. Atkinson), 7s. 6d. net. The Great Secret (Translated by Bernard Miall), 7s. 6d. net.

METHUEN (Sir A.).

AN ANTIOLOGY OF MODERN VERSE. Band Thousand. SHARESPEARE TO HARDY: An Anthology of English Lyrics. 15th Thousand. Each, Fcap. 8vo, Cloth, 6s. net; Leather, 7s. 6d. net.

MILNE (A. A.).

NOT THAT IT MATTERS. Fifth Edition. IF I May. Sixth Edition. Each 6s. net. When we were very Young. Eleventh Fidition. Illustrated by B. H. Shepard. 7s. 6d. net. Leather 10s. 6d. net. For the Luncheon Interval: Cricket and Other Verses. Second Edition. 1s. 6d. net.

MILNE (A. A.) and FRASER-SIMSON (H.).

FOURTEEN SONGS FROM "WHEN WE WERE VERY YOUNG." Fifth Edition. Royal 4to. 7s. 6d. net. THE KING'S BREAKFAST. Music 8vo, 3s. 6d. net.

NEWMAN (Tom).

How to Play Billiards. Illustrated. Crown 8vo, 8s. 6d. net. Billiard Do's and Dont's. F'cap. 8vo, 2s. 6d. net.

OMAN (Sir Charles).

A HISTORY OF THE ART OF WAR IN THE MIDDLE AGES, A.D. 378-1485. Second Edition, Revised and Enlarged. 2 Vols. Illustrated. Demy 8vo, fr 16s. net.

OXENHAM (John).

Eight Volumes of Poems. Small Pott 8vo, is. 3d. net each volume. BEES IN AMBER. (2S. net.) ALL'S WELL. THE KING'S HIGH WAY. THE VISION SPLENDID. THE FIERY CROSS. HEARTS COURAGEOUS, HIGH ALTARS. ALL CLEAR!

PETRIE (Sir Flinders).

A HISTORY OF EGYPT. Illustrated. Six Volumes. Crown 8vo. 1, From the 1st to XVIth Dynasty (12s. net). 2, The XVIIth and XVIIIth Dynasties (9s. net). 3, XIXTH TO XXXTH DYNASTIES (12s. net). 4, EGYPT UNDER THE PTOLEMAIC DYNASTY. J. P. MAHAFFY (9s. net). 5, EGYPT UNDER ROMAN RULE. J. G. MILNE. (12s. net). 6, EGYPT 1M 1HE MIDDLE AGES. STANLEY LANE-POOLE. (10s. net).

RALEIGH (Sir Walter).

THE LETTERS OF SIR WALTER RALEIGH. Edited by LADY RALEIGH. 2 Vols. Denny 8vo, £1 5s. net.

STEVENSON (R. L.).

THE LETTERS OF ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON TO HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. Selected and Edited by Sir Sidney Colvin. Four Volumes. Fifth Edition. Feap. 8yo, 6s. net each.

TILDEN (W. T.).

THE ART OF LAWN TENNIS. Illustrated. Crown 8vo, 6s. net. LAWN TENNIS FOR YOUNG PLAYERS. LAWN TENNIS FOR CLUB PLAYERS. LAWN TENNIS FOR MATCH PLAYERS. Each illustrated. Fcap. 8vo, 2s. 6d. net. Singles and Doubles. Illustrated. Crown 8vo, 6s. net. The Common Sense of Lawn Tennis. Illustrated. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

TILESTON (Mary W.).

DAILY STRENGTH FOR DAILY NEEDS. Thirty-first Edition. Medium 16mo, 3s 6d. net. Also, India Paper, Leather, 6s. net.

UNDERHILL (Evelyn).

MYSTICISM. Tenth Edition. Demy 8vo, 15s. net. The Life of the Spirit and the Life of To-day. Sixth Edition. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d. net.

VARDON (Harry).

How to Play Golf. Illustrated. Eighteenth Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

WATERHOUSE (Elizabeth).

A LITTLE BOOK OF LIFE AND DEATH. Twenty-second Edition. Small Pott 8vo. cloth, 2s. 6d. net; paper, 1s. 6d. net.

WATERS (Helena L.).

THE FRENCH AND ITALIAN RIVIERAS. Illustrated. 7s. 6d. net. LAGO DI GARDA AND NEIGHBOURHOOD. Illustrated. 6s. net.

WILDE (Oscar).

THE WORKS OF OSCAR WILDE. Sixteen Volumes. Fcap. 8vo, each 6s. 6d. net. Some also Fcap. 8vo, 2s. 6d. net. 1, Lord Arthur Savile's Crime and the Portrait of Mr. W. H. 2, The Duchess of Padua. 3, Poems. 4, Lady Windermere's Fan. 5, A Woman of no Importance. 6, An Ideal Husband. 7, The Importance of Being Earnest. 8, A House of Pomegranates. 9, Intentions. 10, De Profundis and Prison Letters. 11, Essays. 12, Salome, A Florentine Tragedy, and La Sainte Courtisane. 13, A Critic in Pall Mall. 14, Selected Prose of Oscar Wilde. 15, Art and Decoration. 16, For Love of the King: A Burmese Masque (5s net).

A SELECTION OF SERIES

THE ANTIQUARY'S BOOKS

Each, illustrated, Demy 8vo, 10s. 6d. net. A series of volumes dealing with various branches of English Antiquities, comprehensive and popular, as well as accurate and scholarly.

THE ARDEN SHAKESPEARE

Demy 8vo, 6s. net each volume.

An edition of Shakespeare in Single Plays. Edited with a full Introduction, Textual Notes, and a Commentary at the foot of the page. The edition is now complete in thirty-nine volumes.

CLASSICS OF ART

Edited by Dr. J. H. W. LAING.

Illustrated. Wide Royal 8vo, from 15s. net to £3 3s. net. A Library of Art dealing with Great Artists and with branches of Art.

THE "COMPLETE" SERIES

Illustrated. Demy 8vo, from 5s. net to 18s. net. A series of books on the chief Sports and Pastimes, comprehensive, lucid and authoritative.

THE CONNOISSEUR'S LIBRARY

Illustrated. Wide Royal 8vo, 31s. 6d. net.

ENGLISH COLOURED BOOKS; ETCHINGS; EUROPEAN ENAMELS; FINE BOOKS; GLASS; GOLDSMITHS' AND SILVERSMITHS' WORK; ILLUMINATED MANUSCRIPTS; IVORIES; JEWELLERY; MEZOTINTS; MINIATURES: PORCELAIN; SEALS; WOOD SCULPTURE.

EIGHT BOOKS BY R. S. SURTEES

With the Original Illustrations in Colour by J. LEECH and others. Fcap. 8vo. 6s. net and 7s. 6d. net.

Ask Mamma; Handley Cross; Hawbuck Grange; Hillingdon Hall; Jorrocks's Jaunts and Jollities; Mr. Sponge's Sporting Tour; Mr. Facey Romford's Hounds; Plain or Ringlets?

THE LITTLE GUIDES

Illustrated and with Maps. 60 Volumes. Small Pott 8vo, 4s. to 6s. net each. Pocketable Guides to the Counties of England and Wales and to well-known Districts at Home and Abroad.

MODERN MASTERPIECES

Fcap. 8vo, 3s. 6d. each volume.

WORES BY A. A. MILNE, JOSEPH CONRAD, ARNOLD BENNETT, G. K. CHESTERTON, E. V. LUCAS, H. BELLOC, W. H. HUDSON, and ROBERT LYND.

PLAYS

Fcap. 8vo, 3s. 6d. net.

Kismet (Papet, 25. det); Milestones; An Ideal Husband; The Ware Case; General Post; The Great Adventure; The Honeymoon; Across the Border (Crown 8vo); The Same Star.

METHUEN'S HALF-CROWN AND TWO SHILLING LIBRARIES

These are series of copyright books which have been such a popular success. They contain more books by distinguished writers than any other series.

Write for Complete Lists

		٠	